

The Role of Wearable Health Technology in Monitoring and Managing Chronic Conditions

Niwarinda Arnold

Department of Clinical Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda
Email: arnold.niwarinda@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Wearable health technology has emerged as a transformative tool in healthcare, offering continuous monitoring and management of chronic conditions. These devices, ranging from fitness trackers to advanced biosensors, collect real-time data on parameters such as heart rate, glucose levels, and physical activity. This paper investigates the potential of wearable health technology to enhance self-management, improve clinical outcomes, and reduce healthcare disparities. Key case studies demonstrate their efficacy in chronic condition management, while challenges such as data privacy, accessibility, and integration with existing healthcare systems are addressed. Future advancements, including AI integration and improved usability, could further revolutionize chronic disease management and patient care.

Keywords: Wearable health technology, chronic disease management, biosensors, patient monitoring, digital health.

INTRODUCTION

Wearable health technology refers to a group of devices and data integration technologies capable of tracking health and fitness-related information, including recording heart rate, body temperature, or activity levels. Wearable health technology is a significant part of today's healthcare landscape and can take many forms, from global positioning system watches to step trackers and heart rate monitors. The ability of these technologies to monitor our health and activity has seen a significant rise in recent years, with wearables moving from a primary focus on step counting and fitness goals to more complex care and support services. The data from these devices are collected through proprietary applications and can be used in a personal or clinical environment to the benefit of patients and health care providers. Accelerated by advancements in technology, these technologies have become significantly more accessible and affordable in recent years as the commercial market, research centers, and pharmaceutical companies respond to the demand for more e-health solutions [1, 2]. The global trend of chronic diseases means that any advancements or high-quality research in these areas would be extremely beneficial to citizens of every nation around the world. Wearable technologies have developed over the years, and in 2020, over 50% of the US population had some kind of wearable device monitoring their health and potentially their chronic conditions. The ease of access has also led to a shift in patient engagement and improved opportunities for self-management, as the encouragement of data collection for chronic condition management has evolved. Due to the use of such devices to monitor and collect data, a range of ethical considerations need to be addressed, particularly when it comes to storing, removing, sharing, and using data provided by the unique technology. Data collected from these devices are viewed as personal information that is stored and monitored closely and in accordance with privacy and industry laws in terms of healthcare data [3, 4].

Chronic Conditions and the Need for Continuous Monitoring

A chronic condition refers mainly to any recurring or long-lasting illness, disease, or health issue that, quite often, is a degenerative one, meaning that it can progress. This may also mean there is no complete

cure available for it, only methods to alleviate symptoms. Chronic diseases therefore represent the leading cause of disability and are responsible for a significant number of deaths annually. An ever-increasing number of people live with a chronic illness as the populations of countries expand and age. The vast majority of patients have conditions with symptoms that fluctuate over various time periods and are responsive to therapeutic treatment. If a patient is consistently monitored, the resultant data detailing fluctuations in response to attempted treatments can be invaluable. Additionally, it is essential for real-time data to be collected continually to provide general insight into illness patterns and place potential treatment effects into context [5, 6]. Traditional healthcare delivery methods generally do not provide an accurate means of identifying effective treatments. Where resources permit in many parts of the world, it is not unusual for patients to be seen by a doctor infrequently. For those living in more remote areas, or who may have disabilities or socioeconomic factors affecting their living arrangements, access to traditional healthcare methods may be even scarcer. This may also lead to non-compliance with long-term therapy and increased costs of care. Thus, continuous monitoring is needed to identify and reflect changes in disease state, and medicine use, and provide early signs of treatment response, disease progression, and relapses. Real-time continuous monitoring of a patient's health condition can help in the day-to-day management of symptoms or medicine management and offer preventative or early identification of complications. By using wearables to monitor health, individuals are not only given a way to monitor their health but also to influence their attitudes and behaviors in helping to maintain good health. So, wearables have the dual power of being able to collect data on an individual's condition as well as foster a patient's stake in their condition management [7, 8]. A continuous surveillance mechanism can help a patient overcome any perceived limitations, such as disability or time constraints. It may also act as a safety and comfort mechanism, which can be reassuring to a partner or caregiver. Moreover, being constantly monitored may help patients manage psychological symptoms that may result from anxiety caused by voluntary or involuntary symptoms, which can impair a patient's socioeconomic well-being. It is this factor that may also explain the psychological benefit that continuous monitoring gives to patients and their families and caregivers. It is also this sort of focus that needs to be addressed by the medical community. But perhaps the most important advantage of miniaturization is that it can influence a change in medical practice. Assessing the degree of the presence of the symptom may be of major value in determining responses to therapies. In essence, successful treatment can lead to a lessening or cessation of symptoms or improving functioning. The longer the period of symptoms prevails, the more likely the chances of a poor prognosis. Until now, healthcare providers' opinions are reserved owing to the limited and mostly anecdotal data on the use of wearables in monitoring health. This delay in assessing the health status of a patient with a growing disease leads to missed opportunities to delay the occurrence of the disease or, if it has already occurred, lowering disease-associated morbidity and improve the prognosis. The wider perspective on health has not yet been covered in the current research on chronic monitoring. In summary, wearables may tell us how to maintain our quality of life and avoid losing it due to illness [9, 10].

