©NIJPP
Publications 2025

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY (NIJPP)

Volume 6 Issue 1 Page 60-64, 2025

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJPP/2025/616064

Efficacy of Genetically Modified Mosquitoes vs. Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets in Reducing Malaria Transmission in Endemic Regions

Kagambira Zimbuga M.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This review article critically evaluated the efficacy of genetically modified (GM) mosquitoes versus insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) in reducing malaria transmission in endemic regions. Malaria remains a significant public health issue, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia, where it continues to cause substantial morbidity and mortality. ITNs have been a cornerstone in malaria control, providing individuals with effective protection against *Anopheles* mosquitoes. However, challenges such as insecticide resistance and improper usage threaten their long-term effectiveness. In contrast, GM mosquitoes represent a novel approach that targets the malaria vector at its source, either by reducing mosquito populations or disrupting the transmission of the parasite. Trials involving GM mosquitoes have shown promising results, with reductions in mosquito populations and transmission rates observed in experimental settings. However, issues such as cost, scalability, and ethical concerns remain barriers to their widespread adoption. The methodology employed in this review involved a comprehensive analysis of published studies, field trials, and expert opinions to assess the comparative effectiveness of ITNs and GM mosquitoes. Both interventions have their merits and challenges; however, the future of malaria control will likely lie in a combination of both strategies, tailored to specific regional needs. Further research and innovation are necessary to optimize their use and ensure sustainable malaria control.

Keywords: Malaria Transmission, Genetically Modified Mosquitoes, Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets, Vector Control, Public Health.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains one of the most significant public health challenges in endemic regions across the globe, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and parts of Latin America [1, 2]. The disease, primarily transmitted through the bite of the *Anopheles* mosquito, leads to millions of infections and deaths annually, especially among young children and pregnant women [3, 4]. While substantial progress has been made in reducing malaria morbidity and mortality, the disease continues to burden populations in areas with inadequate access to healthcare, limited resources, and high mosquito transmission rates. Two of the most widely discussed interventions to reduce malaria transmission include insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and genetically modified (GM) mosquitoes. Insecticide-treated bed nets have been a cornerstone of malaria prevention strategies, providing a direct means of protecting individuals from mosquito bites during the night [5]. Meanwhile, GM mosquitoes developed to either sterilize wild mosquitoes or reduce mosquito populations, representing a novel and promising approach to combating malaria transmission at its source [6]. This review critically evaluates the efficacy of GM mosquitoes versus ITNs in reducing malaria transmission in endemic regions. By examining the mechanisms, successes, limitations, and broader implications of these interventions, this article provides valuable insights into their relative contributions to malaria control efforts.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

Mechanisms of Action

Both insecticide-treated bed nets and genetically modified mosquitoes target different aspects of the malaria transmission cycle, utilizing distinct mechanisms of action to reduce the spread of the disease.

i. **Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets**: ITNs function by creating a physical barrier between individuals and malaria-transmitting mosquitoes [7]. The nets, which are treated with insecticides, offer protection by killing or repelling mosquitoes that encounter the netting. The insecticide embedded in the net acts on the *Anopheles* mosquitoes, killing them upon contact or after a brief exposure, thereby reducing their ability to successfully feed on humans. Over time, regular use of ITNs has been shown to substantially reduce malaria transmission, particularly in areas with high mosquito density. The presence of ITNs effectively lowers the mosquito population near human dwellings and decreases the likelihood of individuals becoming infected with the malaria parasite.

ii. **Genetically Modified Mosquitoes**: Genetically modified mosquitoes, on the other hand, aim to reduce the overall *Anopheles* mosquito population by either altering the genetics of mosquitoes to prevent the transmission of malaria parasites or sterilizing them so they cannot reproduce [8]. There are several strategies for the genetic modification of mosquitoes, including the release of mosquitoes that carry genes responsible for self-destruction or infertility, or those that can spread immunity against the malaria parasite. These modified mosquitoes are introduced into the wild, where they mate with natural mosquito populations, resulting in a reduction of the mosquito population or the malaria parasite load within those populations over successive generations. The efficacy of GM mosquitoes' hinges on their ability to successfully integrate and spread these genetic traits through the wild population, leading to a long-term decrease in malaria transmission.

