NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES (NIJSES)

Volume 6 Issue 3 Page 102-108, 2025

©NIJSES PUBLICATIONS
Open Access

ONLINE ISSN:2992-5819
PRINT ISSN:2992-6149

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJSES/2025/63.102108

Geospatial Analysis in Urban Planning

Ariowachukwu Divine Sopruchi

Computer Engineering School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Geospatial analysis, driven by the evolution of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies, plays an increasingly pivotal role in urban planning. This study examines the historical, technological, and methodological foundations of geospatial analysis and its growing significance in shaping contemporary urban environments. Beginning with a historical overview of urban planning, the paper discusses the transition from classical design to data-driven planning. It examines the expanding typologies of geospatial data, including vector and raster models, volunteered geographic information, and participatory platforms that have transformed how spatial knowledge is produced and applied. The integration of spatial analysis methods, including spatial clustering and autocorrelation techniques, enhances the ability of planners to assess urban growth, traffic safety, land use, and environmental impact with greater precision. Remote sensing advancements, alongside machine learning techniques, further support urban land use monitoring. Despite the promise, challenges such as data accessibility, quality verification, equitable usage, and effective knowledge visualization persist. This paper argues that while the tools of geospatial analysis are powerful, achieving sustainable, inclusive urban futures will depend on resolving issues of cost, accessibility, and interpretability for diverse stakeholders.

Keywords: Geospatial Analysis, Urban Planning, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Remote Sensing, Spatial Data, Urban Development, Participatory GIS.

INTRODUCTION

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are computer-based systems developed since the 1960s for capturing, storing, analyzing, and displaying spatial data, widely applied in government, business, and research. They integrate hardware, software, and domain-specific data to create maps that convey diverse information for various audiences. GIS enhances the understanding of urban traffic, informing better traffic patterns and routes through mathematical development. Monitoring urban expansion is crucial, leading to zoning regulations that support potential city extensions and related services. GIS also provides comprehensive traffic accident analyses, recognizing the impact of community design on traffic safety. Traffic accident data, captured in CRS, can be converted into graphical GIS data. Two indices reflecting community design factors affecting traffic safety are established, employing various GIS techniques, including functional classification, and presenting empirical results as prediction performance indicators. Spatial autocorrelation indicates substantial differences in risk patterns and road type percentages across communities. GIS is increasingly vital in transport, offering unique insights into natural and human-made environments by connecting generic information with its location. It is instrumental for analyzing transportation networks in urban planning, road safety, noise modeling, and real estate development. Furthermore, GIS serves as a powerful tool for examining environmental conditions, facilitating analyses of the current scenario, and aiding in future environmental projections [1, 2].

Historical Context of Urban Planning

In classical times, cities were vital for social interaction, originating as clusters near water, groves, and fertile lands, leading to primitive settlements. Early cities like Jericho (9000-10,000 BC) were defensive enclaves. As populations grew, the need for efficient systems led to the emergence of administrative classes and urban planning. Monumental nuclei developed, with spaces for aristocrats and economic centers for the populace. By the 1st century BC, cities like Alexandria and Roman towns featured well-planned layouts, integrating land and maritime supply routes. Thinkers established ideal city canons using geometry; Vitruvius examined optics for accessibility, while Socrates divided urban plots based on social needs. As cities spread, rulers imposed designs on them. The Middle Ages saw freedom leading to charters and economic recovery, with fortified settlements expanding along aligned roads. The 19th-century Industrial Revolution spurred exponential growth in cities like Manchester and Pittsburgh, whose dense cores accommodated factories, while low-density suburbs emerged, driven by social issues and health crises. Efforts to improve living conditions led to modernizations that often resulted in impoverishment. Cities were reconstructed into five components: core, periphery, connection, edges, and public spaces. With regained independence, towns evolved, retaining their historical shapes. The complexity of urban evolution and socio-political changes made replicating towns challenging, as distortions of original land uses became evident. Exploring the roles of syntactic and geometric diagrams in urban transformations holds potential for future city studies [3, 4].

