

<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCIAM/2025/71.3643>

# Cross-Cultural Interventions for Reducing Mental Health Stigma

Eve Tibererwa

Humanities Education Kampala International University Uganda

Email [eve.tibererwa@kiu.ac.ug](mailto:eve.tibererwa@kiu.ac.ug)

---

## ABSTRACT

Mental health stigma remains a pervasive global challenge that undermines help-seeking behaviors, social inclusion, and overall well-being. This paper examines cross-cultural interventions for reducing mental health stigma, emphasizing the role of cultural beliefs, social norms, and contextual realities in shaping both stigma and its mitigation. Drawing on existing literature, the study explores key intervention domains, including public education, contact-based strategies, media storytelling, environmental cues, and policy reforms. It highlights how culturally grounded misconceptions, often rooted in religious, spiritual, and traditional frameworks affect perceptions of mental illness and influence intervention outcomes. The paper further analyzes the effectiveness of interventions across diverse settings such as schools, workplaces, healthcare systems, and community organizations, with increasing attention to digital platforms. It identifies critical challenges in adapting interventions across cultures, including the need for cultural sensitivity, resource availability, and structural support. The study underscores the importance of rigorous evaluation methods that ensure cross-cultural validity and measurable outcomes. Finally, it highlights gaps in current research and calls for more context-specific, participatory, and interdisciplinary approaches to stigma reduction. The findings reinforce that culturally responsive, evidence-based interventions are essential for achieving sustainable reductions in mental health stigma globally.

**Keywords:** Mental health stigma, Cross-cultural interventions, Stigma reduction, Cultural beliefs and mental health and Public health interventions.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Mental health disorders have garnered significant attention globally, yet the stigma surrounding them remains a major obstacle to effective treatment. In many cultural contexts, mental disorders provoke disgust, fear, and social distancing [1], leading to exclusion and victimization of affected individuals. Such attitudes not only harm those who suffer from mental illness but also deter potentially productive individuals from seeking help despite their need [2]. Stigma reduction interventions have been implemented in diverse environments worldwide, with recent systematic reviews documenting evidence-based strategies for stigma reduction in different socioeconomic and cultural settings. However, the efficacy of many interventions remains uncertain when applied outside their original contexts because cultural factors significantly shape both stigma and its mitigation [3]. The Pacific Rim region has attracted attention due to its substantial and steadily growing cultural diversity. Individuals, organizations, and governments aiming to strengthen the influence of interventions on mental health stigma and its reduction have initiated extensive cross-cultural studies to assess relevant factors across various cultures [3].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Mental health stigma reduction interventions must be evaluated through rigorous, evidence-based analysis, prioritizing cross-cultural validity, and measurable outcomes [4].

### **Theoretical Foundations of Stigma and its Reduction**

Like any social phenomenon, the interplay between stigma and mental health is complex and shaped by multiple elements at the individual, societal, and policy levels [5]. In the case of stigma towards mental health, for example, biological, genetic, and medical explanatory models appear to lessen negative attitudes, whereas a public discourse that frames mental health as a need for rights and social justice tends to increase stigma [2] but has the benefit of making the subject more relevant to discussions around other marginalised identities including race, sexuality, and disability [3]. Broadly, society seems to react to the stigma imposed on others, with those in the mainstream more likely to experience psychological distress. Within transitional, low- and middle-income countries, cultural, religious, and traditional beliefs intersect with economic factors and disempowerment, while the limited reach and marginalisation of mental health information further compound the problem and delimit stigma studies, many of which nonetheless focus on major urban settings [4].