Efficacy of Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets

Insecticide-treated bed nets have been one of the most widely adopted interventions for malaria prevention, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of malaria is highest [9]. Numerous randomized controlled trials and large-scale studies have demonstrated that ITNs can significantly reduce malaria incidence and mortality. In regions where ITN distribution has been scaled up, the incidence of malaria has dropped substantially, with some studies showing a reduction in malaria-related deaths by over 17% [10]. The effectiveness of ITNs is enhanced by their ability to provide continuous protection to individuals throughout the night, when *Anopheles* mosquitoes are most active in seeking blood meals. The success of ITNs has been bolstered by widespread distribution campaigns and public health initiatives. Governments and non-governmental organizations have made substantial investments in the distribution of free or subsidized nets, particularly in high-risk areas. The World Health Organization (WHO) has endorsed ITNs as a core component of malaria control strategies and recommends universal coverage for high-risk populations [11]. However, ITNs are not without their limitations. Over time, resistance to insecticides can develop, diminishing the effectiveness of the nets. Resistance has been observed in many regions, where mosquitoes have adapted to the insecticides used in bed nets, making them less effective at killing or repelling mosquitoes. This resistance poses a significant challenge to the long-term sustainability of ITNs as a malaria control tool. Additionally, the effectiveness of ITNs is dependent on proper use, maintenance, and re-treatment. In many areas, improper usage or lack of re-treatment has led to reduced efficacy, particularly as insecticides degrade over time.

Efficacy Of Genetically Modified Mosquitoes

Genetically modified mosquitoes offer a promising alternative to traditional vector control strategies [12, 13]. GM mosquitoes have demonstrated the potential to reduce the population of malaria-transmitting mosquitoes, thereby lowering transmission rates. Several trials involving GM mosquitoes have shown encouraging results, particularly in laboratory and small-scale field settings. For example, in trials conducted in the Cayman Islands and Malaysia, GM mosquitoes engineered to carry a gene causing infertility in males have significantly reduced local mosquito populations.

One notable technique used in GM mosquito technology is the release of genetically modified males that carry a gene that causes sterility or self-destruction when paired with wild females [14]. When released in large numbers, these mosquitoes mate with wild mosquitoes, leading to a gradual decrease in the mosquito population over time. In regions where these programs have been implemented, significant reductions in *Anopheles* mosquito populations have been observed, suggesting that GM mosquitoes can complement or even replace traditional vector control methods in the long run. In addition to population reduction, GM mosquitoes have been designed to carry genes that can disrupt the malaria parasite lifecycle. Mosquitoes can be engineered to become resistant to the Plasmodium parasite, thereby preventing the transmission of malaria to humans. Studies have shown that such mosquitoes, when released into wild populations, can decrease the likelihood of malaria transmission by reducing the number of infectious mosquitoes. While the efficacy of GM mosquitoes in reducing malaria transmission holds significant promise, there

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

are several factors that need to be addressed before they can be widely implemented. For instance, ethical concerns and public acceptance of genetic modification technologies, as well as the cost of production and release, are barriers to the widespread adoption of GM mosquitoes. Moreover, the long-term ecological impacts of releasing genetically modified organisms into wild populations remain uncertain, and further research is needed to understand potential unintended consequences.

Comparative Effectiveness

When comparing the efficacy of ITNs and GM mosquitoes, several factors must be considered, including the direct impact on malaria transmission, sustainability, accessibility, and scalability. Both interventions target *Anopheles* mosquitoes but operate through different mechanisms, each with its advantages and challenges. ITNs provide a cost-effective and accessible means of reducing malaria transmission, particularly when distributed at scale [15]. They offer a direct means of preventing mosquito bites, protecting individuals and communities from infection. In regions with high malaria transmission, ITNs have proven to be effective in reducing morbidity and mortality, especially when used consistently by high-risk populations. On the other hand, GM mosquitoes have the potential to offer a more long-term solution by targeting the source of transmission *Anopheles* mosquitoes themselves by either reducing their populations or preventing them from transmitting malaria [16-18]. The potential for GM mosquitoes to drastically reduce mosquito populations offers a level of control that ITNs alone may not be able to achieve, particularly in regions where insecticide resistance is widespread. However, the scalability and feasibility of GM mosquito programs remain challenges, as they require substantial investment in research, regulatory approval, and public acceptance [19-22]. In terms of effectiveness, ITNs have a well-established track record, but their success may be undermined by insecticide resistance and non-compliance. GM mosquitoes, in contrast, offer the potential for greater long-term sustainability if their release results in significant reductions in mosquito populations or disruption of the malaria lifecycle. However, these technologies are still in the experimental phase in many parts of the world and may require years of additional testing before they can be widely implemented.

