Global Health Initiatives: Lessons from Successful Programs

Bwensiyo Twebaza H.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Global Health Initiatives (GHIs) have emerged as critical frameworks for addressing the world's most pressing health challenges, especially in resource-limited settings. This paper examines the structural evolution, strategic components, and real-world impacts of leading GHIs, with a particular focus on successful programs such as The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, and the Roll Back Malaria Partnership. By analyzing historical precedents, funding mechanisms, community engagement, and technology-driven solutions, the study identifies key components that underpin effective global health interventions. The paper also explores the integral roles of non-governmental organizations (NGOs), collaborative partnerships, and data-driven decision-making in enhancing the efficacy and sustainability of GHIs. Challenges such as inconsistent funding, weak health systems, and inequitable resource distribution are considered alongside innovative approaches like telemedicine and capacity-building efforts. The findings offer a comprehensive view of how lessons from past and present initiatives can inform the design of future programs, ensuring alignment with local health dynamics and promoting long-term health equity globally.
Keywords: Global Health Initiatives, Public Health, Health Systems Strengthening, Malaria, Gavi, The Global Fund, NGOs.

INTRODUCTION

Global Health Initiatives (GHIs) are crucial in addressing global public health issues, focusing on improving health outcomes and enhancing local healthcare infrastructure. They emphasize the importance of not just medical supplies and professionals but also training, transparency, and collaboration with local governments to assist underserved populations. Since the establishment of the World Health Organization in 1948, numerous GHIs have gained global support and collaboration among health organizations, government agencies, and NGOs. In response to public health crises, detailed plans and procedures have been devised to evaluate national preparedness for pandemics, exemplified by the aftermath of SARS in 2003. International health entities partner with local ministries to establish training and facilities in lower-middle-income countries (LMICs), stockpiling essential medical supplies in strategic locations. Urban slums and livestock markets are recognized as high-risk areas for outbreaks, prompting more proactive responses from health officials. As the focus shifts from pandemics to broader health issues, GHIs have made notable progress, especially in combating malaria. Despite Sub-Saharan Africa struggling with this disease, advances in technology and the deployment of DDT have led to parts of the continent being declared malaria-free. Public awareness campaigns, like those broadcasts in 1995 about mosquito netting, dramatically reduced malaria cases in areas like Lake Victoria. However, there are no guarantees that these successes will persist in regions where diseases are still prevalent. GHIs can effectively address public health challenges if they are rooted in an understanding of local health dynamics, employing tailored strategies that consider unique community

contexts. Moreover, it's essential for new GHIs to avoid a one-size-fits-all approach and engage in empirical strategies that target the root causes of health issues while ensuring sustainable outcomes by collaborating with local governments. Ultimately, these initiatives must maintain a balance between ambition and reality to create long-lasting health improvements [1, 2].

Historical Overview of Global Health Programs

Global health programs date back to ancient civilizations, but the first global health campaigns emerged in the twentieth century, emphasizing international collaboration against health threats. The current global health landscape presents a complex system of interrelated initiatives with diverse origins. Although the field is relatively new, its broad scope addresses various public health challenges, many with historical roots. The strategies for tackling these challenges and the funding models supporting them differ significantly from past practices. Campaigns targeting diseases like smallpox and malaria have had varied success, often lacking sustainability. Epidemic crises throughout history were closely linked to expanding civilizations, with awareness of disease pervasive since antiquity, yet knowledge of its nature and preventive measures was limited. Pre-industrial societies had some sanitary practices, but responses to severe outbreaks relied on isolation or expulsion rather than epidemiological understanding. Historical precedents show that governance influenced health measures, as seen in King's persecution of Christian sects to address plagues, reflecting misguided efforts rather than medical progress. In contrast, the Mauryan era in the Indian subcontinent showcased advanced sanitary regulations and health measures detailed in Kautilya's Arthashastra, emphasizing medical practices and civic administration. Global Health can be defined as the international, primarily governmental framework designed to prevent and control disease. This paper explores various aspects of these initiatives, focusing on Peru. It examines Maya health care pre-conquest, the Gould-Revett Plan against venereal diseases, and the emphasis on neglected diseases, suggesting that the framework for understanding Global Health might benefit from integrating alternative methodologies and perspectives [3, 4].