Importance of Geospatial Data

While urban planning has long relied on established geospatial data sources such as satellite imagery and Lidar, cities have been resurfacing a new age of geospatial data. This involves the accessibility of micro-scale mobility data, upgraded Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI) websites, and additional data directly related to urban studies but not with precise geospatial references. Furthermore, cities are digitizing civic participation in consultation and budget processes, generating new forms of participatory geographic data. Incubated by interactive web platforms, a new generation of geospatial data typologies is under development, and there are several differentiating features that these new data typologies introduce to the massive transformative potential opportunities for urban studies involving geospatial analysis. Firstly, most traditional geospatial data sources involve high entry barriers for end-users. For example, behind most geospatial data sources are expensive servers, arduous modelling pipelines, and complex programming codes. In contrast, new data sources tend to be more accessible. Many sources actively engage with the end-users in an open format. For example, in addition to simple mapping websites, advanced tools like input/output affordable Geographic Information Services are becoming widely available for end-users to generate new information, analytics, and visualisations. Secondly, traditional data sources usually rely on passive monitoring by observatory techniques, while many new sources involve active participation by end-users. In this case, the line between producer and consumer blurs for some data sources. For instance, the “Nature of Cities” website encourages users to share their understanding of cities through music, poetry, visual art, or participation in civic discussions. This alliance of interactive websites and participatory methods greatly extends the scope of indirectly referenceable or explicitly geospatial data. Such geographical information can be leveraged for systemic spatial analyses to connect the qualitative files to new knowledge using geospatial data mining techniques [5, 6].

Types of Geospatial Data

Geospatial data models include the vector data model and the raster data model. Vector data is a discrete representation of geographical features, whereas raster data is a continuous representation and consists of equally-sized pixels. Vector data types include points, lines, and polygons, which represent soils, rivers, and land parcels. Raster data refers to grid cells that represent continuous measurements of phenomena such as elevation, rainfall, land surface temperature, NDVI, etc. Raster data may also have a temporal dimension. Vector and raster data have their pros and cons. Vector data is more compact than raster data because data is stored as a list of coordinates, while raster data stores values for each pixel (often more than 20 million pixels). On the other hand, raster data can represent both continuous and discrete features, while vector data cannot represent continuous data. Additionally, raster data is easier to analyze computationally. Geospatial data models can be specific to regions or countries, such as the US Transportation Model and the European and Chinese Transportation Models. Data formats can also be simple or complex. For example, KML is a simple declarative format to represent geographic features, while GIS Shapefiles are a binary format of multiple files to represent geographic features. Furthermore, data formats can be proprietary or open formats with open/closed specifications, or complicated and rarely implemented. Vector data generally consists of either 2D data (which can be considered as 3D data with one dimension for time) or 3D data. It may be city-wide data with natural and cultural features or represent a specific feature in high detail (building footprints or floor plans). Raster data generally consists of either a worldwide grid at a low resolution in the range of 500m to 10km or an urban grid and/or multitemporal data at a high resolution of several meters. It may represent several phenomena (population density, land use, traffic flow, etc.) or focus on a single phenomenon in detail [7, 8].

Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

A Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computerized system for storing, manipulating, analyzing, and displaying spatial information. A GIS includes data entry modules and user training tools. It has varied applications, such as: 1) Engineering design for water and sewer systems; 2) Operational planning for emergency services; 3) Long-range planning for site selection and population forecasting; 4) Public participation in land use inventories and education. The prototype GIS functions as a change detection system valuable for urban planners, demonstrated through land-use and population change analyses. Urban planners gather extensive data on land use, infrastructure, and demographics to forecast future growth and development, typically through three methods: 1) Separate forecasts for each subject area; 2) Analyzing interactions among multiple area forecasts mathematically; 3) Developing street layouts to estimate impacts on various factors. Future forecasts rely on past trends but do not clarify the change mechanisms. These forecasts inform the future spatial distribution of various geographic unit characteristics. GIS improves data storage, analysis speed, and accuracy. There is an ongoing effort to develop efficient tools for spatial data storage and analysis, aiding land-use and environmental planning, natural resource management, and other applications [9, 10].