### **Cross-cultural Considerations in Stigma Research**

Stigma is defined as knowing, labeling, and treating them different from others; it is a form of devaluation and involves associating undesirable characteristics [3]. Many in the Pacific Rim region, particularly Asian American, Latino American, and Pacific Islander populations, hold culturally influenced views that contribute to stigma and decreased help-seeking for mental illness [2]. Asian Americans frequently attribute mental illness to punishment from God because of bad behavior; Vietnamese Buddhist ascribes it to karma; and Chinese individuals link it to problems with ancestor's tombs [1]. Latino Americans primarily view mental illness as a lack of faith or something demonic, which leads them to consult spiritual healers. Most research on mental illness stigma in the U.S. is conducted with Caucasian samples shaped by European values, such as individualism, competition, and self-promotion, which stem from a colonial legacy and impede help-seeking [2]. Individualistic cultures show less stigmatizing attitudes and greater of diversity. Stigma perceptions differ across cultural and ethnic groups, as demonstrated by studies with the Loss of Face Scale for Vietnamese Americans and Supernatural Attitudes Questionnaire for Cambodian Americans [3]. Art methods, including community theatre and poetry, effectively convey cultural nuances associated with stigma and facilitate discussion of cultural misconceptions regarding mental health [3].

### **Evidence-based Interventions: Overview by Domain**

Stigma mitigation approaches addressing mental health problems can be divided into different domains. Henderson and C. Gronholm [3] outlined a set of five intervention categories that have consistently informed the design of stigma mitigation initiatives worldwide [2]. These categories focus on public education, contact-based interventions, environmental cues to promote proximity, storytelling in the media, and policy reforms for structural change [2]. Cross-cultural interventions have utilized these knowledge categories extensively since the 1990s, following the initial overview of stigma and its mitigation and its further theoretical elaborations during the 2000s by Link and Phelan (2001) and others [3]. A systematic literature review conducted in low- and middle-income countries corroborates the existence of a similar typology [3]. In addition to public education, contact-based interventions, storytelling, and policy reform, recovery narrative a concept especially salient in the context of mental health emerged as a fifth category [2]. Literature focusing on proximity and environmental size prompts the logical inclusion of cue-based interventions alongside recovery narratives [5]. The resulting six domains leverage high-quality initiatives documented in the gray literature to inform a typology applied at the local level where details on implementation remain scarce. Further elaboration on cross-cultural applicability is offered as part of the subsequent section on adaptation and implementation challenges [6].

### **Public Education and Awareness Campaigns**

Stigma surrounding mental illness is often driven by a lack of knowledge [4]. Public education and awareness campaigns should therefore target the general population by utilising traditional media, social platforms, and community events, with repeated initiatives recommended to reinforce familiarity with mental health topics. Mental illness is frequently portrayed in the media, and the manner of such representation plays a crucial role: documentaries and talk shows generally decrease stigma, while sensationalised dramas reinforce stereotypes and increase rejection and avoidance [5]. Communities with distance-related stigma benefiting from proximity interventions have also been successfully engaged through public education to increase acceptance [6]. Due to their vulnerability and malleable attitudes, adolescents are particularly susceptible, making awareness-raising among school students a priority [7]. Literacy-based interventions, conducted in local languages through relatable content both for students and for their primary caregivers, enable early recognition of difficulties and

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

help-seeking behaviours: these initiatives can thus commence from primary-school age and contribute to wider efforts to counter school bullying and enhance psychosocial support [8].

#### **Contact-based Interventions**

Contact-based interventions have gained prominence in stigma-reduction initiatives, encompassing both direct and indirect engagements with people experiencing mental illness [9]. These efforts frequently integrate educational components to enhance mental health literacy. Contacts may occur in person or via remote channels and can include testimonies or interviews. Key mediators such as anxiety reduction and empathy enhancement play crucial roles in these interventions [3]. Within the healthcare sector, facilitators of effective contact include equal status among participants, disconfirmation of stereotypes, and collaborative activities [8]. Notably, mental health professionals with greater clinical experience tend to exhibit more positive attitudes, possibly because their tenure facilitates the development of longer-term relationships through community-oriented support. Education-centric interventions consistently yield favorable attitudinal outcomes; some studies even suggest a degree of sustained change [7].

#### **Proximity and Environmental Cues in Communities**

Stigma can proliferate widely, especially in rural communities lacking mental health services and proximity to others receiving treatment [5]. Cues, whether human or environmental, that normally indicate higher mental health service use do not seem to exert the same influence as direct experience or proximal cues. Earthy colors in public space are associated with better mental health [4]. The presence of botanical elements and ample natural light is beneficial.