Key Components of Successful Health Initiatives

1. National or global health achievements initiated at community or regional levels have their own unique stories of success. However, evidence suggests that there are several common components of the initiative that are likely to affect its success. This paper discusses the elements of health initiatives that have contributed to their success, drawing on a review of a variety of initiatives globally and providing direct links to experiences from Bangladesh, the Philippines, and elsewhere. A number of elements of health initiatives are likely to affect their success. These elements fall into 11 broad categories that have been influential in the development, implementation, and sustainability of a wide range of successful health initiatives. Analysis across a range of health initiatives in diverse social contexts in the Western Pacific region, and more globally, suggests that the following elements are essential for successful health initiatives. They should be used as a checklist for the design of health-program development [5].

2. Oral tradition is the main way that knowledge and stories about successful health programs or initiatives are shared within and between cultures or settings. This is a barrier because oral tradition is rarely shared or accessible across geographic, cultural, or social boundaries. Therefore, health initiatives that are not well known or documented are unlikely to be learned about or replicated elsewhere. This paper attempts to address this gap by examining, reviewing, and summarizing key elements of successful health initiatives globally that have been identified in the literature. By documenting this "oral tradition," the aim is to make it more accessible to others for learning, discussion, research, evaluation, design, or replication of successful health initiatives [6].

Case Study: The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria

For more than 25 years, global health initiatives have provided health services and health assistance to an increasing number of people in low- and middle-income countries and advanced the global community's understanding of the most effective and efficient ways to reduce the burden of diseases. By bringing together expertise and resources, the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (the Global Fund) has been instrumental in controlling the spread of some deadly diseases. The Global Fund supports large-scale access to life-saving grants and supports more than 500 programs in more than 140 countries. Countries have received cumulative support of US$42.1 billion since its inception, of which US$28.5 billion was disbursed to support national programs by the end of 2018 with an increased focus on results-based financing and portfolio optimization. There is potential for the expansion of ongoing projects, and new grants are being rolled out in annual funding cycles. The emergence and successive crises associated with three deadly diseases of global proportions — HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis (TB), and malaria —

prompted the international community into action. The understanding of such health issues as contributing to a "syndemic" of interlinked problems, predominantly affecting people living in resource-poor settings, challenged the established paradigms in global health, particularly in terms of international financing. HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria constitute complex, multi-sectoral development challenges, impacting negatively on a country's demographic, social, and economic indicators. The initiative to establish the Global Fund was based upon the principle that diseases needed to be addressed collectively, in their disease-specific aspects and in their combined impact. One of the primary objectives was to process funds rapidly in response to an urgent need to combat the three diseases. The funding mechanisms were designed to significantly increase resources for interventions to reduce the impact of the diseases regardless of the income level of the beneficiary country. Ease of access to funding would serve to simplify countries' planning and implementation efforts. Broad representation of stakeholders in decision-making structures was expected to facilitate arrangements for practical support for countries' efforts in disease control [7, 8].

Case Study: Gavi, The Vaccine Alliance

In the past two decades, global health initiatives (GHIs) have become vital to international public health policy, garnering support from governments, philanthropists, and corporations to tackle major health challenges. Reviewing the lessons learned can enhance future initiatives. Analyzing the design, goals, and impact of GHI programs reveals key insights for global health policy, exemplified by Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Founded in 2000, this partnership unites developing country governments, donors, WHO, UNICEF, the World Bank, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and NGOs. Partners contribute finances, expertise, and negotiate with pharmaceutical companies. Gavi's rolling ten-year commitment enables forecasting vaccine demand and offering viability guarantees, leading to cost-effective immunization prices compared to wealthier countries while ensuring manufacturers' investment justification. Between 2000 and 2018, Gavi disbursed US$7.78 billion to 73 eligible countries, immunizing 640 million children and averting approximately 9 million deaths. Countries have maintained these improvements after Gavi's support ends. In 2016, Gavi mobilized US$7.5 billion in new commitments from various sectors. Despite these successes, one in five children worldwide is still under- or not immunized, leaving vaccine-preventable diseases a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in developing regions. Immunization is crucial to Agenda 2030, striving for universal health coverage, a key Sustainable Development Goal of the United Nations.