Remote Sensing Techniques

This section reviews the most common remote sensing methods used for urban land use detection and monitoring. The aim is to present the current state of research and the main challenges in the use of remote sensing for the urban environment. The first part provides an overview of these different remote sensing sources or means, organized from ground to space observation distance. Then, the approaches that enable urban land use detection and monitoring from remote sensing data are discussed, from knowledge-based approaches to more recent but less supervised methods. With the advent of high spatial resolution sensors (sub 5m) by both commercial and a few national earth observation satellites, the urban environment has attracted considerable attention from the remote sensing community. Urban units such as buildings, impervious surfaces, and roads have been successfully mapped in the last decade using high spatial resolution remote sensing data. The uniqueness of the urban environment lies in its high, heterogeneous, and complex surface structures, but for satellite sensors, several challenges remain unsolved, such as sensor conditions, data representation, and algorithmic development. The research question is posed regarding how to represent and advance automatic urban land cover and land use extraction. Intuitively, the answer is to deal with the new types of increasingly operational satellite sensors (high spatial resolution multispectral as well as multi-angle/hyperspectral) and accompanying data representation (large volume, multiple modalities). The fast evolution of machine learning provides powerful solutions to improve the state-of-the-art. However, these new means also pose unprecedented challenges in algorithmic development due to their processing difficulties. Looking ahead, the perspectives for future developments in remote sensing are a comprehensive discussion focus [11, 12].

Spatial Analysis Methods

This section introduces fundamental mathematical operations that make up many spatial analysis methods commonly adopted in urban planning and development studies. They group into four categories: spatial clustering methods that recognize spatial clustering structures, local spatial autocorrelation methods that observe and quantify the intensity of these stochastic processes, intensity-based classical statistical tests that compare the intensity of clusters in different regions over time, and spatial correlation analysis methods that quantify the degree of association between different unobserved stochastic processes. It discusses the statistical properties of each category of methods, describes their applications in the domain of geospatial analysis in urban planning, and demonstrates how to analyze in a user-friendly way. With the advancement of new sensing technologies and the increased availability of various geospatial data, spatial analysis methods, or spatial statistics in particular, have gained more attention in the planning domain. Given the widespread use of geospatial information in urban planning, it is high time to communicate how spatial data and spatial analysis methods can be used to gain a better understanding of planning outcomes. This section introduces a curated set of spatial analysis methods that are commonly seen in the urban planning literature. It presents four frequently adopted main mathematical operations of these methods by categorizing them into four paradigms. For each category, this section describes the statistical properties of the spatio-temporal clustering structure, ways to detect such a structure, benchmarking against established packages, and visualizing the results. By doing so, it aims to share the insights learned from the literature review with the planning researchers and practitioners who might be interested in understanding urban systems more holistically, especially in the planning domain [13, 14].

Applications of Geospatial Analysis in Urban Planning

Geospatial analysis generates geographical knowledge through data collection, processing, analysis, and presentation, often referred to as Geographical Information Systems (GIS) technology. It utilizes methods like remote sensing and GPS to process locational information contextually. Recent advancements in technology, such as remote sensing, ubiquitous sensing, and big data techniques, have transformed traditional data collection methods, offering innovative approaches to acquire geographical insights. In urban studies, GIS-supported methodologies highlight differences in urban development patterns over time and space. Quantitative indicators and statistical analyses from multi-source spatial-temporal data are valuable for urban planning and assessing large-scale plans. GIS has become integral in creating urban land use plans and dynamic growth simulations. City morphology studies urban form, segmentation, building types, and land use, while data-driven techniques like space syntax and deep learning enhancements aid in identifying polluted land-use types. Multi-format data are fused to produce usable building-footprint-space polygons for evaluating urban efficiency [15, 16].