#### **Media Representation and Storytelling**

Research shows that effective media portrayal of mental health can empower individuals to seek help and more readily link to recovery-based messages, while negative portrayals can reinforce stigma and limit help seeking [6]. Understanding media depiction of mental health problems, media ethics, and improving the ability to communicate mental health issues through the media increases the chances for residents to show healthy behaviors. Storytelling through video can increase mental health awareness among post-secondary students and can either be combined with information or used alone [7]. Through video storytelling that utilized visual metaphors, students infused psychoeducational messages with rich visual imagery that helped influence their understanding and impressions of mental health issues. Students indicated that the information and support contained in the video storytelling campaigns positively impacted their level of engagement [8]. The use of a single long video or several short videos did not lead to notable differences regarding engagement and attitude change. Overall, students recognized mental health as an important topic that needed much greater attention and awareness [9].

#### **Policy and Structural Changes**

Actions needed at the national level include implementing changes across government ministries, the non-government sector, and service user and professional groups to combat discrimination and promote social inclusion for people with mental illness [8]. Developing psychological services to support employment is essential, as mental health issues often lead to demoralization and depression. Mental health staff should broaden their roles to include community interventions and awareness campaigns targeting specific groups such as medical staff, journalists, police, and employers [7]. Healthcare professionals frequently exhibit ignorance and prejudice, causing distress to consumers, which underscore the importance of anti-stigma programs in medical education. Studies suggest that brief educational interventions can improve attitudes toward mental illness, though the effectiveness of different approaches varies [9]. Raising awareness through public education was the most suggested strategy, but participants also highlighted the potential problems of media strategies due to their tendency to present stories sensationally. Media portrayals of mental illness in Singapore's major outlets are mostly negative, often using stigmatizing language. Recommendations include enlisting media professionals to promote balanced reporting and using text mining techniques to identify stigma in articles. Educational activities for journalists can help them report on mental health responsibly [4]. Reducing stigma among student populations can foster more tolerant future generations. Interventions include contact with individuals with mental illness and education through various materials [5]. Criminal justice professionals are a key target due to their authority and biased contact experiences that may reinforce stereotypes, though few interventions focus on this group. Training police in England improved attitudes and communication with mental health patients but did not change perceptions of violence. There are promising studies targeting journalists, but little focus on sectors like housing, social care, and welfare. Many programs mainly address interpersonal stigma, but stigma also operates at structural and intrapersonal levels [10]. For example, healthcare professionals often receive contact-based education, which may not address systemic issues. Structural discrimination is present in legislation and

policy, and neglecting this aspect can undermine efforts to change attitudes and reduce discrimination in various life areas [10].

### **Interventions in Diverse Settings**

Research identified various settings targeted for stigma reduction: schools and universities; workplaces; primary care and emergency services; community and faith-based organizations; digital and social media. Schools are crucial to address misconceptions formed early in life [1]. Education alone can be ineffective demonstrations of self-disclosure and positive engagement with marginalized groups increase willingness to listen and enhance positive perceptions of people with mental illness [2]. Workplaces deter help-seeking through poor mental health-related knowledge and attitudes; employees fear losing opportunities to advance or being treated differently. Mental health promotion programs raise awareness and normalize help-seeking [3]. Primary health care and emergency services play a pivotal role as the first point of contact. Transference of negative attitudes or behaviors at home, school, or workplace can be countered through training and supervision [4]. Community and faith-based organizations have direct and extensive engagement with community members, potential to reach those seldom contacted, and social norms influencing everyday life [5]. Digital and social media penetrate all segments of society and shape communication; messages can be spread widely at low cost [6].