Key Features and Functions of Wearable Health Devices

Wearable health devices can be equipped with a variety of sensors for monitoring the user's day-to-day living to longitudinally assess the acute flare on the user's physiology and to develop and maintain a digital replica of the individual's health. In general, a majority of devices made nowadays target longitudinal continuous monitoring of the user, including day and night. Common parameters of interest can be distinguished as: (1) activity estimation, usually as steps walked and distance walked or moved, sometimes at a given speed or quantity of energy spent based on algorithms that contain decisions on a combination of different sensors; (2) heart rate, either at rest as an average over a defined period, taken during a time interval mainly during sleep, or continuously; (3) ECG or heart rate variability; (4) estimation of blood pressure, either oscillometrically or with a finger cuff and the pulse wave velocity; (5) glucose monitoring; (6) skin temperature with a thermistor; (7) heart ultrasound and heart sound; (8) SpO₂ [11, 12]. A direct effect of the user-friendliness of the interface is the engagement of the user by participating in the assessment with a certain pledged commitment and compliance. Real-time feedback to the user can be a feature as additional integrable and interpretable data such as health educational information. Future wearables are expected to be completely integrated into our daily lifestyle and 'wearable' so that the user will be the one not to forget to carry it, use it, and in the new versions, recharge it with frequent enough use. The collected data are more and more retrieved wirelessly from the device through, for example, Bluetooth Low Energy or Zigbee, transferred to the user's smartphone, upon which the pledged application is installed or upon which the data are forwarded to health platforms, accessible through web browsers. Wearable health devices have the potential to show a treatment effect

in addition to providing health insights and being integrable in a remote patient monitoring service by healthcare professionals. Successful application of artificial intelligence and data analysis to the data can lead to the cooperation of the wearable in creating your unique digital model or replica from the user for being informative for effective therapy predictions or interventions. Security and privacy are important issues where ample precautions are to be taken: mainly only the user, his or her healthcare professionals, and other unknown individuals can use the data. Other feasible protection options are discussed: temporary storage, secured transfer, owner identification, anonymous and encrypted data storage, updating, and backing up. It is obvious that as the wearable device proves its viability or is capable of producing uniqueness, it has a future in data science and patient support [13, 14].

Case Studies and Success Stories in Chronic Condition Management with Wearable Tech

The My Dose Coach lends itself to a case study demonstrating a reduction in HbA1c levels through better insulin dosage algorithms and preventative behavior. Other case studies incorporate mHealth into existing healthcare models, reducing inpatient readmission rates and providing further respite for healthcare professionals. The Renew telemonitoring system, for example, reduced 90-day readmission rates to 6% from 15–50% in patients already diagnosed with chronic heart failure. A program is also built into established healthcare models with these healthcare providers endorsing their technology. This program is unique for a wearable technology showcase, as the mHealth service focuses entirely on mental health in young adults [15, 16]. Additionally, winning mHealth innovation awards for three consecutive years, 'GlowCaps supports adherence and persistent patients, and MailRite offers healthcare businesses access to clientele resistant to usual modes of advertising. A company works with us to provide evidence of the practical use of wearable technology in practice within a healthcare unit in a developed healthcare infrastructure. 'Fit by Genetics' gave real medical staff the ability to communicate with their existing patients—a 50% improvement over the commercial user level of 33%. The Nike+ Sportswatch GPS promises to allow those with heart conditions to monitor their progress, with other companies innovating in wearable technology for better chronic condition prevention and management through lifestyle improvement [17, 18].