Case Study: The Roll Back Malaria Partnership

This piece of research critically examines the purpose, strategy, and impact of successful global health initiatives. Established in 1998, the Roll Back Malaria Partnership is the key example in this research. A coalition of global and regional governmental and intergovernmental organizations, the private sector, and nongovernmental organizations, among others, the partnership is dedicated to reducing malaria-related morbidity and mortality, and to mitigate its social, economic, and development impacts. The strategic approach of the RBM Partnership is based on seven guiding principles: multisectoral, equity-focused, evidence-based, strategic, results-oriented, reinforcing impact, and building partnerships. Its work is composed of interrelated core objectives, comprising advocacy and resource mobilization at global and regional levels and collaborative action at the global and regional levels. In the context of successful global health initiatives, the practical and sustainable implementation of malaria control can include a number of important elements, such as aiming for high coverage and implementation of a mix of prevention measures, ensuring drug efficacy and availability of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), along with other treatment options, combined with integrated, evidence-based management of common services for fever, and taking measures to address drug quality and counterfeit issues, ensuring a consistent and unified approach at global and regional levels to develop supportive broad policy and legislative frameworks to facilitate the above, together with implementation support, and providing health education and community-based training to prevent the spread of disease. Instead of using unrealistic eradication targets, the funding and research communities should maintain a focus on sustainable interventions that will have a real impact on the control of the disease in the long term. Despite a declining trend in global funding for the prevention and treatment of malaria, it is within the means of the international community to prevent hundreds of millions of malaria cases and to save the approximately 1 million lives claimed by malaria each year that remain unprotected. Adequate resource allocation should be directed towards a portfolio of effective interventions and to reinforce health systems. Efforts should be made to accelerate the registration and worldwide use of new tools. The Work of the

Roll Back Malaria Partnership has resulted in the establishment of widespread and sustainable control programs across Africa and other malaria-afflicted areas. These global health initiatives enable multi-sectoral collaboration to address global health issues in the context of an increased focus [9, 10].

Challenges Faced by Global Health Initiatives

Global Health Initiatives (GHI) like the US President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief 11, focused on the HIV/AIDS pandemic, and the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, like PEPFAR and the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations, focus on diseases that disproportionately affect the poor, but have also considerably increased funding for health system strengthening in recent years. In many countries in sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia, the total volume of external funds available for health now exceeds government expenditure on health. However, there is as yet little evidence on the effectiveness of these investments in terms of improving both the outputs and outcomes of the health systems in which they are invested. An estimated 19.2 million people are now receiving antiretroviral drugs, more than a 100-fold increase in six years, the number of insecticides-treated nets distributed or sold in Africa climbed to 3.5 million in 2005, an eight-fold increase in three years, and immunization services received an additional US$ 6 billion between 1999 and 2004, against a baseline of US$ 3.5 million in 1998. Between 2000 and 2006, Global Health Initiatives have raised more than US$ 25 billion, the majority of which has gone to Asian and African countries with the highest burden of disease [12, 13].

Innovative Approaches in Global Health

Beginning with the Alma Alta declaration in the 1970s, countries and global initiatives have recognized primary health care (PHC) as a key strategy for improving health outcomes while also aiding social and economic development. PHC remains crucial for achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC) and addressing health-related Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Various models of PHC have been implemented to improve health outcomes effectively. Technology-driven healthcare innovations aim to overcome traditional barriers to healthcare access in a globalized context. A significant goal of these innovations is to promote sustainable development and bridge health gaps worldwide. The application of technology in global health has the potential to transform healthcare delivery. However, many countries face challenges due to disjointed health systems, out-of-pocket expenses, and insufficient resources, including trained personnel and financial support. Technological innovations in healthcare can facilitate quicker access for more people at reduced costs and enhanced efficiency. Numerous tech initiatives aim to make healthcare more affordable for consumers. Health providers can access cost-effective solutions that allow real-time feedback and may lessen the need for physical examinations. Telemedicine utilizes video conferencing, mobile apps, and the internet to connect with patients in remote areas. A telemedicine project focusing on pregnancy and pneumonia has successfully implemented equipment and software in clinics and hospitals. This initiative exhibits the efficacy of imaging tools such as digital stethoscopes, ultrasound scans, and cameras in assisting remote medical practitioners, resulting in faster treatment and reduced costs. The adopted technology can be easily set up in remote locations with minimal expertise required. By leveraging basic mobile multitasking capabilities, healthcare professionals can use tablets or laptops to operate instrumentation, conduct examinations, and present live data for real-time discussions. A sustainable model of telemedicine that operates four days a week allows for the sharing of X-rays, ECGs, and lab results, enabling collaborative decision-making for patient care [14, 15].