### **Schools and Universities**

Academic institutions constitute an important target for mental health stigma reduction in much of the world. Anti-stigma interventions tailored for school and university campuses have been the focus of multiple studies, yet only limited evidence exists on the impact of such approaches among students in low- and middle-income countries [9]. In Singapore, an anti-stigma educational initiative based on established principles of mental health promotion was implemented at a local university, with a particular focus on depression and suicide [8]. The intervention consisted of nine continuing education sessions over six months, delivered by trained facilitators to groups of approximately 40 undergraduate and graduate students [9]. Each session included information about depression, participation in risk indicator exercises, and discussions with a person with lived experience [10]. A quasi-experimental design was used to evaluate the initiative by measuring participants' knowledge of depression and related stigmatizing attitudes immediately before, immediately after, and three months following the intervention [10]. Across four low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), a systematic review assessed the efficacy of anti-stigma campaigns among medical and nursing students, the intellectual elite in many societies. The vast majority of participating countries had reported higher lifetime prevalence of mood disorders than Singapore, and formal evaluations of proposed counter-stigma activities were available for only six institutions worldwide [11]. Despite substantial diversity among the six countries, the common elements in successful stigma mitigation suggested that comparable undertakings among health profession students in Singapore were likely to yield similar benefits at least in the short to medium term [12]. Interventions demonstrating a positive influence encompassed a range of formats, including "social contact" with individuals disclosing their personal experiences; video presentations featuring similar testimonials; behavior modification training targeting non-peer-maintained aversive beliefs; factual clarification of common misconceptions; and emphasis on the possibility of substantial recovery [13,14, 15].

### **Workplaces**

Negative attitudes and discrimination against people with mental health issues are widespread in workplaces [11]. Research in the Netherlands has revealed that reluctance to hire applicants with mental health issues is common across diverse occupations, yet nearly half of the adult population has experienced such issues at some point [12]. Consequently, workplace interventions should increase knowledge about how to communicate with colleagues who have mental health issues and avoid assumptions based on demographic characteristics [13]. Efforts to accommodate people with mental health issues increase retention and support sustained employment for this population [14].

### **Primary Care and Emergency Services**

Professionals in primary care who hold stigmatizing attitudes towards mental health and mental disorders are more likely to overlook patients' mental health care needs and therefore could benefit from training programs designed to mitigate stigma [13]. At health-promoting primary care interventions, patients already receive treatment from different professionals; however, they might feel stigmatized to address mental health issues. Programs of the association of local physicians coexist with the previous activities but focus even more on reducing stigma devoted to sharing one case of the four types of treatment opened [14].

### **Community and Religious Organizations**

Mental health stigma reduction initiatives exist in many different settings: schools, workplaces, primary care, community organizations, religious groups, policy-making, the media, and social networks [12]. The present

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

review focuses on interventions occurring within communities those that take place outside of formal education and school systems [14]. The chapter considers community interventions across diverse settings, including congregations, nonprofit organizations, recreation and activity centres, governmental facilities, family support centres, and faith-based organizations [15]. Faith-based organizations and congregations are the focus of a second sub-section, given the important role that religion can play in some stigma-reduction efforts. Global surveys continue to show that faith remains salient for almost 85 percent of the population [15]. Studies have also shown that stigma has a special resonance for some fundamentally religious groups [16]. Understanding religious and spiritual language and its cultural significance can help frame the mental health conversation and help identify appropriate resources or sources of assistance.

#### **Digital and Social Media Platforms**

Increasingly, digital and social media platforms are utilized in stigma reduction campaigns targeting mental health issues [12]. These platforms' global reach and prevalence among young people bolster campaign development and implementation significantly [17]. The adaptability of interventions for online dissemination opens additional avenues of engagement and lowers barriers to access in diverse cultures [18]. Eliminating travel and venue-based constraints also renders well-documented strategies viable in the context of travel restrictions due to pandemics and unlike traditional campaigns [15]. Hosting interactive, project-based, or other active-learning types of initiatives online can reduce stigma among university students by enhancing critical thinking, social-process understanding, and problem-solving skills [19].

#### **Adaptation and Implementation Challenges Across Cultures**

Many countries have accumulated experience implementing mental health stigma reduction interventions. These interventions can be adapted to ensure cultural relevance in a variety of settings, but achieving successful adaptation is a complex task [3]. Countries differ widely in cultural beliefs surrounding mental health. When adapting stigma reduction interventions for a distinct cultural context, adherence to these beliefs is paramount [20]. In psychology, the adaptation of an intervention to another culture can encompass extensive modification to either the content or implementation procedure while maintaining the underlying principle that behavior change here, stigma reduction will occur [12]. Implementation science describes variations during an adaptation process, including when, in what respects, and how thoroughly an intervention is modified [21]. Several frameworks to guide these processes have been proposed [13]. Due consideration of the culture within which an intervention is being adjusted can highlight additional challenges to implementing that intervention, especially in developing countries. Identifying and addressing these barriers with adequate financial and personnel resources can spur rapid progress [14].