Challenges and Future Directions in the Field

Challenges and Future Directions Incorporating wearable health technology into everyday life is not without its challenges. Battery life, cellular connectivity, data transmission, and interrater reliability of repeated measures are some of the technical issues that need to be overcome. Interoperability with existing health systems is another challenge that needs to be addressed. In addition to technical issues, privacy, security of the data, and the ethical use of information stored have all led to different wearables being proposed for use by courts. It has even been argued that some factors affecting follow-up care have arisen because the wearable has changed the patient-physician relationship, with them as the expert, providing critical information that reduces perceived vulnerabilities. Access to data from the wearable by the user and also the physician requires technology. At present, people have different levels of confidence in technology. In particular, the use of wearable devices varies based on age, technology exposure, type of condition, and disease severity. Those suffering from multiple morbidities or cognitive decline are less likely to be wearing a device because they may forget or need to be tracked. This is of importance given the rising disease risk with age. The use of health wearables is also marked by a large geographic digital divide. The digital divide can be accounted for by perceived need, fear, and even apathy. Recent research suggests that confidence in using technology, participants' preferences for someone else to use it before they are prepared to use it and trust in the results are all key to overcoming the divide. Trends in wearable health technology include evolution paralleling current age-related morbidity and demographics entering into wearable technology development, such as improving usability with age, hearing and vision disparities, and vulnerable populations. It has been suggested that at least some of the disparities in access can be improved through policy interventions at the point of innovative or technological development. Healthcare systems can adapt to pervasive technology by changing the economics, culture, strategies deployed, patient interventions, and policy approach. Policy changes could include reimbursement for training on how to use the technology and ownership issues of the data as well as the technology. The future or penultimate revolution in wearables and patient information includes silent alarms based on disease algorithms. There have been no large studies on peace of mind or quantifying the number of people who died of chronic disease in this way. Early wearable studies involve cancer prognosis, acute myocardial infarction, and seizure prediction. For the most part, such studies involve improvements in wearable technology. At present, the inability of consumer-grade technologies to improve sensor or wear times rather than data prohibits these sorts of devices from being useful in prediction. Current and future studies could include the use of wearable activity data to predict heart disease and stroke, identify frailty

in older people, as well as depression outcomes. Our studies are amongst those that suggest the need to collect data continuously over a long time to do so. Given the cost, the use of current wearables is likely to occur in a small subset of the population, such as the 'worried well' or those in whom wearable algorithms have already shown promise in preliminary studies [3, 19].

CONCLUSION

Wearable health technology holds significant promise for improving the monitoring and management of chronic conditions. By providing real-time data, these devices empower patients to take an active role in their healthcare, promote better adherence to treatment regimens, and support clinical decision-making. Case studies illustrate their impact on reducing hospital readmissions, enhancing mental health support, and enabling personalized care. However, challenges such as technological barriers, data security, and equitable access must be addressed to realize their full potential. As advancements in AI and data analytics continue, wearable technologies are poised to play an increasingly central role in shaping the future of chronic disease management and transforming global healthcare delivery.