Broader Implications For Malaria Control

The integration of GM mosquitoes into malaria control programs could complement existing strategies such as ITNs and indoor residual spraying, creating a multi-faceted approach to malaria control [17]. The success of malaria elimination efforts will likely depend on a combination of interventions tailored to the specific needs of different regions and populations. For example, ITNs may remain the most practical and cost-effective solution for malaria control in rural, low-resource areas where access to advanced technologies is limited. In contrast, GM mosquitoes may play a pivotal role in urban settings or in areas where insecticide resistance has rendered traditional vector control methods ineffective.

CONCLUSION

Both genetically modified mosquitoes and insecticide-treated bed nets represent pivotal components of malaria control efforts in endemic regions. ITNs have a long-standing track record of efficacy in reducing malaria transmission, but their effectiveness is increasingly threatened by insecticide resistance and improper usage. In contrast, GM mosquitoes offer a novel and promising strategy that targets the *Anopheles* mosquito population at its source, offering potential for sustained reductions in malaria transmission. However, challenges related to scalability, cost, and ethical concerns must be addressed before GM mosquito programs can be widely implemented. Ultimately, a multi-pronged approach that combines the strengths of ITNs, GM mosquitoes, and other vector control methods will likely be the most effective strategy for reducing malaria transmission and moving closer to global malaria elimination. Further research and field trials are essential to evaluate the long-term impact and sustainability of genetically modified mosquitoes and to ensure that these interventions are integrated effectively within existing public health frameworks.

REFERENCES

1. Alum, E.U., Tufail, T., Agu, P.C., Akinloye, D.I., Obaroh, I.O.: Malaria pervasiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa: Overcoming the scuffle. Medicine. 103, e40241 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000040241
2. Erisa, K., Raphael, I., Okechukwu Paul-Chima, U., Esther Ugo, A.: Exploration of Medicinal Plants Used in the Management of Malaria in Uganda.
3. Almaw, A., Yimer, M., Alemu, M., Tegegne, B.: Prevalence of malaria and associated factors among symptomatic pregnant women attending antenatal care at three health centers in north-west Ethiopia. PLoS One. 17, e0266477 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0266477
4. Ogbonnia Egwu, C., Aloke, C., Chukwu, J., Agwu, A., Alum, E., Tsamesidis, I., M Aja, P., E Offor, C., Ajuka Obasi, N.: A world free of malaria: It is time for Africa to actively champion and take leadership of elimination and eradication strategies. Afr Health Sci. 22, 627–640 (2022). https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v22i4.68