#### **Evaluation Methods and Outcomes**

Mental health stigma reduction interventions must be evaluated through rigorous, evidence-based analysis, prioritizing cross-cultural validity, measurable outcomes, and transparent limitations [15]. Stigma-reduction interventions span diverse cultural contexts, and evaluation strategies are influenced by several factors: the specific nature of the intervention; the targeted stigma (for example, against mental health groups or regarding help-seeking behavior); the corresponding stakeholders taking part in the evaluation, whether general audiences, educational institutions, or medical and health professionals; the selected outcome metrics, including public attitudes concerning mental illness, social distancing, or intended behavior; and the method chosen for outcome measurement, composing attitude assessments, distances ratings, or intention queries [16]. In various developing-country settings, educational and group-based contact interventions have been shown to decrease stigma towards mental health issues and help-seeking intentions [9]. Participatory video approaches, whether conducted through live interaction or recorded exposition, can also reduce stigma against intimate-partner violence and hereditary conditions [22]. A systematic review of stigma-reduction interventions in low- and middle-income nations emphasizes exposure to lived-experience narratives and diversified outreach channels, including the web, social media, theatre, art, and technology-based applications [2].

#### **Ethical Considerations in Cross-cultural Stigma Reduction**

Reducing stigma associated with mental illness is a public health priority. There is rigorous evidence from numerous studies that certain interventions can be effective at reducing stigma [11]. These studies consistently show that stigma remains a significant barrier to accessing mental health services among vulnerable populations. Evaluation of stigma-reduction interventions highlights the need for effective cross-cultural methods [12]. An analysis of implementation of multiple-language training programs in both western and non-western settings confirms the applicability of the Health Belief Model in non-western contexts, providing support for cross-national implementation of anti-stigma programs based on stage-of-change models [2]. Stigma surrounding mental health is perpetuated through culturally-textured perceptions of mental illness. Such cultural preventions create barriers

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

by inhibiting engagement with mental health care when it is most needed [13]. Culture influences the perception of what constitutes a mental illness and the appropriate help-seeking behavior [14]. The process of cultural construction of mental health and mental illness varies across western and non-western paradigms; mental health promotion and mental illness prevention efforts conducted in one context cannot automatically be assumed to be effective in another [1].

### Gaps in Knowledge and Future Directions

The current anti-stigma intervention landscape exhibits significant research gaps. Systematic reviews have been called to collate available evidence within social, educational, or health contexts [20]. Furthermore, additional cross-cultural studies are needed to investigate the underpinning processes, suitable learning modalities, or anticipated impacts of adult and continuing education under varying circumstances [21]. Despite escalating interest in stigma reduction, mental health remains under-researched [22-26]. The World Health Organization (2017) identified insufficient knowledge of stigma determinants, dynamics, and outcomes in complex social systems across diverse cultural contexts as a knowledge gap that hinders implementation. An updated research agenda should emphasize social systems at the foundation of mental health-related exclusion [23]. Stigma reduction is predominantly framed as a communication challenge [24]. Stigma persists because relevant knowledge is inadequately disseminated. The dominant approach of large-scale information campaigns necessarily neglects the importance of multiplicity in pragmatic and epistemological approaches to knowledge. Attention should be redirected away from information transmission toward understanding knowledge construction mechanisms and knowledge, stigma equilibria [27-29].

### CONCLUSION

Mental health stigma continues to pose a significant barrier to effective care and social integration across diverse cultural contexts. This study demonstrates that while numerous stigma-reduction interventions exist, their success largely depends on cultural relevance, contextual adaptation, and sustained implementation. Approaches such as public education, contact-based interventions, media engagement, and policy reforms have shown promise, particularly when tailored to local beliefs, languages, and social structures. Importantly, culture plays a dual role as both a driver of stigma and a resource for its reduction. Religious and community institutions, for instance, can either reinforce misconceptions or serve as powerful platforms for awareness and support when appropriately engaged. Similarly, digital media and storytelling offer scalable and adaptable tools for reaching diverse populations, especially younger audiences. However, significant challenges remain, including limited research in low and middle-income countries, inadequate attention to structural stigma, and the difficulty of transferring interventions across cultural boundaries without loss of effectiveness. Addressing these gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration, increased funding, and the integration of local knowledge systems into program design and implementation. Ultimately, reducing mental health stigma demands a shift from one-size-fits-all approaches to culturally responsive, evidence-based strategies that prioritize inclusion, empathy, and social justice. Sustained efforts at individual, community, and policy levels are essential to create environments where individuals with mental health conditions can seek care without fear of discrimination or exclusion.

