

# The Impact of Technology on Interpersonal Relationships

Otieno Karanja J.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the evolving influence of modern technology on interpersonal relationships across social, romantic, familial, and workplace contexts. Drawing from historical patterns of communication, it illustrates how technologies like social media, mobile phones, online communities, and digital platforms have redefined human interaction. While technology facilitates connectivity, emotional expression, and relationship maintenance, it simultaneously fosters emotional distance, miscommunication, and erosion of trust. The research examines how generational preferences, communication cues, anonymity, and media saturation impact relationship quality. Additionally, it assesses the effects of digital engagement on identity, intimacy, and emotional well-being. The findings suggest that the impact of technology on relationships is not inherently positive or negative but is shaped by user behavior, platform design, and social context. Emphasis is placed on the need for mindful and moderated technology use to cultivate healthy and resilient human connections in the digital era.

**Keywords:** Technology and relationships, digital communication, social media impact, interpersonal dynamics, romantic relationships, family communication, generational differences.

## INTRODUCTION

Because social interactions are performed through means and media that are constructed by the society itself, the relationship is bidirectional; societal circumstances engender certain technologies, the uses of which then accelerate and develop in unexpected forms. In that perspective, technology does not inherently afford one status or another; accordingly, it would be irresponsible to claim that Facebook is beneficial or harmful to relationships without first considering the unique ways people employ these social capabilities. Although digital media allows for extraordinary communication, its design often encourages environments where harm to relationships is a greater outcome. The effect of the medium on social interaction therefore, warrants open discourse. Technologies of greatest impact currently include cell phones, social networking sites, massively multiplayer online role-playing games, and online communities such as PostSecret; each medium's issues pertain also to others, illustrating significant concepts concerning technology's effect on social interaction. Chapter 2 offers an overview of these media and their effects. Chapter 3 examines the communicative possibilities and constraints, focusing particularly on cell phones and the impact of reduced social cues. Chapter 4 explores Aristotle's three kinds of friendship and considers how platforms like Facebook may be redefining friendship and intimacy. Chapter 5 addresses relationship development online, especially involving anonymity, with a primary focus on video games [1, 2].

### Historical Context Of Communication

Historically, communication was largely constrained to face-to-face conversations and oral exchanges, where individuals engaged in speaking, listening, teaching, and writing. The significant advent of the

printing press opened the door to the transmission of information through books, newspapers, and various other printed word forms. This new method of communication required considerable time and effort from both the sender and the receiver in order to create meaning and understanding. "People had to be physically near each other, literally speaking the same language, and pass ideas back and forth in person, without the aid of modern technology." Although we live in a digital age today, the communication landscape continues to be profoundly shaped by these historical modes of interaction, including their failures, advantages, and the prevailing social conditions inherent to each era. As we navigate through this digital landscape, it is essential to recognize the ways in which our current practices are interconnected with the rich history of human communication [3, 4].

### **TYPES OF TECHNOLOGY INFLUENCING RELATIONSHIPS**

Technology is the application of scientific knowledge for practical purposes and encompasses tangible tools such as devices and vehicles, as well as intangible systems like telecommunications, computer software, and the internet. Social media are computer-mediated technologies that facilitate the creation, sharing, and exchange of information, ideas, interests, and practices through virtual communities and networks. Interpersonal relationships are associations between two or more individuals involving varying degrees of closeness, ranging from fleeting encounters to enduring bonds. Social media and technological innovations significantly influence the development and perpetuation of interpersonal relationships through connectivity, interaction, and exchange. Factors such as the type of social media employed, the specific platform, the timing of use, and individual engagement patterns (active or passive) determine the extent of their impact. Key platforms and tools that affect interpersonal relationships include social media, mobile communication devices, and video chat services. These enable remote and proximate interactions via voice, video, text, and instant messaging [5, 6].

### **POSITIVE EFFECTS OF TECHNOLOGY ON RELATIONSHIPS**

Young adults utilize various electronic communication devices to initiate and enhance interpersonal relationships, and such interactions have productive potential when mediated by computers or handheld devices during transitions to college. Technology facilitates collaborative opportunities and simulates real-world scenarios, thereby enriching students' learning experiences. Additionally, adolescents employ technology to establish relationships and maintain updated statuses on social networking platforms; however, the dynamics may involve challenges such as jealousy, monitoring, and partner-imposed isolation stemming from distrust. Consequently, awareness of supportive resources and the establishment of contact limits serve to mitigate negative consequences. Frequent engagement with communication technologies among high school students correlates with increased identity distress, heightened anxiety, and diminished quality of peer relationships; furthermore, technology use is associated with psychological issues, including anxiety and depression. Recognizing these patterns informs the development of programs aimed at fostering positive social and emotional growth in youth [7, 8].