REFERENCES

1. Nur S. The Role of Digital Health Technologies and Sensors in Revolutionizing Wearable Health Monitoring Systems. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology*. 2024 Nov 25;12(6):69-80. irpublications.org
2. Greiwe J, Nyenhuis SM. Wearable technology and how this can be implemented into clinical practice. *Current allergy and asthma reports*. 2020 Aug;20:1-0.
3. Chandrasekaran R, Katthula V, Moustakas E. Too old for technology? Use of wearable healthcare devices by older adults and their willingness to share health data with providers. *Health Informatics Journal*. 2021 Nov 17;27(4):14604582211058073. sagepub.com
4. Lu L, Zhang J, Xie Y, Gao F, Xu S, Wu X, Ye Z. Wearable health devices in health care: narrative systematic review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2020 Nov 9;8(11):e18907. jmir.org
5. Li Z, Lin L, Wu H, Yan L, Wang H, Yang H, Li H. Global, regional, and national death, and disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for cardiovascular disease in 2017 and trends and risk analysis from 1990 to 2017 using the global burden of disease study and implications for prevention. *Frontiers in public health*. 2021 Oct 29;9:559751. frontiersin.org
6. Fanelli SM, Jonnalagadda SS, Pisegna JL, Kelly OJ, Krok-Schoen JL, Taylor CA. Poorer diet quality observed among US adults with a greater number of clinical chronic disease risk factors. *Journal of primary care & community health*. 2020 Sep;11:2150132720945898. sagepub.com
7. Edlow BL, Claassen J, Schiff ND, Greer DM. Recovery from disorders of consciousness: mechanisms, prognosis and emerging therapies. *Nature Reviews Neurology*. 2021 Mar;17(3):135-56. nature.com
8. Li P, Lee GH, Kim SY, Kwon SY, Kim HR, Park S. From diagnosis to treatment: recent advances in patient-friendly biosensors and implantable devices. *ACS nano*. 2021 Feb 3;15(2):1960-2004.
9. Zainab H, Khan AH, Khan R, Hussain HK. Integration of AI and Wearable Devices for Continuous Cardiac Health Monitoring. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts*. 2024 Nov 14;3(4):123-39. itscience.org
10. Park S, Jayaraman S. Wearables: Fundamentals, advancements, and a roadmap for the future. *In Wearable sensors 2021 Jan 1 (pp. 3-27)*. Academic Press.
11. Pancar T, Ozkan Yildirim S. Exploring factors affecting consumers' adoption of wearable devices to track health data. *Universal Access in the Information Society*. 2023 Jun;22(2):331-49.
12. Alhaddad AY, Aly H, Gad H, Elgassim E, Mohammed I, Baagar K, Al-Ali A, Sadasivuni KK, Cabibihan JJ, Malik RA. Longitudinal studies of wearables in patients with diabetes: key issues and solutions. *Sensors*. 2023 May 23;23(11):5003. mdpi.com
13. Lee SM, Lee D. Healthcare wearable devices: an analysis of key factors for continuous use intention. *Service Business*. 2020 Dec;14(4):503-31.
14. Singh B, Zopf EM, Howden EJ. Effect and feasibility of wearable physical activity trackers and pedometers for increasing physical activity and improving health outcomes in cancer survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*. 2022 Mar 1;11(2):184-93.
15. Manavi T, Zafar H, Sharif F. An Era of Digital Healthcare—A Comprehensive Review of Sensor Technologies and Telehealth Advancements in Chronic Heart Failure Management. *Sensors*. 2024 Apr 16;24(8):2546.

16. Braver J, Marwick TH, Oldenburg B, Issaka A, Carrington MJ. Digital health programs to reduce readmissions in coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC: Advances*. 2023 Oct 1;2(8):100591. [jacc.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2023.100591)
17. Kamei T, Kanamori T, Yamamoto Y, Edirippulige S. The use of wearable devices in chronic disease management to enhance adherence and improve telehealth outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2022 Jun;28(5):342-59. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Xie Y, Lu L, Gao F, He SJ, Zhao HJ, Fang Y, Yang JM, An Y, Ye ZW, Dong Z. Integration of artificial intelligence, blockchain, and wearable technology for chronic disease management: a new paradigm in smart healthcare. *Current Medical Science*. 2021 Dec;41(6):1123-33. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12245-021-01123-3)
19. Strain T, Wijndaele K, Dempsey PC, Sharp SJ, Pearce M, Jeon J, Lindsay T, Wareham N, Brage S. Wearable-device-measured physical activity and future health risk. *Nature medicine*. 2020 Sep;26(9):1385-91. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0911-1)

CITE AS: Niwarinda Arnold (2025). The Role of Wearable Health Technology in Monitoring and Managing Chronic Conditions. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN MEDICAL SCIENCES, 6(1):42-46
<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2025/6.1.424600>