©NIJLCL Publications

Open Access ISSN PRINT: 2992-6130 **ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5800**

NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW. **COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES (NIJLCL)**

Volume 5 Issue 1 Page 27-32, 2025

Page | 27

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJLCL/2025/5.1.2732

Persuasive Writing Techniques for Legal Briefs

Maria Edet Umo

Faculty of Law Kampala International University Uganda Email: umomaria@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Persuasive writing in legal briefs is a fundamental skill for attorneys, shaping judicial decisions through logical reasoning, rhetorical techniques, and strategic argumentation. This paper examines essential techniques for persuasive legal writing, including understanding the audience, structuring arguments, utilizing rhetorical devices, and effectively incorporating evidence and case law. By analyzing the psychology of persuasion and the importance of clarity, coherence, and engagement, legal advocates can refine their writing to craft compelling and convincing briefs. Additionally, addressing counterarguments and weaknesses strengthens the overall persuasiveness of an argument. Mastering these skills enables lawyers to present their cases more effectively, ultimately influencing legal outcomes in their favor.

Keywords: Persuasive legal writing, legal briefs, legal advocacy, rhetorical techniques, case law, argument structure.

INTRODUCTION

When lawyers argue in court, they may slouch and slide their foot, but when they sit to write a brief, they must be more fastidious. The law applies to the lazy. Persuasion alone, the lawyers' art, is omnipotent in court. Collecting intimidation and analysis by imperious constraints, compulsion itself is ordained to yield. Hence, there is a need for skill to make reason and eloquence more persuasive. Persuasion in legal reasoning, legal writing, and advocacy is a prevalent and practical concern. In legal disputes, a position may involve the resolution of competing truths, including both truth deciding between underdetermined hypotheses and truth traded off against other values. Judgments regarding justified belief or warranted inference must be persuasive to oneself and to others. In the adversarial process, persuasion is an art in which participants study and seek to influence the persuasiveness to others of arguments, evidence, or conclusions supporting stratagems. In litigation, or in decision making influenced by adversarial activity, the persuasive advantage of participant advocacy is predicated on a decision maker preference for satisfying judgments or on limits of reasoning by decision makers or the fact if the evidence is not addressed by the participants. As a result, participation may aim to maximize the persuasive advantage not by adding the value or validity of arguments or evidence but by affecting the judgment of decision makers, their willingness or ability to apply norms that guide decision making or determine the weight given to arguments or evidence [1, 2]. Effective persuasion varies by context and is most reliably determined by empirical evidence. This stark qualification would likely sit well with most lawyers, but how might this evidence be used wisely? This examination of the data and theories underlying two concepts is central to much popular writing about influencing the behavior, beliefs, or attitudes of others, including decision makers in the adversarial system. This examination of persuasive legal writing looks at examples to demonstrate the application of the data and theories to the law. Pertinent to the discussion that follows, such an approach will be prefaced by a general framework, which highlights some old prose texts and certain general results of empirical research on language. The general concept is that persuasive legal writers may not be familiar with the psychological term 'priming,' but much of the conventional wisdom of legal writing incorporates the concept. The doctrine of this organizational priming instructs the pleader to write a brief in which a creating a series of overlapping propositions, where together in a This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Publications

Open Access ISSN PRINT: 2992-6130 ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5800

chain of unescapable coherence, so that the acceptance of one proposition leads to the next. The chaining of points is not only a way of structuring an argument; it is a way of moving the argument forward. It also can be a powerful tactic in a situation where flagging attention is a concern. A useful metaphor of this tactic is the series of steps up to the high diving board: as the persuasive advocate hits the target with the first lot in a series of motifs, each of which brings the target up each step closer to the edge of the board, it becomes that much easier for the target to decide to take the plunge. A tension nicely resolves with the client's case as the latter becomes the champion's client [3, 4].