### **NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF TECHNOLOGY ON RELATIONSHIPS**

Technology impacts relationships by damaging trust and causing jealousy, as partners often hide issues behind the technology. This leads to interference with intimacy, with couples avoiding face-to-face communication and risking misunderstandings because of the lack of in-person visual cues. Moreover, technology frequently distracts individuals with incoming calls and messages, fostering mistrust and insecurity. Engagement with friends of the opposite sex on social media platforms increases the risk of infidelity. Such mistrust may provoke suspicious behavior, including the investigation of each other's devices, which destabilizes relationships and can precipitate divorce; a significant number of divorces involve disputes over social media messages. Overall, although technology has transformed communication patterns, its misuse weakens social ties, causes social division, and leads to the deterioration of interpersonal relationships [9, 10].

### **GENERATIONAL DIFFERENCES IN TECHNOLOGY USE**

Generational differences in mobile technology use significantly continue to influence the various purposes for which collaborative communication technologies are utilized, with corresponding implications that affect both learning and communication processes in today's society. Older generations typically favour traditional landline telephones for their communication needs, whereas Millennials primarily rely on mobile phones as their main communication device, indicating distinct and varied adoption rates of different communication technologies across these age groups. Additionally, conversations regarding mobile phone use while driving are prevalent, with a strong consensus across generations that it is perceived as a high-risk activity. This underscores a widespread recognition of the associated safety concerns linked to distracted driving behaviors. Furthermore, although voice-assistant technologies are

designed to meet several user needs effectively, adoption rates of these technologies remain relatively low among various demographic groups. This reflects persistent barriers to widespread acceptance, including usability issues and resistance to integrating new technologies into daily life routines, which continue to hinder broader usage and support among potential users [11, 12].

### **IMPACT OF TECHNOLOGY ON FAMILY DYNAMICS**

Technology affects family dynamics by damaging partner trust and interfering with intimacy, as couples tend to hide behind technology to avoid discussing issues. This can lead to feelings of exclusion, neglect, jealousy, and mistrust. Continuous texting replaces face-to-face conversations, increasing misunderstandings due to a lack of emotional cues. Mistrust arises when partners are distracted by calls and messages, leading to jealousy and insecurity. Engaging with friends of the opposite sex on social media can tempt sexting, resulting in infidelity. Such issues can lead to relationship instability and divorce, with a significant percentage of divorce cases linked to social media messages. The impact of modern technology has changed communication and social interaction, but excessive use can weaken social ties, cause social division, and lead to stress and relationship breakups. Proper management of technology use is necessary to maximize benefits and minimize harm. Technology's impact on family dynamics is complex, as societal circumstances influence the development and use of digital media. The design of digital platforms often encourages environments where harm to relationships can occur, despite their potential benefits. The paper discusses four forms of digital media—cell phones, social networking sites, online multiplayer games, and online communities—and explores their effects on social interaction. It examines how digital media affects verbal and nonverbal communication, especially in reduced social cues, and how online relationships, including those involving anonymity, impact social bonds. The analysis considers how social media like Facebook redefines friendship and intimacy and addresses the development and maintenance of relationships online, with a particular focus on video games [13, 14].

### **TECHNOLOGY AND ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIPS**

Romantic relationships have become easier to maintain with the increasing use of technological devices. These allow individuals to send romantic messages such as “Good Morning” or “I miss you” without being in proximity to each other, with many relying on these devices to stay emotionally connected. Questions arise as to whether such companions truly satisfy the need for intimate relationships since they often result in relationships that exist only in cyberspace. The use of laptops further facilitates access to unregulated and immoderate contact with the opposite sex, raising concerns about the quality of such interactions. Technology has also simplified the process of meeting the opposite sex compared to previous times. However, this convenience partially contributes to the difficulty in finding a life partner, as individuals engage with many prospects to the point of losing a genuine seeking spirit. The continuous use of technology, particularly mobile phones, can be addictive, due to the release of chemicals like dopamine and serotonin that create feelings of happiness and make withdrawal challenging. Evidence from a U.S. study shows that students avoiding social media for 24 hours experience mental and physical distress, including pain and isolation. Increasingly, students communicate through technology at the expense of face-to-face interaction, often sitting alone in public spaces connected to the internet but not engaging with nearby people. Electronic platforms such as Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter contribute to youths distancing themselves from physical social interactions and social isolation. In family settings, technology impacts relationships during courtship and marriage; early communication through texting may misrepresent personalities, with true character traits becoming apparent only after extended acquaintance [15, 16].