5. Magar, D.N.: Knowledge and use of insecticide treated nets in Krachi East Municipality-Oti region. (2022)
6. Cisnetto, V., Barlow, J.: The development of complex and controversial innovations. Genetically modified mosquitoes for malaria eradication. Res Policy. 49, 103917 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESPOL.2019.103917
7. Monroe, A.: Closing the Malaria Prevention Gap: Measuring and Characterizing Human Behavioral Drivers of Persistent Malaria Transmission in Sub-Saharan Africa. (2020). https://doi.org/10.5451/UNIBAS-EP80258
8. Naidoo, K., Oliver, S. V.: Gene drives: an alternative approach to malaria control? Gene Therapy 2024 32:1. 32, 25–37 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-024-00468-8
9. Gansané, A., Candrinho, B., Mbituyumuremyi, A., Uhomoibhi, P., NFalé, S., Mohammed, A.B., Guelbeogo, W.M., Sanou, A., Kangoye, D., Debe, S., Kagone, M., Hakizimana, E., Uwimana, A., Tuyishime, A., Ingabire, C.M., Singirankabo, J.H., Koenker, H., Marrenjo, D., Abilio, A.P., Salvador, C., Savaio, B., Okoko, O.O., Maikore, I., Obi, E., Awolola, S.T., Adeogun, A., Babarinde, D., Ali, O., Guglielmo, F., Yukich, J., Scates, S., Sherrard-Smith, E., Churcher, T., Fornadel, C., Shannon, J., Kawakyu, N., Beylerian, E., Digre, P., Tynuv, K., Gogue, C., Mwesigwa, J., Wagman, J., Adeleke, M., Adeolu, A.T., Robertson, M.: Design and methods for a quasi-experimental pilot study to evaluate the impact of dual active ingredient insecticide-treated nets on malaria burden in five regions in sub-Saharan Africa. Malar J. 21, 1–20 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-021-04026-0/TABLES/5
10. Pryce, J., Richardson, M., Lengeler, C.: Insecticide-treated nets for preventing malaria. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018, (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000363.PUB3/MEDIA/CDSR/CD000363/IMAGE_N/NCD000363-CMP-002-09.PNG
11. Yeboah, A.: Comparative analysis of factors associated with insecticide-treated net utilization between rural and urban areas in Ghana: implication for malaria control and prevention. (2023)
12. Achee, N.L., Grieco, J.P., Vatandoost, H., Seixas, G., Pinto, J., Ching-Ng, L., Martins, A.J., Juntarajumnong, W., Corbel, V., Gouagna, C., David, J.P., Logan, J.G., Orsborne, J., Marois, E., Devine, G.J., Vontas, J.: Alternative strategies for mosquito-borne arbovirus control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 13, e0006822 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0006822
13. Dobson, S.L.: When More is Less: Mosquito Population Suppression Using Sterile, Incompatible and Genetically Modified Male Mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 58, 1980–1986 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/JME/TJAB025
14. Pérez-Staples, D., Díaz-Fleischer, F., Montoya, P.: The Sterile Insect Technique: Success and Perspectives in the Neotropics. Neotrop Entomol. 50, 172–185 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/S13744-020-00817-3/METRICS
15. Wisniewski, J., Acosta, A., Kolaczinski, J., Koenker, H., Yukich, J.: Systematic review and meta-analysis of the cost and cost-effectiveness of distributing insecticide-treated nets for the prevention of malaria. Acta Trop. 202, 105229 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTATROPICA.2019.105229
16. Rocha, E.M., de Melo Katak, R., de Oliveira, J.C., da Silva Araujo, M., Carlos, B.C., Galizi, R., Tripet, F., Marinotti, O., Souza-Neto, J.A.: Vector-Focused Approaches to Curb Malaria Transmission in the Brazilian Amazon: An Overview of Current and Future Challenges and Strategies. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease 2020, Vol. 5, Page 161. 5, 161 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/TROPICALMED5040161
17. Sibomana, O., Bukuru, J., Saka, S.A., Uwizeyimana, M.G., Kihunyu, A.M., Obianke, A., Damilare, S.O., Bueh, L.T., Agbelemoge, B. of G., Oveh, R.O.: Routine malaria vaccination in Africa: a step toward malaria eradication? Malaria Journal . 24, 1–9 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-024-05235-Z/FIGURES/1
18. Ugwu, O. P.C., Nwodo, O. F.C., Joshua, P. E., Odo, C. E., Bawa, A., Ossai, E. C. and Adonu C. C. (2013). Anti-malaria and Hematological Analyses of Ethanol Extract of Moringa oleifera Leaf on Malaria Infected Mice. International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences,3(1):360-371.
19. Ugwu O.P.C.(2011).Anti-Malaria Effect of Ethanol Extract of Moringa Oleifera (Agbaji) Leaves on Malaria Induced Mice. University of Nigeria Nsukka. 39.
20. Ugwu Okechukwu P.C., Nwodo, Okwesili F.C., Joshua, Parker E., Odo, Christian E. and Ossai Emmanuel C. (2013). Effect of Ethanol Leaf Extract of Moringa oleifera on Lipid profile of malaria infected mice. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences,4(1): 1324-1332.
21. Ugwu OPC, OFC Nwodo, PE Joshua, CE Odo, EC Ossai, B Aburbakar(2013). Ameliorative effects of ethanol leaf extract of Moringa oleifera on the liver and kidney markers of malaria infected mice. International Journal of Life Sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research,2(2): 43-52.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X
22. Enechi OC, CC Okpe, GN Ibe, KO Omeje and PC Ugwu Okechukwu (2016). Effect of Buchholzia coriacea methanol extract on haematological indices and liver function parameters in Plasmodium berghei-infected mice. Global Veterinaria, 16 (1): 57-66.

CITE AS: Kagambira Zimbuga M. (2025). Efficacy of Genetically Modified Mosquitoes vs. Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets in Reducing Malaria Transmission in Endemic Regions. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY,6(1):60-64.
https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJPP/2025/616064