Understanding the Audience: Judges and Legal Professionals

One of the most challenging forms of legal writing is the legal brief: a persuasive, argumentative paper that covers legal issues. Persuasion is a key goal of written legal argument. This paper examines the key aspects of persuasive writing techniques as applied to legal briefs. The initial focus is on aspects generally applicable to writing persuasive legal documents of any kind; an examination of persuasive techniques largely unique to the legal brief follows. It is a truism that good legal writing is clear and that good writing missteps when it is not. As in all persuasive arguments, there is additionally the need to engage the reader's interest. Legal writing conventions strongly favor clarity: as a utilitarian, professional writing form, legal briefs must state argument exactly, so to facilitate clear, unambiguous application of law to fact. On the other hand, legal argument is by nature speculation and extrapolation, and the most powerful legal conclusions are often simultaneously the most surprising and difficult to understand. Crafting a persuasive legal document means addressing opposite tendencies: developing a deeply considered, complex argument while ensuring that the argument is never as complex as to obscure its meaning. Narrative techniques potentially interact with the qualities of clarity and engagement in two ways that legal readers likely never encounter in their daily work, and both potentially inform the choices of the practice legal writer [5, 6]. Every written argument is an attempt to narrate present events, whether in the most literal form, as a retelling of misconduct or statutory interpretation, or more abstractly, as a sequence of cases. The simplest such sequence is the time line: he did x; she did y; the rain fell. In the time line, three essential details of any story (who, what, when) are filled in according to the most basic narrative progression pattern: one thing happened, and then, another thing happened. This predictability is what makes linear time sequences the obvious and default way to recount events and how events are related when there is no particular goal or focus for the narration. With more complex event sequences or where events have no natural or important time order, a chronological report can be difficult to produce; however, the familiar aspect of the time line can make even difficult subject matter easy to follow over time. Finally, something is compelling about the time line: even when one knows exactly how the story ends, the time line maintains suspense until event chains complete or the formative event occurs [7, 8].

Structure and Organization of a Persuasive Legal Brief

Legal brief writing, at its core, is about persuasion. Advocates are persuading a court to decide the way they want. Thus, a persuasive brief can be a powerful tool. A critical part of a brief's effectiveness is its structure and organization. A well-organized brief can enhance the reader's understanding of the information being presented. It can prepare and engage the reader, making it easier for the reader to find and later recall particular bits of information. This is particularly important as briefs grow longer because their authors must strive to present the information in a way that takes into account the complexities of human memory and attention span. Persuasive writing consists not only of the selection of information to present to the court but also of how that information is structured and presented [9, 10]. A legal brief of any length and on any issue should start with an introduction; the introduction should be followed by a statement of facts, which should be very brief and should contain only the necessary facts; that should be followed by a statement of the arguments; each argument should be fleshed out carefully and thoroughly, in detail; that should be followed by a conclusion. eBoth coherence and unity require a logical and prioritized arrangement of the facts, arguments, and authorities in the brief. There is no one way to begin a structural approach to brief writing, but it could be most effectively undertaken by developing a checklist of the components of a good brief, the necessary places within the brief form where they should be, and those they will be cited to support crucial points within the brief $\lceil 11, 12 \rceil$.

Effective Use of Evidence and Case Law

Evidence is the backbone of a persuasive brief or argument. Although skilled legal writers can make controversial or improbable arguments seem plausible, the credibility of an argument rests ultimately on the credibility of the sources that the advocate invokes. Persuasive legal writers who understand the significance of careful sourcing will take the time to find the most credible source available to support

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Page | 28

©NIJLCL

Publications

Open Access ISSN PRINT: 2992-6130 ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5800

each assertion. For example, statistical evidence may be inherently more persuasive because of its empirical basis than anecdotal arguments. In some situations, evidence from eye-witnesses or persons with expertise may be the most credible way to support an assertion. A court is unlikely to be persuaded by unsupported assertions or inferences, no matter how intuitive they may seem to the advocate. At a minimum, legal advocates must echo their contentions in the record and be prepared to explain how the facts support their assertions. One of the pieces of advice given to young legal writers is to "read everything," and this is still good advice. However, an equally important admonition might be to "believe only half of what you read." Unlike brief writing in law school, which generally mandates the use of all relevant case law, the advocate in practice has at her disposal a wide range of case law to draw from in support of an argument. One of the skills of persuasive legal writing is to choose wisely from the various authorities available in support of the argument. Thus, the advocate has an opportunity to bolster her arguments not only with powerful and trans-substantive Supreme Court precedent but also with other persuasive circuit opinions on similar facts. If chosen wisely, the case law may dovetail nicely with those cases that are favorable to the advocate's position or might be used to distinguish inconvenient but persuasive case law that runs counter to the advocate's position. All chosen case law must be cited according to Scrivener's Standards or the Uniform Legal Citation System. It is "read" case law, that is, analyzed critically for its relevance and implications that affect the initial understanding and continued evaluation of a legal dispute. Properly woven into the narrative, it bolsters the credibility and ultimately persuasiveness of the argument [13, 14].