### REFERENCES

1. Ran MS, Hall BJ, Su TT, Prawira B, Breth-Petersen M, Li XH, Zhang TM. Stigma of mental illness and cultural factors in Pacific Rim region: a systematic review. *BMC psychiatry*. 2021 Jan 7;21(1):8.
2. Clay J, Eaton J, Gronholm PC, Semrau M, Votruba N. Core components of mental health stigma reduction interventions in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*. 2020 Jan;29:e164.
3. Henderson C, Gronholm PC. Mental health related stigma as a 'wicked problem': The need to address stigma and consider the consequences. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2018 Jun;15(6):1158.
4. Shahwan S, Goh CM, Tan GT, Ong WJ, Chong SA, Subramaniam M. Strategies to reduce mental illness stigma: perspectives of people with lived experience and caregivers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022 Jan 31;19(3):1632.
5. Henderson C, Gronholm PC. Mental health related stigma as a 'wicked problem': The need to address stigma and consider the consequences. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2018 Jun;15(6):1158.
6. Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Eze VH, Ugwu OP, Ugwu JN, Okon MB, Ukagwu KJ. Transforming digital health using the internet of things for personalized interoperable and secure healthcare systems. *Discover Health Systems*. 2026 Dec;5(1):20.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

7. Taghva A, Atashi A, Zardar Z, Hajebi A, Khademi M. Teaching media literacy to psychiatry residents in Iran. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*. 2022 Jul 6;36:75.
8. Quintero Johnson JM, Sangalang A, Park SY. First-person, third-person, or bystander? Exploring the persuasive influence of perspective in mental health narratives. *Journal of health communication*. 2021 Apr 3;26(4):225-38.
9. Paul-Chima UO, Basajja M, Fabian CO, Chinyere NU, Ben OM, Mustafa MM. Neuro-entero-cardiac bridge: could gut-derived catecholamine-loaded extracellular vesicles synchronize the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease, irritable bowel syndrome, and stress-triggered arrhythmias?. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2026 Feb 7:111896.
10. Thornicroft G, Brohan E, Kassam A, Lewis-Holmes E. Reducing stigma and discrimination: Candidate interventions. *International journal of mental health systems*. 2008 Apr 13;2(1):3.
11. Heim E, Henderson C, Kohrt BA, Koschorke M, Milenova M, Thornicroft G. Reducing mental health-related stigma among medical and nursing students in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*. 2020 Jan;29:e28.
12. Subramaniam M, Shahwan S, Abdin E, Goh CM, Ong WJ, Tan GT, Baig N, Samari E, Kwok KW, Chong SA. Advancing research to eliminate mental illness stigma: The design and evaluation of a single-arm intervention among university students in Singapore. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2020 Jun 3;11:1151.
13. Ugwu OP, Basajja M, Maduabuchi EC, Fabian CO, Chinyere NU. Could chronic exposure to nocturnal artificial light pollution be an overlooked driver of urban obesity and metabolic syndrome?. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2025 Nov 11:111819.
14. Hogg B, Moreno-Alcázar A, Tóth MD, Serbanescu I, Aust B, Leduc C, Paterson C, Tsantilla F, Abdulla K, Cerga-Pashoja A, Cresswell-Smith J. Supporting employees with mental illness and reducing mental illness-related stigma in the workplace: an expert survey. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience*. 2023 Apr;273(3):739-53.
15. van Beukering IE, Sampogna G, Bakker M, Joosen MC, Dewa CS, van Weeghel J, Henderson C, Brouwers EP. Dutch workers' attitudes towards having a coworker with mental health issues or illness: a latent class analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2023 Jul 10;14:1212568.
16. Vistorste AO, Ribeiro W, Ziebold C, Asevedo E, Evans-Lacko S, Keeley JW, Gonçalves DA, Palacios NG, Mari JD. Clinical decisions and stigmatizing attitudes towards mental health problems in primary care physicians from Latin American countries. *PLoS One*. 2018 Nov 15;13(11):e0206440.
17. Paul-Chima UO, Nneoma UC, Bulhan S. Metabolic immunobridge: Could adipose-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between obesity, autoimmunity, and drug-induced hepatotoxicity?. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2025 Sep 28:111776.
18. Bobbili SJ, Carrara BS, Fernandes RH, Ventura CA. A situational analysis of primary health care centers in Brazil: challenges and opportunities for addressing mental illness and substance use-related stigma. *Primary health care research & development*. 2022 Jan;23:e37.
19. Milstein G, Middel D, Espinosa A. Consumers, clergy, and clinicians in collaboration: Ongoing implementation and evaluation of a mental wellness program. *American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation*. 2017 Jan 2;20(1):34-61.
20. Pederson AB, Earnshaw VA, Lewis-Fernández R, Hawkins D, Mangale DI, Tsai AC, Thornicroft G. Religiosity and stigmatization related to mental illness among African Americans and black immigrants: cross-sectional observational study and moderation analysis. *The journal of nervous and mental disease*. 2023 Feb 1;211(2):115-24.
21. Latha K, Meena KS, Pravitha MR, Dasgupta M, Chaturvedi SK. Effective use of social media platforms for promotion of mental health awareness. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2020;9:124. doi:10.4103/jehp.jehp\_90\_20.
22. Mat Ruzlin AN, Chen XW, Yunus RM, Samsudin EZ, Selamat MI, Ismail Z. Promoting Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Hybrid, Innovative Approach in Malaysia. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:747953. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.747953.
23. Rodríguez-Rivas ME, Cangas AJ, Fuentes-Olavarría D. Controlled Study of the Impact of a Virtual Program to Reduce Stigma Among University Students Toward People With Mental Disorders. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12:632252. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2021.632252.
24. Fendt-Newlin M, Jagannathan A, Webber M. Cultural adaptation framework of social interventions in mental health: Evidence-based case studies from low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2020;66(1):41-48. doi:10.1177/0020764019879943.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