Challenges in Geospatial Analysis

Since the concept of Geographic Information System (GIS) emerged, it has led to a fundamental change in how data is collected and processed, analyzed and interpreted, represented and stored, shared, and displayed. There is a great variety of applications of geographic information systems, and the achievements in geospatial sciences have changed the world, thus bringing great convenience to human life. However, the emerging technologies, social networks, and the complexity of the world also bring challenges to geospatial sciences. The persistence of the “digital divide” shows that the benefits of GIS-based smart cities are not shared equally. Although the data within a country is freely provided to citizens, personal information is still under strict control. This raises issues on how citizens could participate in urban planning decisions using urban data. The geospatial data in the smart city should be fairly shared by various parties, including the government, universities, the private sector, and organizations. However, the technical methods to collect, refine, analyze, and visualize such data are very costly, while only rich companies can afford such data. This raises the question of whether, in the future progress of geospatial technology, the cost of services for geospatial information could be low enough for citizens and small organizations to afford. It is also highly believed that data paves the way to knowledge that guides the resolution to wisdom. Numerous data on social networks are widely shared and open to public access. People share various geographic information via social websites, resulting in public information produced through implicit citizen participatory GIS. However, the credibility of volunteered geographic information is still doubted. Interpretations are highly subjective, and thus, more efforts are needed to develop methods to assess and analyze such data. The genesis of a database on the massive spatio-temporal data is just the beginning of the process of going from data to knowledge. Furthermore, such knowledge should guide the resolution to wisdom. With so much knowledge, translating it into wise decisions is as important as collecting it. On the other hand, good knowledge should be easily understood and used. Many state-of-the-art methods to visualize data are ambiguous, resulting in usage confusion. However, how to adequately display the knowledge to people is not an easy task. This raises the question: are there good methods to visualize the created knowledge in a new way? Before GIS was developed, maps were only paper-based. Thanks to GIS, the data are not restricted to paper. With the advances of internet technologies, two-dimensional maps are far from enough. More and more effort has been made to visualize GIS, such as 3D GIS, tangible GIS, and augmented reality GIS. Despite the challenges above, the past decades of geospatial sciences, thanks to the advent of GIS, have provided a wealth of knowledge to boost the development of future geospatial sciences [17, 18].

Future Trends in Geospatial Analysis

The future of geospatial analysis offers vast possibilities and growth opportunities. This article outlines several emerging trends in the field: 1) Integration with remote sensing; 2) Expanded dimensions through 3D GIS; 3) Cloud-based GIS; 4) Integration with virtual reality. Geographic information systems (GIS) have been vital for analyzing urban form since the mid-1980s, focusing on quantitative measures such as urban density and land uses. As user needs evolve, GIS technology adapts quickly. New geospatial technologies, including remotely sensed imagery and LiDAR data, enable more precise classification of land cover and use. Mobile mapping captures urban object GPS coordinates comprehensively, while web-based mapping processes data in sophisticated analytical ways. Ambient geospatial technology captures spatial information affordably and rapidly. These innovations not only help describe traditional urban form variables but also reveal new aspects of city structure. Remote sensing satellites allow analysis of urban form changes over decades, offering extensive coverage at reduced costs compared to traditional methods. Pioneering research involved medium-resolution satellite imagery like Landsat, which detected urban core expansion through water turbidity. High-resolution images from Ikonos and QuickBird enabled finer spatial analysis of urban forms [19, 20].

Case Studies

The city of Tbilisi, capital of Georgia, is one of the oldest cities in the world, with a rich history that has shaped its morphology and infrastructure. Located in the south-eastern part of Europe at the eastern edge of the Caucasus, Tbilisi has been unlike any other city for centuries. Wonderful hills, steep valleys, and meandering rivers surround it and have made the city unique both in view and sentiment. There are a number of ways to explore the history of Tbilisi. This study focuses on examining the urban form of Tbilisi and exploring its evolution throughout the years in detail, addressing also the geospatial and socio-political circumstances that shaped the city and dominated the topology in the case study. To test the potential of WebGIS, a computer application was built as a deliverable of this project. The application consists of a map layer that allows reviewing the evaluation of the GIS layers provided with the deliverable, as they were in GeoServer. The Map Layer considers a time control that allows the user to go back in the history of Tbilisi. Several documents about the Tbilisi context and the scenario constructed for the study were produced. These documents describe how the input information and the knowledge of specialists were transformed into a modeling environment, which includes, in addition to the scenarios, a modeling approach, sources of input data, calibration, and validation strategies. A web-based application, which allows the citizens of Tbilisi to express opinions, show problematic places in the city, and express hopes about the forthcoming city, is also an output of the project. Possible links with SWAT were investigated to provide a further level of accuracy. The European funding provided by the program enabled gathering qualified personnel who contributed to this project significantly through their expertise and capability across disciplines [21, 22].