The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations

Introduction: Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have assumed an expanded role in health delivery systems. How they function is as diverse as the number of NGOs, and they can act as nonexistent to principal counterparts for the implementation of health service programs. A contemporary analytical framework for understanding the role of NGOs in the implementation of health service programs is given. This framework posits that NGOs can contribute to the goals of improved population health by (a) delivering health services, (b) advocating for necessary health system changes, and (c) participating in capacity-building efforts in underserved communities. Using findings from a series of recent case studies, this framework explores the multiple ways in which NGOs engage with programs and suggests ways in which government agencies and large NGOs can collaborate with smaller NGOs and community-based organizations. Successful collaborations between governments and non-governmental organizations have sprung up in some Latin American countries. Notably, in Brazil, the Brazilian government has, since the early 1990s, sought to increase its traditional reliance on NGOs to transfer technology and resources in health education. In other instances, however, governments are suspicious of NGOs, viewing them as Trojan horses of the developed countries or as political entities liable to challenge their legitimacy.

Governments in Malawi, Zambia, Kenya, Burkina Faso, Zaire, the Philippines, and Chile have planned reductions of NGO activities, particularly in areas that they perceive as the sphere of public health. This perspective takes on a different complexion, however, if the NGO-Government relationship in the health field is viewed as potentially characterised by complementarity and not substitutability. Such a view has its basis in the notion that NGOs do not compete with national public services, as they work with groups that governments normally do not reach or where government services are particularly weak [16, 17].

The Importance of Data and Research

Overcoming knowledge gaps and strengthening research competencies are vital for advancing global health equity and achieving sustainable health for all. The global health research divide, exceeding the 90/10 gap, reveals a significant imbalance in research output and capacity, worsening health disparities. Understanding who conducts research, its focus, and its funding is crucial for informed policy-making and resource allocation. Health disparities do not need to reflect inequalities in research output. Progress toward effective data is often obstructed by structural and political challenges, including misaligned donor goals and insufficient resources for data collection and sharing. There is a pressing need to shift from traditional research strategies that reinforce the global health divide to collaborative partnerships built on trust and investment in country-driven research capabilities. Equitable research partnerships must foster collaborative dialogue, ensuring shared decision-making on research priorities and evidence dissemination. Key research gaps include inadequate funding for health systems research, overshadowed by infectious disease projects, and insufficient implementation research relative to health needs in low-income countries. A call for new projects and funding in health systems and implementation research methodology was made, highlighting the importance of evaluations that are often neglected. Various groups, including implementing agencies and advocates for large programs, have been criticized for failing to gather meaningful evaluative data. To enhance efficiency and avoid ineffective programs, all input-based programs must undergo formal evaluation [18, 19].

Global Health Financing Mechanisms

In 2000, UN member states signed eight international development goals, termed the Millennium Development Goals, aimed for achievement by 2015. Goal 4 focused on reducing child mortality, and Goal 5 on improving maternal health, highlighting the health disparities between the Global North and Global South. Children and pregnant women account for 47% of deaths in poor countries, with many of these deaths deemed preventable. The goals urged wealthy nations to allocate 0.7% of their GDP in ODA, yet only three nations met or exceeded this target. The US, Japan, and Germany provided less than half of the requested percentage. In general, high-income countries have not increased their ODA contributions relative to their income, despite increased spending in raw dollars. Economic downturns prompted reductions in ODA, which led to a 'checkbook effect' of cutbacks by other nations. This has unpredictable, and often disastrous, impacts on public health spending. From 2006-2009, there was an 8% decline in total ODA for health in 29 countries. Global health initiatives aim to prevent, treat, and eradicate poverty-related diseases, having notable successes like reducing HIV/AIDS infection rates; however, their focus is usually narrower, prioritizing severe transmissible diseases that garner public attention. Health systems address the socio-economic determinants of health disparities, including agricultural practices, employment, and climate change. Researchers aim to identify drivers of health disparities, particularly regarding maternal health and child malnourishment in India, influenced by contemporary globalization effects such as production reorganization, technological labor division, and rapid population growth [20, 21].

Future Directions in Global Health Initiatives

Global health initiatives have historically emphasized specific health issues, such as infectious diseases and the alleviation of poverty-related health conditions. these priorities can be impacted by a range of global factors, such as new technological insights into the disease patterns or changes in priorities due to the emergence of new issues. In an optimistic scenario, new global health initiatives could shift focus to the broader and more integrated One Health strategy that recognizes the interconnected health of humans, animals, and ecosystems. The Global Burden of Disease study suggests that the share of communicable, maternal, neonatal, and nutritional diseases is waning in the context of the global health burden, whereas the portion of non-communicable diseases is growing. Furthermore, this trend will increasingly intensify as countries' economies advance to the next stage of development. Both physiological reasons and policy-related justifications contribute to the growing role of non-committal diseases as the economy shifts from