### **WORKPLACE RELATIONSHIPS IN THE DIGITAL AGE**

Digital technology permeates workplaces with innovative forms of communication, creating new challenges for workers and organisations. While many technologies have enhanced communication between previously isolated individuals, other types of media negatively impact relationship-building. The study analysed the volume of digital communication, expectations of constant connectivity, quality of messages, adaptation of new tools, technical problems and communication flexibility, exploring their impact on wellbeing at work. The majority of digital communication was perceived as demanding, yet some demanding factors also provided flexibility, enhancing wellbeing by increasing autonomy and control. The study thereby deepens understanding of factors in digital communication which affect employee wellbeing. It examines four prominent forms of digital media — cell phones, social networking sites, online role-playing games and online communities — investigating key issues for each. The influence of media on personal connections is explored, focusing on the social interaction they offer, which is either synchronous or asynchronous. Relevant societal circumstances can give rise to certain technologies, the

uses of which accelerate and develop in unexpected forms. Technology lacks inherent status; thus, it would be irresponsible to label social media as beneficial or harmful without first considering the unique ways in which people use such capabilities. While digital media offer extraordinary benefits for communication, their design can encourage environments where harm to relationships constitutes the greater outcome [17, 18].

### **CULTURAL PERSPECTIVES ON TECHNOLOGY AND RELATIONSHIPS**

Social ties constitute the major routes through which individuals gain knowledge about their environment, and they are key components of a community's social infrastructure. Although society hardly gives a second thought to the multiple bridges, roads, and tunnels that facilitate the movement of physical goods or the ever-present broadband cables that supply computers with data, providing ready access to information, social ties often remain taken for granted. Well-established social ties are an indication of a well-functioning community. Traditionally, proximity and structural equivalence favored the emergence of strong ties, and environmental factors constrained how individuals acquired information or assistance. The term "technological paradigm" is commonly used to refer to "a universally recognized scientific achievement that provides model problems and solutions to a community of practitioners" (Dosi, 1982, p. 153). While a widely-used conceptual framework in the social study of technology, the idea of a technological paradigm privileges the social community that uses the scientific principle and the emergent system of artefacts that embodies the paradigm over the artefacts and particular uses themselves. Indeed, even standard accounts of technological paradigms view the links between artefacts and users as a secondary issue. The construct of technological paradigm does not, therefore, appear well suited to a study of technological innovation considered from the perspective of users and use [19, 20].

### **FUTURE TRENDS IN TECHNOLOGY AND INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS**

Future technology developments promise to significantly shape interpersonal relationships in diverse and novel ways that were previously unimaginable. Emerging technologies such as augmented reality glasses and augmented reality contact lenses are set to radically transform our interaction with the world around us, while smartwatches and digital assistants will broaden our access to information and enhance our ability to communicate beyond current limitations. However, realizing the full benefits of these cutting-edge technologies requires more than just their adoption; it necessitates a concerted effort to cultivate essential relational skills and maintain social norms that help limit the potentially adverse effects that may emerge from their use. Understanding the communicative possibilities and constraints inherent in digital media remains critically important, particularly in light of the impact of reduced social cues that are often found in various forms of mediated communication. Furthermore, platforms such as social networking sites play a significant role in shaping our perceptions of friendship and intimacy, often altering the traditional dynamics of these relationships. Additionally, relationships that are developed and maintained in online environments—especially in contexts where anonymity is a prominent factor—require special consideration; video games, for instance, illustrate a rich context where such dynamics and interactions become particularly salient and worthy of deeper exploration [21, 22].

### **ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN TECHNOLOGY USE**

Technology raises crucial ethical considerations in the formation and maintenance of friendships. The ability to interact anonymously and without accountability over the internet significantly diminishes the incentive to invest meaningful time at the beginning stages of relationships and complicates the process of finding common ground at all stages of those connections. By eroding the commitment and concerted effort from which genuine, face-to-face human bonds flourished and developed over time, technology actively promotes the values of superficiality, utility, and expediency in social interactions, ultimately impacting the depth and quality of these relationships. The ethical dimension of this critical issue concerns people's livelihoods and their overall well-being because relationships provide essential social capital from which individuals draw not only emotional support but also shared values, personal identity, and a sense of protection in an often chaotic world. In an increasingly digital landscape, the deep layers and nuances of interpersonal connections are at risk of being overshadowed and diminished, leading to potential long-term consequences for individual happiness, psychological health, and community cohesion [23, 24].