Strategies for Crafting Compelling Arguments

No matter how elegant the turn of phrase or how cleverly designed the paragraph, a legal brief must stress clarity. Writing for the court is not the place to make an English professor's heart go pitter-pat. Short, concise sentences that make an irrefutably strong point clearly and forcefully are the goal. While the legal brief can be a blank canvas for a lawyer, the appellee needs to lay down a landscape with contours so well-defined that opposing counsel and the justices can easily picture the path through the mountains of bureaucracy and interpretive snarls. Even with matters of law, logic can be a persnickety creature. Airtight reasoning also leaves no room for ambiguity or misperception. However, here is where the "who's the audience" question also comes into play. An argument that makes no logical sense to a lay reader may be brilliant and perfectly legal. Unfortunately, persuasion involves the human element. Arguments crafted with nothing but unfeeling logic can come off as unfeeling and, at times, rigid. Judges and attorneys alike share a deep concern with matters of the law. It's natural to see all sides of an argument and be drawn to the fair and right solution. So a certain type of obligation to get it exactly right is involved. On the other hand, dryness in the simplicity of analysis, the simplicity of the procedural history, the occurrence of legal events, and the absence of color lend credence to the perception of what is an outcome preferred by all and what the conscience of the court mandates [15, 16].

Utilizing Rhetorical Devices and Persuasive Language

As much as we'd all love to think that our arguments are the determining factor in any case, the reality is that how the case is presented has a huge impact on the final judgment. Judges hear a lot of information daily, and a large part of their job is to listen to the same information presented in different ways and then decide which argument is more persuasive. To demonstrate to the decision-makers (the judges) that one's argument is stronger than the opposition, a legal writer must use the tools of narrative to engage the reader and the tools of rhetoric to persuade the reader. The key to each is to find the right balance or level between them. Generally, lawyers maintain a formal writing style, yet a balance is established between the application of phrasal terms and legally edifying terms [17, 18].

Addressing Counterarguments and Weaknesses

Legal writing is often, but not invariably, an adversarial enterprise. Your letter or brief must anticipate and address opposing arguments. While anticipating and rebutting arguments often appears to sacrifice tone, those who do not counter opposing views are sometimes assumed to be unaware of them or unable to refute them, thereby undercutting the writer's credibility. Effectively countering opposing arguments while maintaining a respectful tone, then, can enhance the writer's ethos. One method of persuasion by some psychologists is the "but you are free of coercion" approach. This evidence-inspired approach suggests explicitly recognizing the audience's ability to take a different course and discussing the absence of coercion. It has been used in a wide variety of persuasive situations and found to be effective [19, 20]. Another method psychologists cite is the inoculation approach, in which people are forewarned about counterarguments, shown how to counter them, and then subjected to them. According to this vein of psychology research, responding to the counterarguments after the person considers them and before

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Page | 29

Publications

Open Access ISSN PRINT: 2992-6130 ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5800

encountering resistance is important. This means that after a counterargument in a memo or brief, she must offer the response immediately, as it is likely to result in the fastest rejection of alternative ideas. Accepting the premise of the counterargument and then very quickly attempting to get the argument back on track may be the most effective application. Advocating anticipating objections and incorporating those arguments into the brief structure, thereby conducting a kind of inoculation. Doing so prompts an exploration of the weaknesses in your case and forces her to address them before the audience has a chance to do so. This, in turn, is thought to demonstrate preparedness and a willingness to engage with opposing arguments $\lceil 21, 22 \rceil$.