low to middle income. However, these optimistic medium-term trends allowing the tackling new sets of diseases also bear new challenges regarding externalities, antibiotic resistance being a case in point, thereby reiterating the call for a more integrated health strategy such as the One Health scheme. Conversely, a more pessimistic perspective suggests that technological advancements will merely lead to the perpetuation of the current trend of just fighting the symptoms of complex global issues. Under this scenario, new and subsequently more successful global health approaches would just tackle the urgent symptoms of the broader set of issues, without addressing their roots, due to advancements in telemedicine, the fast screening of pathogens, the rolling out of vaccine drones, or the development of 3D bio-printing of critical items; all of them dubbed as the biomedical future. It is, however, a debate whether such an outcome will be sufficient because it might be no effective response to the issues bearing a more public character going beyond mere health aspects, as is the case with inequities in the global distribution of income. Disempowered through the gist of instrumental music, the initiative counts on CI-supported film production to perpetuate its message about the virtuousness of vaccines, as well as on sophisticated communication tools newly supported by CI to educate the broad public on its actions [22, 23].

Lessons Learned from Successful Programs

Health system reforms have become a key focus of global health initiatives in recent years, addressing various challenges like child vaccine strategies, maternal health, neglected disease prevention, and multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis. To find common ground, successful health initiatives are summarized into thirteen questions and answers derived from eleven reports compiled by the end of 2020. These reports explore what has been achieved, the reasons behind health progress, contributors, required resources, goal attainment strategies, costs, mobilization of public support, key indicators, implementation success factors, goal achievement extent, challenges faced, and additional lessons learned. Notable examples include the Global Fund for HIV/AIDS, which shared 13 treatment service experiences, and the Healthy Villages Project, which provides practical suggestions based on successful evaluations. The varying health service needs across countries highlight the complexity and diversity of successful experiences, reflecting unique developmental paths. Sharing these successful experiences can foster useful references and inspire private initiatives in health practices [24, 25].

CONCLUSION

Global Health Initiatives have significantly shaped the trajectory of public health, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, by facilitating access to essential medicines, vaccines, and health services. As the global health landscape continues to evolve, the importance of community involvement, cross-sector collaboration, and evidence-based program design becomes increasingly clear. Case studies such as The Global Fund, Gavi, and the Roll Back Malaria Partnership exemplify how strategic planning, resource optimization, and stakeholder engagement can yield sustainable health improvements. However, despite considerable gains, ongoing challenges—including fragile health systems, insufficient funding, and disparities in research and resource allocation—continue to hinder progress. To overcome these barriers, future GHIs must integrate locally informed strategies, invest in health infrastructure, and adopt inclusive, data-driven approaches. Strengthening global health equity demands not only technological and financial input but also the political will to ensure that the benefits of health interventions reach the most underserved populations. Ultimately, the lessons learned from successful GHIs provide a blueprint for scalable, resilient, and context-specific health initiatives worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Worafi YM. Healthcare facilities in developing countries: infrastructure. Handbook of medical and health sciences in developing countries: Education, practice, and research 2023 Nov 29 (pp. 1-21). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
2. Debie A, Khatri RB, Assefa Y. Successes and challenges of health systems governance towards universal health coverage and global health security: a narrative review and synthesis of the literature. Health research policy and systems. 2022 May 2;20(1):50.
3. Goniewicz K, Khorram-Manesh A, Burkle FM, Hertelendy AJ, Goniewicz M. The European Union's post-pandemic strategies for public health, economic recovery, and social resilience. Global Transitions. 2023 Jan 1;5:201-9. sciencedirect.com
4. Plamondon KM, Brisbois B, Dubent L, Larson CP. Assessing how global health partnerships function: an equity-informed critical interpretive synthesis. Globalization and health. 2021 Dec;17:1-3. springer.com

Page | 135

5. Fox KE, Johnson ST, Berkman LF, Sianoja M, Soh Y, Kubzansky LD, Kelly EL. Organisational- and group-level workplace interventions and their effect on multiple domains of worker well-being: A systematic review. Work & Stress. 2022 Jan 2;36(1):30-59. [HTML]

6. Chamberlain M, Dean P, Fogerty JE, Friedman J, Gluck SB, Grele RJ, Hardy III C, Hoffman AM, Hoffman HS, Larson MA, Mazé EA. Handbook of oral history. Rowman Altamira; 2006 Mar 30.