25. Sangraula M, Kohrt BA, Ghimire R, Shrestha P, Luitel NP, Van't Hof E, Dawson K, Jordans MJD. Development of the mental health cultural adaptation and contextualization for implementation (mhCACI) procedure: a systematic framework to prepare evidence-based psychological interventions for scaling. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)*. 2021;8:e6. doi:10.1017/gmh.2021.5.
26. Bitta MA, Baariu J, Grassi S, Kariuki SM, Lennox B, Newton CRJC. Effectiveness of participatory video in lowering stigma against people with mental, neurological and substance use disorders in Kenya. *BJPsych Open*. 2023;9(6):e215. doi:10.1192/bjo.2023.587.
27. Ugwu OP, Okon MB, Alum EU, Ugwu CN, Anyanwu EG, Mariam B, Ogenyi FC, Eze VH, Anyanwu CN, Ezeonwumelu JO, Egba SI. Unveiling the therapeutic potential of the gut microbiota–brain axis: Novel insights and clinical applications in neurological disorders. *Medicine*. 2025 Jul 25;104(30):e43542.
28. Nyblade L, Stockton MA, Giger K, Bond V, Ekstrand ML, Mc Lean R, Mitchell EMH, Nelson LRE, Sapag JC, Siraprapasiri T, Turan J, Wouters E. Stigma in health facilities: why it matters and how we can change it. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):25. doi:10.1186/s12916-019-1256-2.
29. Walsh D, Foster J. Charting an Alternative Course for Mental Health-Related Anti-Stigma Social and Behaviour Change Programmes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(17):10618. doi:10.3390/ijerph191710618.

**CITE AS: Eve Tibererwa (2026). Cross-Cultural Interventions for Reducing Mental Health Stigma. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND MANAGEMENT, 7(1): 36-43.**  
<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCIAM/2025/71.3643>