### **CASE STUDIES**

Two roles complementary to teaching and research are service and leadership. Companies actively encourage their employees to take on a service role, showing its importance outside academia. Service cuts across all levels of the company and increases team-building activities. Those who are less focused on service may be given fewer opportunities and often stick to their areas of expertise. Service can be

challenging to track and monitor since the outcomes are not strictly numerical or objective. One way to become better at both service and leadership is by teaching a class at a university. This involves communicating clearly, efficiently, and effectively on technical subjects. Additionally, the act of mentoring can prove challenging, since one must make oneself available to others who may become entranced in a whirlpool of questions. Teaching and learning go hand-in-hand, as a person needs to stay updated, innovate, and learn new skills to create new exercises, assignments, projects, and examples. For self-taught individuals, this process can sow the need for better personal and time-management skills. In general, service and leadership require a tremendous time investment both during the day and at night [25, 26].

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HEALTHY TECHNOLOGY USE

Technology significantly affects intimacy by encouraging individuals to conceal themselves behind devices, which can unintentionally foster mistrust, jealousy, and an overwhelming sense of exclusion among partners. The act of continuous texting, while convenient, is prone to serious misinterpretation. This is largely due to the absence of crucial facial and bodily cues that play a vital role in the accurate conveyance of emotions during interpersonal communication. As a result, the true feelings and intentions of individuals can become muddled and unclear, leading to misunderstandings. Distractions arising from incessant calls and notifications may further exacerbate feelings of insecurity and jealousy within various relationships. Additionally, connections with friends of the opposite sex on ever-popular social media platforms can tempt individuals into engaging in sexting and even infidelity, which can lead to significant relationship instability and, in extreme cases, divorce. The prevailing mistrust that arises from relentless technology use has been implicated in a substantial proportion of divorces. The negative consequences of technology do not stop there; they extend to weakening crucial social ties, exacerbating social division, fostering isolation, increasing stress levels, and contributing to the breakdown of essential social relationships. While the overall impact of technology on personal relationships remains a topic of ongoing debate among experts and laypersons alike, these pervasive issues underscore the pressing necessity for conscientious and mindful utilisation of these tools. This approach can help to maximise the benefits they can offer while simultaneously mitigating potential harm to individual relationships and social well-being [27, 28].

### CONCLUSION

The influence of technology on interpersonal relationships is profound and multifaceted. While it enables unprecedented levels of connectivity and provides new modes of communication, it also introduces challenges such as emotional detachment, surveillance, mistrust, and digital fatigue. The digital age has not only transformed how we connect but also redefined the very essence of human bonds—altering the way friendships are formed, romantic partners interact, families communicate, and colleagues collaborate. This duality underscores the importance of intentional technology use, digital literacy, and socio-emotional awareness. For relationships to thrive in the digital era, individuals and communities must learn to harness technology's benefits while mitigating its risks, fostering deeper, more authentic connections that transcend the screen.

### REFERENCES

1. Pikhart M, Botezat O. The impact of the use of social media on second language acquisition. *Procedia computer science*. 2021. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
2. Kolhar M, Kazi RNA, Alameen A. Effect of social media use on learning, social interactions, and sleep duration among university students. *Saudi journal of biological sciences*. 2021. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
3. Tian Y, Liu S, Wang J. A corpus study on the difference of turn-taking in online audio, online video, and face-to-face conversation. *Language and Speech*. 2024. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
4. Lee J, Ko Y. Comparing metaverse and face-to-face instruction for enhancing English skills and attitudes among EFL adolescents. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2024. [HTML]
5. Rachmad YE. The Evolution of Consumer Behavior: Theories of Engagement, Influence, and Digital Interaction. 2024. [HTML]
6. Merino M, Tórnoro-Aguilera JF, Rubio-Zarapuz A, Villanueva-Tobaldo CV, Martín-Rodríguez A, Clemente-Suárez VJ. Body perceptions and psychological well-being: a review of the impact of social media and physical measurements on self-esteem and mental health with a focus on body image satisfaction and its relationship with cultural and gender factors. *InHealthcare* 2024 Jul 12 (Vol. 12, No. 14, p. 1396). MDPI. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)