Call to Action

Effective writing is much more than an outfit that looks nice. Powerful legal writing is about the ability to persuade decisively. Persuasion is based on logic, truthful evidence, and emotional appeal. For centuries, lawyers have recognized the need to persuade. Like any skill, learning how to be most persuasive takes time. Since persuasive writing is mandatory for lawyers, chances to excel must be improved by mastering this type of writing as much as possible [23, 24]. Take a moment now to think through some of the techniques for understanding an audience. It is an important exercise. What a lawyer says and writes should be appropriately catered to the listener or reader. Now, also consider what has been learned about how to set forth an argument. There should be a firm belief in one's client's case if it is well researched and thus has been competently taken into the court. Persuasive writing for a legal case and then explaining it in a way that ensures that the court sees that the argument is the correct conclusion to draw. Besides, a good argument is Organic and Informative. For example, these matters have been researched in advance. It is then easy to show why it is the associate, and the rest of the court would see that this is the answer. This part of the argument for the client will effectively then be made [25, 26]. Evidence and rhetoric can also be addressed. Often, the case may not be so straightforward. For more one-sided cases, the strength may be shown simply by having the best understanding of an issue. However, not all cases are like that. Often, cases are complex. There are many shades of gray in the law, and witnesses on the stand may not be so credible all the time. Finally, it cannot be stressed enough how persuasive writing like this should be practiced. At first, the writing will be mediocre. As time goes on, it will improve. Regarding persuasive writing, a lawyer should never stop learning and growing. Even lawyers who are great writers continue to strive for growth. In the end, an aspiration is to see that justice is achieved. This may be done through effective persuasion $\lceil 27, 28 \rceil$.

CONCLUSION

Persuasive writing is an indispensable tool in legal advocacy, shaping the way judges and legal professionals interpret and apply the law. By mastering the art of persuasion—through clear and logical argumentation, strategic use of evidence, and rhetorical techniques—lawyers can significantly enhance their ability to influence judicial decisions. Crafting a compelling legal brief requires a deep understanding of the audience, structured reasoning, and a balance between legal formalism and narrative engagement. Addressing counterarguments proactively and refining persuasive strategies over time ensures that legal professionals continue to improve their advocacy skills. Ultimately, effective persuasive writing is not merely about winning cases but about achieving justice through well-reasoned and compelling legal arguments.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hazelton ML, Hinkle RK, Spriggs JF. The influence of unique information in briefs on supreme court opinion content. Justice System Journal. 2019 Apr 3;40(2):126-57.
- 2. Varsava N. Professional irresponsibility and judicial opinions. Hous. L. Rev. 2021;59:103.
- 3. Younan M, Martire KA. Likeability and expert persuasion: Dislikeability reduces the perceived persuasiveness of expert evidence. Frontiers in Psychology. 2021 Dec 23;12:785677.
- 4. Skurka C, Keating DM. How repeated exposure to persuasive messaging shapes message responses over time: a longitudinal experiment. Human Communication Research. 2024 Oct;50(4):518-29.
- Hadoux E, Hunter A, Polberg S. Strategic argumentation dialogues for persuasion: Framework and experiments based on modelling the beliefs and concerns of the persuadee. Argument & Computation. 2023 Jun 7;14(2):109-61.
- 6. Tappin BM, Wittenberg C, Hewitt LB, Berinsky AJ, Rand DG. Quantifying the potential persuasive returns to political microtargeting. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2023 Jun 20;120(25):e2216261120. pnas.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Page | 30