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations with using the data that curb measures must be followed as per ethical considerations for every research study. As far as the sharing of the data second issues pertain. For instance, disclosure of data such that an individual's point of origin, destination, or transit can be uniquely located raises ethical issues around allowing the identification of the individuals and their day-to-day behavior. Given the level of granularity prevalent in these datasets, the direct or indirect identification can consequence in severe reputational (and economic implications) to individuals. Moreover, disclosure of aggregated data may still allow contextual integration methods to breach confidentiality, while public daily patterns could serve as a vehicle for malicious activities like robberies or home incursions. These issues are especially relevant for research surrounding private organizations due to tighter regulations than voluntary data sharing of municipal-based crowd-sourced data. Individual researchers are advised to collaborate with top firms in the field to align usage of data and share implications surrounding potential dissemination. The data shares fundamental societal-wide behaviors and, by (partly) anonymizing, reveals incredibly venturesome (and non-malicious) insights about city human geography. Even under this ethical concern, ensuring anonymization after data selection, proper additional treatments, and not sharing granularity are a few techniques to try to mitigate personal identification [23-26].

CONCLUSION

Geospatial analysis has emerged as an indispensable tool for modern urban planning, offering nuanced insights into spatial dynamics, infrastructure development, and environmental management. Through GIS, remote sensing, and advanced spatial analysis techniques, planners can model, simulate, and visualize urban growth and infrastructure demands with remarkable accuracy. The evolution from traditional paper-based maps to complex multi-dimensional geospatial systems has enabled more participatory, data-rich, and strategic planning processes. However, challenges remain in terms of equitable access, data credibility, privacy, and the effective translation of spatial knowledge into actionable planning decisions. Future advancements must prioritize inclusivity, low-cost access, and robust data validation to ensure that the benefits of geospatial technologies are broadly shared. As urban populations continue to expand, the role of geospatial analysis in crafting resilient, sustainable, and livable cities will only grow in importance.