7. Spiratos KN. Problematic smartphone use among high school students and its relationships with depression, stress, self-esteem, grit and academic performance. 2021. [proquest.com](https://proquest.com)
8. Qi C, Yang N. Digital resilience and technological stress in adolescents: A mixed-methods study of factors and interventions. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2024. [\[HTML\]](#)
9. Kyegombe N, Stern E, Buller AM. “We saw that jealousy can also bring violence”: A qualitative exploration of the intersections between jealousy, infidelity and intimate partner violence in .... *Social Science & Medicine*. 2022. [sciencedirect.com](https://sciencedirect.com)
10. Schokkenbroek JM, Ponnet K, Hardyns W. Technology-facilitated harm and abuse in intimate relationships. In *Encyclopedia of domestic violence* 2023 Jun 6 (pp. 1-16). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [researchgate.net](https://researchgate.net)
11. Taipale S, Oinas T, Karhinen J. Heterogeneity of traditional and digital media use among older adults: A six-country comparison. *Technology in Society*. 2021. [sciencedirect.com](https://sciencedirect.com)
12. Di Tullio M, Gómez-Cruz E. Mobile mutuality of being: WhatsApp and kinship at the top of the world. *Mobile Media & Communication*. 2025. [\[HTML\]](#)
13. Jabali O, Hamamra B, Mahamid F. Modern relationships and social media: exploring the digital dynamics of husband–wife interactions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2024 Dec 24;11(1):1-9. [nature.com](https://nature.com)
14. Fernet M, Hébert M, Brodeur G, Guyon R, Lapierre A. Youth’s experiences of cyber violence in intimate relationships: A matter of love and trust. *Journal of child sexual abuse*. 2023 Apr 3;32(3):296-317. [\[HTML\]](#)
15. Hong JW. I was born to love AI: The influence of social status on AI self-efficacy and intentions to use AI. *International Journal of Communication*. 2022. [ijoc.org](https://ijoc.org)
16. Zhang Y, Love DJ, Krogmeier JV, Anderson CR, Heath RW, Buckmaster DR. Challenges and opportunities of future rural wireless communications. *IEEE Communications Magazine*. 2022 Jan 13;59(12):16-22. [\[PDF\]](#)
17. Zhang W, Zhang W, Daim TU. Investigating consumer purchase intention in online social media marketing: A case study of Tiktok. *Technology in Society*. 2023. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Vaccari C, Valeriani A. Outside the bubble: Social media and political participation in Western democracies. 2021. [unibo.it](https://unibo.it)
19. Peng C, Xia F, Naseriparsa M, Osborne F. Knowledge graphs: Opportunities and challenges. *Artificial intelligence review*. 2023. [springer.com](https://springer.com)
20. Yang J, Xiu P, Sun L, Ying L, Muthu B. Social media data analytics for business decision making system to competitive analysis. *Information Processing & Management*. 2022 Jan 1;59(1):102751. [\[HTML\]](#)
21. Bankins S, Ocampo AC, Marrone M, Restubog SL, Woo SE. A multilevel review of artificial intelligence in organizations: Implications for organizational behavior research and practice. *Journal of organizational behavior*. 2024 Feb;45(2):159-82. [wiley.com](https://wiley.com)
22. Xu W, Dainoff MJ, Ge L, Gao Z. Transitioning to human interaction with AI systems: New challenges and opportunities for HCI professionals to enable human-centered AI. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*. 2023 Feb 7;39(3):494-518. [\[PDF\]](#)
23. Dissanayake L, Al-Sharify A. AI and Decision Making: How does the integration of AI influence leadership decision making within technology driven companies?. 2025. [diva-portal.org](https://diva-portal.org)
24. Giakoumakis E, Vicars M, Arantes J. Educational Illusion and Collapse: Postdigital Classrooms as Places of Entangled Encounter (ing). *Postdigital Science and Education*. 2025. [springer.com](https://springer.com)
25. Truong L. Maintaining Constant Team-building in modern work life with Staff Tribes. 2023. [theseus.fi](https://theseus.fi)
26. Anam MD. The most effective team-building approaches of Orange BD. 2023. [bracu.ac.bd](https://bracu.ac.bd)
27. Ellcessor E. In case of emergency: How technologies mediate crisis and normalize inequality. 2022. [\[HTML\]](#)
28. Lee J, Lee D, Lee JG. Influence of rapport and social presence with an AI psychotherapy chatbot on users’ self-disclosure. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*. 2024 Apr 2;40(7):1620-31. [ssrn.com](https://ssrn.com)

**CITE AS: Otieno Karanja J. (2025). The Impact of Technology on Interpersonal Relationships. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 6(3):172-178 <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJSES/2025/63.172178>**