7. Elmore SN, Polo A, Bourque JM, Pynda Y, van der Merwe D, Grover S, Hopkins K, Zubizarreta E, Abdel-Wahab M. Radiotherapy resources in Africa: an International Atomic Energy Agency update and analysis of projected needs. The Lancet Oncology. 2021 Sep 1;22(9):e391-9. nih.gov

8. Myrick R. America is back—But for how long. Foreign Affairs. 2021 Jun 14;14:150-5.

9. Takken W. The mosquito and malaria: Would mosquito control alone eliminate the disease?. Mosquitopia. 2021 Sep 1:109-22.

10. Kuecken M, Thuilliez J, Valfort MA. Disease and human capital accumulation: evidence from the roll back malaria partnership in Africa. The Economic Journal. 2021 Jul;131(637):2171-202.

11. Balabanova D, McKee M, Mills A, Walt G, Haines A. What can global health institutions do to help strengthen health systems in low income countries?. Health Research Policy and Systems. 2010 Dec;8:1-1.

12. Kwete X, Tang K, Chen L, Ren R, Chen Q, Wu Z, Cai Y, Li H. Decolonizing global health: what should be the target of this movement and where does it lead us?. Global Health Research and Policy. 2022 Jan 24;7(1):3. springer.com

13. World Health Organization. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco use 2000-2025. World Health Organization; 2020 Feb 17.

14. Hanson K, Brikci N, Erlangga D, Alebachew A, De Allegri M, Balabanova D, Blecher M, Cashin C, Esperato A, Hipgrave D, Kalisa I. The Lancet Global Health Commission on financing primary health care: putting people at the centre. The Lancet Global Health. 2022 May 1;10(5):e715-72. thelancet.com

15. Gregg EW, Buckley J, Ali MK, Davies J, Flood D, Mehta R, Griffiths B, Lim LL, Manne-Goehler J, Pearson-Stuttard J, Tandon N. Improving health outcomes of people with diabetes: target setting for the WHO Global Diabetes Compact. the lancet. 2023 Apr 15;401(10384):1302-12. [HTML]

16. ALIYU AO. EXAMINING THE ROLE OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS) IN ENHANCING HEALTHCARE DELIVERY IN NIGERIA. LAPAI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ADMINISTRATION. 2023 Dec 1;6(2):182-94. ibbujournals.com.ng

17. Bhuiyan MI, Haque A. Role of NGOs in providing available and affordable health care services to the slum people in Dhaka. Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health. 2024 Jan 1;25:101478.

18. Frenk J, Chen L. Overcoming gaps to advance global health equity: a symposium on new directions for research. Health Research Policy and Systems. 2011 Dec;9:1-4.

19. Tuladhar S, Mwamelo K, Manyama C, Obuobi D, Antunes M, Gashaw M, Vogel M, Shrinivasan H, Mugambwa KA, Korley I, Froeschl G. Proceedings from the CIHLMU 2022 Symposium:"Availability of and Access to Quality Data in Health". InBMC proceedings 2023 Aug 17 (Vol. 17, No. Suppl 10, p. 21). London: BioMed Central.

20. Obeagu EI, Abdirahman BF, Bunu UO, Obeagu GU. Obsterics characteristics that effect the newborn outcomes. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 2023;10(3):134-43. researchgate.net

21. Shayo GM, Elimbinzi E, Shao GN, Fabian C. Severity of waterborne diseases in developing countries and the effectiveness of ceramic filters for improving water quality. Bulletin of the National Research Centre. 2023 Jul 24;47(1):113. springer.com

22. Nag R. A methodological framework for ranking communicable and non-communicable diseases due to climate change—A focus on Ireland. Science of The Total Environment. 2023 Jul 1;880:163296.

23. Haakenstad A, Coates M, Bukhman G, McConnell M, Verguet S. Comparative health systems analysis of differences in the catastrophic health expenditure associated with non-communicable vs communicable diseases among adults in six countries. Health Policy and Planning. 2022 Nov 1;37(9):1107-15. oup.com

24. World Health Organization. Health service delivery framework for prevention and management of obesity. World Health Organization; 2023 May 18.

25. Islam S, Islam T, Islam MR. New coronavirus variants are creating more challenges to global healthcare system: a brief report on the current knowledge. Clinical pathology. 2022 Feb;15:2632010X221075584.

CITE AS: Bwensiyo Twebaza H. (2025). Global Health Initiatives: Lessons from Successful Programs. Newport International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 6(2):129-136 https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2025/6.2.129136