REFERENCES

1. Attah RU, Garba BM, Gil-Ozoudeh I, Iwuanyanwu O. Leveraging geographic information systems and data analytics for enhanced public sector decision-making and urban planning. Magna Sci Adv Res Rev. 2024;12(2):152-63. researchgate.net
2. Singh N, Katiyar SK. Application of geographical information system (GIS) in reducing accident blackspots and in planning of a safer urban road network: A review. Ecological informatics. 2021 Dec 1;66:101436.
3. Lanci, G. Translating cities: the use of digital technologies in urban environments. arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.0135. 2013 May 1.
4. Boeing G. Spatial information and the legibility of urban form: Big data in urban morphology. International Journal of Information Management. 2021 Feb 1;56:102013.
5. Mortaheb R, Jankowski P. Smart city re-imagined: City planning and GeoAI in the age of big data. Journal of Urban Management. 2023 Mar 1;12(1):4-15.
6. Eze VH, Okafor WO, Odo JI, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi OF, Edozie E. A critical assessment of data loggers for farm monitoring: addressing limitations and advancing towards enhanced weather monitoring systems. International Journal of Education, Science, Technology, and Engineering (IJESTE). 2023 Dec 29;6(2):55-67.
7. Tsui T, Wuyts W, Van den Berghe K. Geographic information systems for circular cities and regions. A Circular Built Environment in the Digital Age. 2024 Jan 4:21-40. oapen.org
8. Svystun S, Melnychenko O, Radiuk P, Savenko O, Sachenko A, Lysyi A. Dynamic Trajectory Adaptation for Efficient UAV Inspections of Wind Energy Units. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.17534. 2024 Nov 26.
9. Singla S, Eldawy A, Diao T, Mukhopadhyay A, Scudiero E. Experimental study of big raster and vector database systems. In 2021 IEEE 37th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE) 2021 Apr 19 (pp. 2243-2248). IEEE. ucr.edu
10. Shultz SD, MacArthur R. Geographic Information Systems and Rural Data. InRural Data, People, and Policy 2019 Jul 11 (pp. 189-204). Routledge.
11. Lee MC. Case study on emerging trends in geospatial technologies for study of urban form. In24th ISUF International Conference. Book of Papers 2018 Apr 20 (pp. 1167-1177). Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València.
12. Zhu J, Guo Y, Sun G, Yang L, Deng M, Chen J. Unsupervised domain adaptation semantic segmentation of high-resolution remote sensing imagery with invariant domain-level prototype memory. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. 2023 Feb 6;61:1-8. [PDF]
13. Zhu B, Zhou L, Pu S, Fan J, Ye Y. Advances and challenges in multimodal remote sensing image registration. IEEE Journal on Miniaturization for Air and Space Systems. 2023 Feb 14;4(2):165-74. [PDF]
14. Singh A, Kanaujia A, Singh VK, Vinuesa R. Artificial intelligence for Sustainable Development Goals: Bibliometric patterns and concept evolution trajectories. Sustainable Development. 2024 Feb;32(1):724-54. wiley.com
15. Biljecki F, Chow YS, Lee K. Quality of crowdsourced geospatial building information: A global assessment of OpenStreetMap attributes. Building and Environment. 2023 Jun 1;237:110295.
16. Zhao W, Wang M, Pham VT. Unmanned aerial vehicle and geospatial analysis in smart irrigation and crop monitoring on IoT platform. Mobile Information Systems. 2023;2023(1):4213645.
17. Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Eze VH. Mathematical Optimization Techniques in Sustainable Energy Systems Engineering. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCE. 2024;3(1):33-41.
18. Koh K, Hyder A, Karale Y, Kamel Boulos MN. Big geospatial data or geospatial big data? A systematic narrative review on the use of spatial data infrastructures for big geospatial sensing data in public health. Remote Sensing. 2022 Jun 23;14(13):2996.
19. Paiho S, Tuominen P, Rökman J, Ylikerälä M, Pajula J, Siikavirta H. Opportunities of collected city data for smart cities. IET Smart Cities. 2022 Dec;4(4):275-91. wiley.com
20. Fabrègue BF, Bogoni A. Privacy and security concerns in the smart city. Smart Cities. 2023 Feb 10;6(1):586-613.

21. Salukvadze J, Golubchikov O. City as a geopolitics: Tbilisi, Georgia—A globalizing metropolis in a turbulent region. Cities. 2016 Mar 1;52:39-54.
22. Alharahsheh M, Ibrahim M, Shkari S, Al Fukaha R. Hydrological Analysis of Wadi Arab Valley Dam by Integrate Soil Conservation Service and Geographic Information Systems. Ecological Engineering & Environmental Technology (EEET). 2024 Dec 15;25(12). icm.edu.pl
23. Salukvadze J, Golubchikov O. City as a geopolitics: Tbilisi, Georgia—A globalizing metropolis in a turbulent region. Cities. 2016 Mar 1;52:39-54.
24. Mady C. The evolutions, transformations, and adaptations in Beirut's public spaces. Urban Planning. 2022;7(1):116-28.
25. Anshari M, Syafrudin M, Fitriyani NL, Razzaq A. Ethical responsibility and sustainability (ERS) development in a metaverse business model. Sustainability. 2022 Nov 28;14(23):15805.
26. Giuffrè M, Shung DL. Harnessing the power of synthetic data in healthcare: innovation, application, and privacy. NPJ digital medicine. 2023 Oct 9;6(1):186.

CITE AS: Ariowachukwu Divine Sopruchi (2025). Geospatial Analysis in Urban Planning. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 6(3):102-108 https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJSES/2025/63.102108