©NIJLCL

Publications

- 7. Vincent B. Stephen King: A Complete Exploration of His Work, Life, and Influences. becker&mayer! books ISBN; 2022 Sep 13.
- Melia M. The Shared History of Stanley Kubrick's A Clockwork Orange (1971) and Ken Russell's The Devils (1971). Historical Journal of Film, Radio and Television. 2022 Jan 2;42(1):8-23.
- Song C, Song Y. Enhancing academic writing skills and motivation: assessing the efficacy of ChatGPT in AI-assisted language learning for EFL students. Frontiers in Psychology. 2023 Dec 15;14:1260843.
- Chen M, Sinha A, Hu K, Shah MI. Impact of technological innovation on energy efficiency in industry 4.0 era: Moderation of shadow economy in sustainable development. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2021 Mar 1;164:120521. <u>uni-muenchen.de</u>
- Sohrabi C, Mathew G, Maria N, Kerwan A, Franchi T, Agha RA. The SCARE 2023 guideline: updating consensus Surgical CAse REport (SCARE) guidelines. International Journal of Surgery. 2023 May 1;109(5):1136-40. <u>lww.com</u>
- 12. Eager B, Brunton R. Prompting higher education towards AI-augmented teaching and learning practice. Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice. 2023 Aug;20(5):1-9. <u>open-publishing.org</u>
- Lynch KJ. Best friends-Supreme Court law clerks on effective amicus curiae briefs. JL & Pol.. 2004;20:33.
- 14. Pirondini E, Carranza E, Balaguer JM, Sorensen E, Weber DJ, Krakauer JW, Capogrosso M. Poststroke arm and hand paresis: should we target the cervical spinal cord?. Trends in neurosciences. 2022 Aug 1;45(8):568-78. <u>[HTML]</u>
- 15. Stanchi KM. The science of persuasion: an initial exploration. Mich. St. L. Rev.. 2006:411.
- 16. Karwa R. Clausius statement of second law of thermodynamics: A review and engineering perspective of the law. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education. 2022 Oct;50(4):1025-33. [HTML]
- 17. Heffron RJ. Applying energy justice into the energy transition. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 Mar 1;156:111936.
- Schwarz N, Jalbert M, Noah T, Zhang L. Metacognitive experiences as information: Processing fluency in consumer judgment and decision making. Consumer Psychology Review. 2021 Jan;4(1):4-25. <u>usc.edu</u>
- 19. Schwarz BB. Argumentation and learning. InArgumentation and education: Theoretical foundations and practices 2009 May 22 (pp. 91-126). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- 20. Ping K, Hawdon J, Rho E. Perceiving and countering hate: The role of identity in online responses. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.01675. 2024 Nov 3.
- Lewandowsky S, Van Der Linden S. Countering misinformation and fake news through inoculation and prebunking. European review of social psychology. 2021 Jul 3;32(2):348-84. <u>bris.ac.uk</u>
- 22. Barbati JL, Rains SA, Ivanov B, Banas JA. Evaluating classic and contemporary ideas about persuasion resistance in inoculation theory: argument strength, refutation strength, and forewarning. Communication Research Reports. 2021 Aug 8;38(4):272-81. [HTML]
- 23. Cohen H, Alberstein M. Power and Persuasion in the Courtroom: The Force of Law and the Settlement Dynamics of Judges. Cardozo Int'l & Comp. L. Rev. 2022;6:767.
- 24. DeForrest M. Introducing Persuasive Legal Argument via the Letter from a Birmingham City Hail. Legal Writing: J. Legal Writing Inst.. 2009;15:109.
- Mensah GB, Addy A, Frimpong PO. Comparative Legal Analysis of the Medical Negligence Landscape: The Ghanaian and Commonwealth Criminal Jurisprudence. JL Pol'y & Globalization. 2023;136:21.
- 26. Nasution K, Nasution S. Implementation of Indonesian Islamic Family Law to Guarantee Children's Rights. Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies. 2021 Dec 13;59(2):347-74.
- 27. Parco A. Font of Knowledge: Readability and Accessibility Challenges Caused by Typeface Requirements in North Carolina Appellate Courts. North Carolina Law Review. 2025;103(2):573.
- Hodge Jr SD. Revolutionizing Justice: Unleashing the Power of Artificial Intelligence. SMU Sci. & Tech. L. Rev., 2023;26:217.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

©NIJLCL Publications Open Access ISSN PRINT: 2992-6130 ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5800

CITE AS: Maria Edet Umo (2025). Persuasive Writing Techniques for Legal Briefs. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES. 5(1): 27-32. https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJLCL/2025/5.1.2732

Page | 32

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited