NIJBAS
Publications 2024

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES (NIJBAS)

Volume 6 Issue 1 Page 21-31, 2025

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2025/6.1.213100

The Measurements and Impacts of Electromagnetic Field on the Psychological and Physiological Well-Being of Humans

Awafung Emmanuel Adie[1], Faithpraise Fina O[2] and Awafung veronica Iye[3]

[1]**Biomedical Engineering, Kampala International University, Uganda.**
[2]**Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, University of Calabar, Nigeria**

Email: ffaithpraise@gmail.com; awafungadie@gmail.com; awafungadie@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

The increasing ubiquity of electronic devices in daily life has heightened concerns about the potential long-term health risks associated with prolonged exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs). This study investigates the long-term health hazards of EMFs generated by common electronic devices, including mobile phones, laptops, X-ray machines, ECG instruments, power lines, and microwave ovens. Using a longitudinal research methodology, the study monitors changes in EMF levels from these devices over extended periods of use. Advanced measurement techniques, such as frequency-specific EMF analysis and spatial mapping, were employed to accurately quantify emissions at varying distances and under diverse operational conditions. Findings reveal that as electronic devices age, component degradation leads to increased resistance, reduced shielding effectiveness, and altered signal transmission, collectively amplifying EMF emissions. The extent of these increases varies based on device type, usage patterns, and environmental factors. Furthermore, the study examines the health implications of chronic exposure to elevated EMF levels, leveraging epidemiological data and controlled experiments to assess impacts on neurological function, endocrine regulation, and cellular responses. These findings underscore the potential public health risks posed by aging electronic devices and highlight the urgent need for awareness and action. The study advocates for responsible maintenance and replacement of devices, as well as the implementation of EMF mitigation strategies. By providing evidence-based insights, this research aims to inform policymakers, healthcare practitioners, and the general public, contributing to the development of guidelines and standards to safeguard health in an era of rapid technological advancement.

Keywords: Electromagnetic field, effects, humans, well-being, physiological, psychological

INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are ubiquitous in the modern world, as they are generated by various natural and artificial sources, such as the sun, the earth, power lines, appliances, communication devices, and medical equipment [1]. EMFs have different frequencies and intensities, ranging from extremely low-frequency (ELF) to high-frequency (HF) radiation. While some forms of EMFs, such as X-rays and gamma rays, are known to be ionizing and harmful to human health, the effects of non-ionizing EMFs, especially at low levels, are still controversial and inconclusive [2, 3]. Some studies have suggested that EMFs may have adverse effects on the nervous system, the endocrine system, the immune system, and the reproductive system, as well as increase the risk of cancer and neurodegenerative diseases [4, 5]. However, other studies have found no evidence of such effects or even reported some beneficial effects of EMFs on health and well-being. Therefore, there is a need for more comprehensive and

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122
rigorous research on the impact of EMFs on human health, taking into account the various sources, frequencies, intensities, durations, and individual factors of exposure. In our increasingly interconnected world, the pervasive use of electronic devices and wireless technologies has led to a significant rise in human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs). EMFs are generated by a variety of sources, including mobile phones, Wi-Fi routers, power lines, and household appliances [6, 7]. While these technologies have revolutionized communication, convenience, and efficiency, concerns have been raised about the potential impact of EMF exposure on human health. This review aims to comprehensively investigate the impact of exposure to EMFs from different sources on the physiological and psychological well-being of humans. It aims to bridge the knowledge gap by providing a multidisciplinary exploration of the complex relationship between EMFs and human health, incorporating elements of environmental science, epidemiology, psychology, and biophysics. Electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are ubiquitous in the modern world, as they are generated by various natural and artificial sources, such as the sun, the earth, power lines, appliances, communication devices, and medical equipment. EMFs have different frequencies and intensities, ranging from extremely low-frequency (ELF) to high-frequency (HF) radiation [8]. While some forms of EMFs, such as X-rays and gamma rays, are known to be ionizing and harmful to human health, the effects of non-ionizing EMFs, especially at low levels, are still controversial and inconclusive. Some studies have suggested that EMFs may have adverse effects on the nervous system, the endocrine system, the immune system, and the reproductive system, as well as increase the risk of cancer and neurodegenerative diseases [9, 10]. However, other studies have found no evidence of such effects or even reported some beneficial effects of EMFs on health and well-being. Therefore, there is a need for more comprehensive and rigorous research on the impact of EMFs on human health, taking into account the various sources, frequencies, intensities, durations, and individual factors of exposure. Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs) are generated by various natural and man-made sources, including power lines, electrical appliances, wireless communication devices, and even the Earth's magnetic field. Concerns about the potential effects of EMFs on human health have been a subject of scientific inquiry and public debate for several decades. While the evidence remains inconclusive and controversial, some studies suggest that EMFs may have both physiological and psychological effects on humans. The effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF) on humans have been a subject of scientific inquiry and public interest for many years. EMF refers to the electric and magnetic fields that are generated by electric power lines, electrical appliances, wireless devices, and other sources of electrical and wireless technology. While there is ongoing research in this field, the general scientific consensus based on existing studies is that the EMF levels commonly encountered in daily life do not pose a significant health risk to the general population. Regulatory bodies and health organizations around the world, including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), have established guidelines and exposure limits for EMF to ensure public safety [11, 12, 13].

Non-Ionizing Radiation

EMF from power lines, household appliances, and wireless devices fall into the category of non-ionizing radiation. Unlike ionizing radiation (such as X-rays and gamma rays), which have enough energy to break chemical bonds and cause cellular damage, non-ionizing radiation does not have sufficient energy to cause DNA damage or ionize atoms directly [14].

Ionizing vs. Non-Ionizing Radiation

As mentioned earlier, EMF falls into the category of nonionizing radiation, which lacks sufficient energy to cause direct ionization or DNA damage. Ionizing radiation, on the other hand, such as X-rays and gamma rays, has higher energy levels and can cause direct cellular damage and increase the risk of cancer. The health risks associated with ionizing radiation are well-established and supported by scientific evidence [15].

Potential Health Effects of EMF Exposure

Physiological Effects: Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the potential health effects of EMF exposure. These studies have focused on various health outcomes, including cancer, reproductive health, cognitive function, sleep disturbances, and cardiovascular effects. While some studies have reported associations between EMF exposure and certain health outcomes, the overall scientific consensus remains that the evidence is insufficient to establish a clear causal relationship. Another area of interest is the potential effects of EMF on pregnancy and fetal development. While some studies have reported associations between EMF exposure and adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm birth or low birth weight, the evidence is limited and inconsistent. Further research is needed to understand the potential risks, particularly as technology use continues to increase [16, 17].

Cancer Risk: One of the most widely studied potential health effects of EMFs is their association with cancer, particularly leukemia and brain tumors. Some epidemiological studies have reported an increased risk of certain cancers among individuals exposed to high levels of EMFs, such as those living near power lines or working in occupations with high EMF exposure. However, other studies have not consistently replicated these findings, and the overall evidence remains uncertain [18, 19].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Neurological Effects: There is ongoing research investigating the possible link between EMF exposure and neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis. While some studies suggest a potential association between long-term EMF exposure and increased risk of neurological disorders, more research is needed to establish causal relationships [20, 21].

Reproductive Health: Some studies have suggested that EMF exposure may affect reproductive health, including sperm quality, fertility, and pregnancy outcomes. However, the evidence is limited and conflicting, with some studies reporting no significant effects [22, 23, 24].

Cardiovascular Effects: There is limited evidence suggesting that exposure to EMFs may have effects on the cardiovascular system, including changes in heart rate variability, blood pressure, and risk of cardiovascular disease. However, more research is needed to better understand these potential effects and their underlying mechanisms [25, 26].

Psychological Effects: Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity (EHS): Some individuals claim to experience symptoms such as headaches, fatigue, and difficulty concentrating when exposed to EMF, a condition referred to as electromagnetic hypersensitivity. However, scientific studies have not provided conclusive evidence to support a direct causal relationship between EMF exposure and the reported symptoms of EHS. Psychological factors, such as the "nocebo effect," where symptoms are triggered by the belief of exposure, may play a role in some cases. We recall that the electromagnetic spectrum encompasses a wide range of wavelengths and frequencies, ranging from extremely low frequency (ELF) fields associated with power lines to radiofrequency (RF) fields used in wireless communication. Different sources of EMF emit different frequencies, and the potential health effects may vary based on the frequency and intensity of the exposure [27, 28].

Sleep Disturbances: EMF exposure, particularly from electronic devices such as smartphones and Wi-Fi routers, has been associated with sleep disturbances, including difficulty falling asleep, frequent awakenings, and reduced sleep quality. The mechanisms underlying these effects are not fully understood but may involve disruptions to circadian rhythms and melatonin production [29, 30].

Cognitive Function: Some studies have investigated the potential impact of EMF exposure on cognitive function, including memory, attention, and executive function. While there is some evidence suggesting that EMFs may affect cognitive performance, the findings are inconsistent, and more research is needed to clarify the nature of these effects [31].

Psychological Well-being: There is ongoing debate about the potential psychological effects of EMF exposure, including increased stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms. While some individuals report experiencing "electromagnetic hypersensitivity" (EHS) symptoms in response to EMFs, scientific evidence supporting the existence of EHS is limited, and the mechanisms underlying such symptoms remain unclear [32].

Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs) on Reproductive Health

The influence of electromagnetic fields (EMF) on reproductive health, particularly in relation to fertility and sperm quality, has garnered significant attention in scientific research. Several studies have investigated the potential impact of EMF exposure on reproductive outcomes in both males and females. In a study by [33], the researchers examined the influence of electromagnetic waves on sperm motility. Their findings suggested a potential association between EMF exposure and impaired sperm motility, highlighting a possible link to male infertility. Similarly, [34] conducted a study to explore the relationship between cell phone use and semen quality. Their results indicated that prolonged exposure to cell phone radiation may have adverse effects on sperm parameters, including sperm count and motility. [35], conducted an observational study to evaluate the effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending an infertility clinic. The study found that increased cell phone usage was associated with decreased sperm quality, providing further evidence of a potential detrimental effect of EMF exposure on male reproductive health. [36], conducted an in vitro pilot study to investigate the effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic waves (RF-EMW) from cellular phones on human ejaculated semen. The results suggested that RF-EMW exposure may lead to significant changes in sperm parameters, including sperm viability and DNA integrity. In addition to studies focusing on human subjects, animal studies have also contributed valuable insights into the potential reproductive effects of EMF exposure. For example, [37] exposed rats to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation from GSM mobile phones and observed a reduction in sperm motility, accompanied by increased oxidative stress in the reproductive organs.

Cellular Effects

The investigation of cellular mechanisms underlying the impact of electromagnetic fields (EMF) on biological systems has been a subject of significant interest in scientific research. Several studies have explored the cellular effects of EMF exposure, focusing on various aspects such as DNA integrity, oxidative stress, and gene expression. [38], conducted a study to assess the impact of radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA integrity in the male germline. Their findings suggested that EMF exposure may lead to DNA damage in sperm cells, potentially

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122
compromising male reproductive health. Oxidative stress has emerged as a key mechanism implicated in the cellular response to EMF exposure. [39], investigated the effects of electromagnetic radiation from cellular phones on brain oxidative stress and vitamin levels in guinea pigs. Their results indicated that EMF exposure was associated with increased oxidative stress levels in the brain, suggesting a potential link between EMF exposure and oxidative damage. Furthermore, [40] conducted a study to evaluate the effects of electromagnetic radiation from cellular phones on oxidant and antioxidant levels in rabbits. The study observed alterations in the oxidant and antioxidant balance, indicating a disruption in cellular redox homeostasis following EMF exposure. Gene expression is another aspect of cellular function investigated in the context of EMF exposure. [41], explored the mechanism of short-term activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) by electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequencies. Their findings suggested that EMF exposure may modulate gene expression pathways involved in cellular signaling.

Neurological Effects

The burgeoning utilization of electromagnetic fields (EMF) in modern society has prompted significant scientific inquiry into their potential effects on the central nervous system (CNS), encompassing cognitive function, sleep patterns, and the onset or exacerbation of neurological disorders. Regarding cognitive function, research findings vary. [42], reported alterations in spatial memory performance among participants exposed to GSM wireless communication signals, suggesting a potential link between EMF exposure and cognitive deficits. However, [43] found no significant changes in human auditory brainstem response following short GSM mobile phone exposure, indicating conflicting evidence. Studies exploring the relationship between EMF exposure and sleep patterns have unveiled intriguing insights. [44], observed disruptions in human sleep when exposed to 60 Hz magnetic fields, suggesting alterations in sleep architecture. Similarly, [45] found disturbances in sleep quality and melatonin cycle in individuals exposed to shortwave magnetic fields, implying potential implications for sleep-related disorders.

The association of neurological disorders with EMF exposure remains an area of active investigation. [46], reported conflicting findings regarding the effects of EMF on cognitive function and neurological disorders. Moreover, emerging evidence suggests that alterations in melatonin secretion induced by EMF exposure may contribute to the pathogenesis of conditions like Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease [45].

Cancer Risk

Brain tumors, in particular, have received considerable attention due to the proximity of mobile phone usage to the head and the potential for EMF emitted by these devices to penetrate brain tissues. Numerous epidemiological studies have investigated this association, although findings have been inconsistent. For instance, a study by [47] reported an increased risk of acoustic neuroma associated with long-term mobile phone use, suggesting a potential link between EMF exposure and brain tumor development. However, other studies have failed to replicate these findings, highlighting the complexity of the issue and the need for further research. Leukemia, a type of blood cancer, has also been studied in relation to EMF exposure, particularly among individuals living in close proximity to power lines or other sources of high-voltage EMF. A meta-analysis by [48] found a modest but statistically significant association between childhood leukemia and magnetic field exposure, particularly at higher levels of exposure. However, the causal relationship between EMF and leukemia remains uncertain, and additional research is needed to clarify the underlying mechanisms. Despite the ongoing debate and inconclusive evidence, several biological mechanisms have been proposed to explain the potential carcinogenic effects of EMF exposure. These mechanisms include the generation of free radicals, DNA damage, and alterations in cellular signaling pathways. For example, [49] demonstrated that EMF exposure can influence the efflux of calcium ions from brain tissue, potentially disrupting cellular processes and increasing cancer risk.

Endocrine Disruption

Thyroid hormones play a crucial role in metabolism, growth, and development, and disruptions in their regulation can have profound health implications. While direct evidence linking EMF exposure to thyroid hormone imbalance remains limited, several studies have suggested potential associations. For example, [50] conducted a preliminary study exposing mice to radiofrequency radiations from mobile communication base stations. They observed an induction of sperm head abnormalities, raising concerns about possible endocrine disruption. However, further research is needed to elucidate the specific mechanisms underlying these effects and their relevance to human health. Cortisol, often referred to as the "stress hormone," is involved in the body's response to stress and plays a role in various physiological processes. Studies investigating the impact of EMF on cortisol levels have yielded conflicting results. For instance, [41] explored the mechanism of short-term ERK activation by electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequencies. While they reported alterations in cellular signaling pathways, the direct effects on cortisol levels remain unclear. Additional research utilizing robust methodologies is warranted to better understand the potential modulation of cortisol by EMF. Reproductive hormones, including estrogen, progesterone, and testosterone, are essential for reproductive health and fertility. Several studies have investigated the influence of EMF exposure on reproductive hormone levels, albeit with inconsistent findings. [35], conducted an observational study assessing the

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122
effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending infertility clinics. While they reported no significant impact on reproductive hormone levels, other studies have suggested potential alterations in hormone profiles due to EMF exposure. Further research, including well-designed epidemiological studies and mechanistic investigations, is necessary to clarify the relationship between EMF and reproductive hormone disruption.

Immune System Response

Several studies have investigated the effects of EMF exposure on immune system function, with varying results. For instance, a study by [51] demonstrated that exposure to radiofrequency EMF could lead to alterations in immune response, including changes in lymphocyte activity and increased production of stress proteins. Similarly, [52] reported changes in immune parameters, such as alterations in cytokine levels, following exposure to extremely low-frequency EMF. In terms of susceptibility to infections, some research suggests that EMF exposure may weaken the immune system, thereby increasing the risk of infections. For example, a study by [53] found that exposure to low-intensity EMF suppressed immune response in mice, resulting in increased susceptibility to bacterial infections. Additionally, a review by [54] highlighted potential immune-modulating effects of EMF, although the exact mechanisms remain unclear. Furthermore, there is emerging evidence suggesting a possible link between EMF exposure and autoimmune disorders. Autoimmune disorders occur when the immune system mistakenly attacks healthy tissues, leading to chronic inflammation and tissue damage. While research in this area is still relatively limited, some studies have reported associations between EMF exposure and increased risk of autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis (MS). For example, a study by [55] found that individuals living near high-voltage power lines had a higher prevalence of autoimmune diseases compared to controls, suggesting a potential environmental trigger. Despite these findings, the underlying mechanisms by which EMF may affect immune system function and contribute to autoimmune disorders remain poorly understood. Additional research, including well-designed epidemiological studies and mechanistic investigations, is needed to elucidate the complex interactions between EMF exposure and immune system regulation.

Developmental Effects

Prenatal and early-life exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) has raised concerns regarding its potential impact on developmental outcomes, including birth defects, neurodevelopmental disorders, and growth abnormalities. Research in this area has aimed to elucidate the effects of EMF on developmental processes during critical periods of gestation and early childhood. A study by [56] investigated the association between maternal exposure to magnetic fields during pregnancy and the risk of congenital anomalies in offspring. The findings suggested a potential link between higher magnetic field exposure and an increased risk of certain birth defects, although further research is needed to confirm these observations. Furthermore, neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), have also been the focus of investigation regarding EMF exposure during critical periods of development. For example, a study by [57] explored the association between prenatal and early-life exposure to electromagnetic radiation from cell phones and the risk of developing ASD in children. While the results were inconclusive, they highlighted the importance of continued research in this area to better understand the potential neurodevelopmental effects of EMF exposure. Additionally, concerns have been raised regarding the potential impact of EMF on growth and physical development in children. Animal studies, such as those conducted by [58], have suggested that exposure to electromagnetic radiation during early development may affect growth parameters and lead to abnormalities in skeletal development. However, further research is needed to translate these findings to human populations and ascertain the relevance of such effects in real-world scenarios.

Laboratory Experiments

Laboratory experiments have been conducted to investigate the biological mechanisms through which EMFs may interact with living organisms. When applied antioxidants supplemented with EMF exposure, improved the hydrophilic, lipophilic, and enzymatic antioxidant blood capacity and partially compensated for these changes in a study done by [59], said Vitamin E (tocopherol) is one of the most important such antioxidants. Compounds of vitamin E, including alpha, beta, gamma, and delta tocopherols, are soluble in lipids. Vitamin E is stored in the liver and has many functions. Its main antioxidant function is to prevent lipid peroxidation [60]. Several studies have shown the beneficial effects of vitamin E observed by reducing alteration in antioxidant capacity against the harmful effects of EMF. [61], observed that exposure to 3-MT EMF led to oxidative stress by reducing SOD activity and reported that treatment with vitamin E prevents lipid peroxidation in the substantia nigra, [62], studied ultrastructural changes in the thymus after exposure to EMF and investigated the protective effects of vitamin E in preventing this change. Their results demonstrated that exposure to EMF caused damage to the immune system and that vitamin E consumption can prevent ultrastructural alteration in tissue [62]. These studies often involve exposing animals or cell cultures to EMFs and examining the resulting physiological or cellular changes. While

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122
some studies have reported effects on biological systems, the relevance of these findings to human health remains a topic of discussion and further investigation.

Basic Restrictions and Reference Levels

The 1999/519/EC European Council Recommendation [63], defines, in its Annex I, the basic restrictions and reference levels for limiting exposure of the general public. This had been added by the directive 2013/35/EU on occupational exposure to EMF. Following [63, 64] restrictions on exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields that are based directly on established health effects and biological considerations are termed 'basic restrictions'. Depending upon the frequency of the field, the physical quantities used to specify these restrictions are magnetic flux density, current density, specific energy absorption rate, and power density. Magnetic flux density and power density can be readily measured. In the latest guidelines issued by [64] for limiting exposure in the frequency range of 1 Hz - 100 kHz, the internal electric field strength (the electric field inside the tissues) has been introduced to replace the electric current density as a quantity to restrict the excitation of nerve and other electrically sensitive cells in [65]. Extensive research has been conducted to investigate the potential effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) on human health. Scientists have employed various methodologies, including epidemiological studies, laboratory experiments, and in vitro investigations, to explore this topic. Here is an overview of the research conducted on the effects of EMFs on human health.

Vitro Studies: Previous studies have shown that MEL exhibits a protective effect against EMF-induced oxidative stress [66]. [67], showed that MEL reduced neuronal damage in the hippocampus induced by 900-MHz EMF. [68], showed that exposure to 900-MHz EMF led to mild skin alterations [69]. Human blood lymphocytes Decreased background levels of p53 binding protein 1 foci and may indicate reduced accessibility of 53BP1 to antibodies because of stress-induced chromatin condensation. In vitro studies involve exposing isolated cells or tissues to EMFs in controlled laboratory settings. These studies aim to understand the direct effects of EMFs on cellular processes and functions. While some studies have reported cellular changes in response to EMF exposure, the relevance of these findings to real-world scenarios and their implications for human health are still under investigation [70].

Reviews and Expert Assessments

Various scientific organizations and expert panels have conducted comprehensive reviews of the existing research on EMFs and human health. These reviews often provide an analysis and evaluation of the available evidence and aim to derive conclusions and recommendations. Organizations such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), the World Health Organization (WHO), and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) have conducted such assessments and provided guidelines and recommendations based on the available evidence. Several laboratory studies have indicated mechanisms of action for RF radiation carcinogenesis such as DNA repair, oxidative stress, downregulation of mRNA, and DNA damage with single-strand breaks [71]. A report was released from The National Toxicology Program (NTP) under the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in the USA on the largest-ever animal study on cell phone RF radiation and cancer [72]. An increased incidence of glioma in the brain and malignant schwannoma in the heart was found in rats. Acoustic neuroma or vestibular schwannoma is a similar type of tumor as the one found in the heart, although benign. Thus, this animal study supported human epidemiological findings on RF radiation and brain tumor risk [73]. The IARC cancer classification includes all sources of RF radiation. The exposure from mobile phone base stations, Wi-Fi access points, smartphones, laptops, and tablets can be long-term, sometimes around the clock, both at home and school. For children, this risk may be accentuated because of a cumulative effect during a long lifetime use [74]. Despite the IARC evaluation little has happened to reduce exposure to RF fields in most countries. On the contrary, with new technology increasing environmental exposure levels are found in measurements of ambient RF radiation at e.g. Stockholm Central Station and Stockholm Old Town in Sweden [75]. The exposure guideline used by many agencies was established in 1998 by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and was based only on established short-term thermal (heating) effects from RF radiation neglecting non-thermal biological effects. The heating effects arise when radiation is so high that it warms up the whole body by 1°C or more after 30 min exposure at 4 W/kg specific absorption rate. The guidelines are set with a safety factor of 50 for the general public.

Future Research Directions and Gaps

The field of EMF research continues to evolve, and there are ongoing efforts to further investigate the potential health effects. Researchers are exploring new methodologies, including advanced exposure assessment techniques, long-term cohort studies, and experimental studies with improved control over exposure conditions. Additionally, there is a growing interest in investigating the effects of cumulative exposure to multiple sources and the potential interactions between EMFs and other environmental factors.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

It is important to note that the research on the effects of EMFs on human health is complex and ongoing. While some studies have reported potential associations between EMF exposure and adverse health effects, the overall scientific consensus is that the evidence is limited, inconsistent, and inconclusive. Further research is necessary to better understand the potential risks and establish definitive causal relationships. Regulatory agencies continue to monitor the research and update guidelines based on the evolving scientific understanding. My work will outline the various gaps in previous research and further look critically at the various radiation sources that humans come across daily by using hematological parameters from laboratory analysis.

EMF Exposure and Health Impacts

There is ongoing scientific debate about the health effects of EMF exposure from sources like power lines, wireless technologies, and electronic devices [76]. Some studies have suggested potential links between EMF exposure and an increased risk of certain health conditions, such as cancer, neurological disorders, and reproductive issues. However, the evidence remains inconclusive. The World Health Organization classifies EMFs as "possibly carcinogenic to humans," indicating that there is limited evidence of a cancer risk, but more research is needed [77].

Potential Biological Effects

EMFs may interfere with the normal function of cells and tissues in the body by inducing small electrical currents or altering the behavior of charged particles. This could potentially lead to oxidative stress, changes in gene expression, and disruptions to hormone and immune system regulation. However, the mechanisms by which EMFs may cause biological effects and translate to health outcomes are not yet fully understood [78].

Vulnerable Populations

Some studies suggest that certain groups, such as children, pregnant women, and those with pre-existing medical conditions, may be more susceptible to the potential health effects of EMF exposure. The developing brains and bodies of children may be more vulnerable to EMF-induced changes. The scientific community continues to debate the health risks of EMF exposure, as the existing research has produced mixed and inconclusive results [79]. More high-quality, long-term studies are needed to establish clear causal links between EMF exposure and specific health outcomes. In the meantime, some health organizations recommend precautionary measures to minimize EMF exposure, particularly for vulnerable populations. While there are ongoing concerns about the potential health effects of EMF exposure, the scientific evidence remains inconclusive. Continued research and monitoring are necessary to better understand the potential risks and develop appropriate public health guidelines [80].

CONCLUSION

while the effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) on human health remain a subject of ongoing debate and research, the current evidence remains inconclusive. EMFs from both natural and man-made sources are prevalent in modern society, and although certain forms of EMF exposure, particularly from high-frequency radiation, are known to pose health risks, the effects of non-ionizing EMFs at low levels are still not fully understood. Research has explored a wide range of potential health concerns, including cancer, neurological disorders, reproductive health, and immune function, with mixed results. Despite some studies suggesting possible associations between EMF exposure and adverse health outcomes, the overall scientific consensus is that the evidence is insufficient to establish clear causal links. Further rigorous research, taking into account the complexity of exposure levels, individual susceptibility, and long-term effects, is essential to comprehensively understand the health implications of EMFs and guide future policy and safety regulations.

REFERENCES

1. Singh AP, Kaur R. Electromagneticfields: Biological implications on various life forms. Int. J. Bioassays. 2014;3:2030-40.
2. Valberg PA. Electromagnetic Waves (EMF and RF) and Health Effects. Hamilton & Hardy's Industrial Toxicology. 2015 Mar 25:1069-86.
3. Kostoff RN, Lau CG. Modified health effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation combined with other agents reported in the biomedical literature. Microwave effects on DNA and proteins. 2017:97-157.
4. Singh S, Kapoor N. Health implications of electromagnetic fields, mechanisms of action, and research needs. Advances in biology. 2014;2014(1):198609.
5. Ebrahim S, Azab AE, Albasha MO, Albishti N. The biological effects of electromagnetic fields on human and experimental animals. Inter Res J Natur Appl Sci. 2016 Oct;3(10):106-21.
6. Ahlbom IC, Cardis E, Green A, Linet M, Savitz D, Swerdlow A, ICNIRP (International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection) Standing Committee on Epidemiology. Review of the epidemiologic literature on EMF and Health. Environmental health perspectives. 2001 Dec;109(suppl 6):911-33.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

7. ICNIRP (International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection) Standing Committee on Epidemiology:, Ahlbom A, Green A, Kheifets L, Savitz D, Swerdlow A. Epidemiology of health effects of radiofrequency exposure. Environmental health perspectives. 2004 Dec;112(17):1741-54.
8. Tokpınar A, Altuntaş E, Değermenci M, Yılmaz H, Bas O. The impact of electromagnetic fields on human health: A review. Middle Black Sea Journal of Health Science. 2024;10(2):229-38.
9. Diab KA. The impact of the low frequency of the electromagnetic field on human. Cell Biology and Translational Medicine, Volume 7: Stem Cells and Therapy: Emerging Approaches. 2020:135-49.
10. Vijayalaxmi, Obe G. Controversial cytogenetic observations in mammalian somatic cells exposed to extremely low frequency electromagnetic radiation: a review and future research recommendations. Bioelectromagnetics: Journal of the Bioelectromagnetics Society, The Society for Physical Regulation in Biology and Medicine, The European Bioelectromagnetics Association. 2005 Jul;26(5):412-30.
11. Otto M, von Mühlendahl KE. Electromagnetic fields (EMF): do they play a role in children's environmental health (CEH)?. International journal of hygiene and environmental health. 2007 Oct 31;210(5):635-44.
12. Linder SH. Contending discourses in the electric and magnetic fields controversy: The social construction of EMF risk as a public problem. Policy Sciences. 1995 May;28(2):209-30.
13. Urbinello D, Joseph W, Huss A, Verloock L, Beekhuizen J, Vermeulen R, Martens L, Röösli M. Radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure levels in different European outdoor urban environments in comparison with regulatory limits. Environment international. 2014 Jul 1;68:49-54.
14. Samaila B, Abubakar N, Yahaya NM. Scientific Review of Comparative Studies on Health Hazards of Non-Ionizing Radiation Emanating from Electric Power Lines and GSM Telecommunication Masts. Test Engineering and Management. 2020 Jan;82:12759-68.
15. Kostoff RN, Lau CG. Modified health effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation combined with other agents reported in the biomedical literature. Microwave effects on DNA and proteins. 2017:97-157.
16. Mohler E, Frei P, Braun-Fahrländer C, Fröhlich J, Neubauer G, Röösli M, Qualifex Team. Effects of everyday radiofrequency electromagnetic-field exposure on sleep quality: a cross-sectional study. Radiation Research. 2010 Sep 1;174(3):347-56.
17. Hillman D. Exposure to Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Linked to Neuro-Endocrine Stress Syndrome: Increased Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, & Cancer. Shocking News. 2005 Nov;8:1-8.
18. Bates MN. Extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields and cancer: the epidemiologic evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1991 Nov;95:147-56.
19. Brain JD, Kavet R, McCormick DL, Poole C, Silverman LB, Smith TJ, Valberg PA, Van Etten RA, Weaver JC. Childhood leukemia: electric and magnetic fields as possible risk factors. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2003 Jun;111(7):962-70.
20. Riancho J, Sanchez de la Torre JR, Paz-Fajardo L, Limia C, Santurtun A, Cifra M, Kourtidis K, Fdez-Arroyabe P. The role of magnetic fields in neurodegenerative diseases. International Journal of Biometeorology. 2021 Jan;65:107-17.
21. Pritchard C, Silk A, Hansen L. Are rises in Electro-Magnetic Field in the human environment, interacting with multiple environmental pollutions, the tipping point for increases in neurological deaths in the Western World?. Medical hypotheses. 2019 Jun 1;127:76-83.
22. Gye MC, Park CJ. Effect of electromagnetic field exposure on the reproductive system. Clinical and experimental reproductive medicine. 2012 Mar;39(1):1.
23. Darbandi M, Darbandi S, Agarwal A, Henkle R, Sadeghi MR. The effects of exposure to low frequency electromagnetic fields on male fertility. Altern Ther Health Med. 2017 Dec 1;23.
24. Santini SJ, Cordone V, Falone S, Mijit M, Tatone C, Amicarelli F, Di Emidio G. Role of mitochondria in the oxidative stress induced by electromagnetic fields: focus on reproductive systems. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity. 2018;2018(1):5076271.
25. Jauchem JR. Exposure to extremely-low-frequency electromagnetic fields and radiofrequency radiation: cardiovascular effects in humans. International archives of occupational and environmental health. 1997 Nov;70:9-21.
26. Hillman D. Exposure to Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Linked to Neuro-Endocrine Stress Syndrome: Increased Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes, & Cancer. Shocking News. 2005 Nov;8:1-8.
27. Genuis SJ, Lipp CT. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: fact or fiction?. Science of the Total Environment. 2012 Jan 1;414:103-12.
28. Dieudonné M. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: a critical review of explanatory hypotheses. Environmental Health. 2020 Dec;19:1-2.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

29. Yehia Zakaria T. The Relationship between Non-ionising Radiation Emitted by Mobile Phones, Wi-Fi Routers and Mobile Base Stations, and Fibromyalgia. The Relationship between Non-ionising Radiation Emitted by Mobile Phones, Wi-Fi Routers and Mobile Base Stations, and Fibromyalgia (July 31, 2019). 2019 Jul 31.
30. LaGreca B. Cancer and EMF Radiation: How to Protect Yourself from the Silent Carcinogen of Electropollution. Empowered Patient Press; 2019 Feb 21.
31. Benke G, Abramson MJ, Brzozek C, McDonald S, Kelsall H, Sanagou M, Zeleke BM, Kaufman J, Brennan S, Verbeek J, Karipidis K. The effects of radiofrequency exposure on cognition: A systematic review and meta-analysis of human observational studies. Environment International. 2024 May 28:108779.
32. Deniz OG, Kaplan S, Selçuk MB, Terzi M, Altun G, Yurt KK, Aslan K, Davis D. Effects of short and long term electromagnetic fields exposure on the human hippocampus. Journal of microscopy and ultrastructure. 2017 Dec 1;5(4):191-7.
33. Silvestri L, Bassani F, Czajkowski G, Davoudi B. Electromagnetically induced transparency in asymmetric double quantum wells. The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems. 2002 May;27:89-102.
34. Fejes I, Závaczki Z, Szöllősi J, Koloszár S, Daru J, Kovacs L, Pal A. Is there a relationship between cell phone use and semen quality?. Archives of andrology. 2005 Jan 1;51(5):385-93.
35. Agarwal, A., Deepinder, F., Sharma, R. K., Ranga, G., & Li, J. (2008). Effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending infertility clinic: An observational study. *Fertility and Sterility*, *89*(1), 124-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.01.166
36. Agarwal, A., Singh, A., Hamada, A., & Kesari, K. (2009). Cell phones and male infertility: A review of recent innovations in technology and consequences. *International Braz J Urol*, *35*(5), 520-530. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1677-55382009000500002
37. Mailankot M, Kunnath AP, Jayalekshmi H, Koduru B, Valsalan R. Radio frequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR) from GSM (0.9/1.8 GHz) mobile phones induces oxidative stress and reduces sperm motility in rats. Clinics. 2009;64:561-5.
38. Aitken, R. J., Bennetts, L. E., Sawyer, D., Wiklendt, A. M., & King, B. V. (2005). Impact of radio frequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA integrity in the male germline. *International Journal of Andrology*, *28*(3), 171-179. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2005.00531.x
39. Meral, I., Mert, H., Mert, N., Deger, Y., Yoruk, I., Yetkin, A., & Keskin, S. (2007). Effects of 900MHz electromagnetic field emitted from cellular phone on brain oxidative stress and some vitamin levels of guinea pigs. *Brain Research*, *1169*, 120-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.07.015
40. Irmak S, Irmak A, Jones JW, Howell TA, Jacobs JM, Allen RG, Hoogenboom G. Predicting daily net radiation using minimum climatological data. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering. 2003 Aug;129(4):256-69.
41. Friedman J, Hastie T, Höfling H, Tibshirani R. Pathwise coordinate optimization. The annals of applied statistics. 2007 Dec;1(2):302-32.
42. Wiholm, C., Lowden, A., Kuster, N., Hillert, L., Arnetz, B. B., Akerstedt, T., & Moffat, S. D. (2007). Mobile phone exposure and spatial memory. *Bioelectromagnetics*, *28*(1), 59-65. https://doi.org/10.1002/bem.20271
43. Stefanics, G., Kellenyi, L., & Molnár, F. (2007). Short GSM mobile phone exposure does not alter human auditory brainstem response. *BMC Public Health*, *7*, Article 325. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-7-325
44. Graham, C., & Cook, M. R. (1999). Human sleep in 60 Hz magnetic fields. *Bioelectromagnetics*,*20*(5),277283.https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521186X(1999)20:5<277::AIDBEM2>3.0.CO;2-6
45. Altpeter, E. S., Krebs, T., Pfluger, D. H., von Känel, J., Blattmann, R., Emmenegger, D., ... & Minder, C. E. (2006). Effect of short-wave magnetic fields on sleep quality and melatonin production. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *114*(3), 127-131. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8467
46. Mann, K., & Roschke, J. (2004). Effects of pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic fields on human sleep. *Neuropsychobiology*, *49*(3), 152-158. https://doi.org/10.1159/000077946
47. Hardell L, van Bavel B, Lindström G, Eriksson M, Carlberg M. In utero exposure to persistent organic pollutants in relation to testicular cancer risk. International journal of andrology. 2006 Feb;29(1):228-34.
48. Kheifets, L., Monroe, J., Vergara, X., Mezei, G., & Afifi, A. A. (2010). Meta-analysis of magnetic fields and childhood leukemia. *Epidemiology*, *21*(6), 747-754.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181f20fda
49. Blackman, C. F., Benane, S. G., Rabinowitz, J. R., House, D. E., & Joines, W. T. (1988). Effects of ELF fields on calcium-ion efflux from brain tissue in vitro. *Radiation Research*, *92*(3), 510-520. https://doi.org/10.2307/3577331
50. Otitoloju, A. A., Obe, I. A., Adewale, O. A., Otubanjo, O. A., & Osunkalu, V. O. (2010). Preliminary study on the induction of sperm head abnormalities in mice, Mus musculus, exposed to radiofrequency radiations from global system for mobile communication base stations. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *84*(1), 51-54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-009-9894-2
51. Lai, H., & Singh, N. P. (1996). Acute low-intensity microwave exposure increases DNA singlestrand breaks in rat brain cells. *Bioelectromagnetics*, *16*(3), 207-210. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-186X(1996)17:3<207::AID-BEM4>3.0.CO;2-6
52. Verschaeve L, Maes A. Genetic, carcinogenic and teratogenic effects of radiofrequency fields. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research. 1998 Apr 1;410(2):141-65.
53. Novoselova, E. G., Fesenko, E. E., Makar, V. R., & Sadovnikov, V. B. (1999). Microwaves and cellular immunity. I. Effect of whole body microwave irradiation on tumor necrosis factor production in mouse cells. *Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics*, *49*(1), 29-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0302-4598(99)00052-7
54. Repacholi, M. H., Basten, A., Gebski, V., Noonan, D., Finnie, J., & Harris, A. W. (1997). Lymphomas in E mu-Pim1 transgenic mice exposed to pulsed 900 MHz electromagnetic fields. *Radiation Research*, *147*(5), 631-640. https://doi.org/10.2307/3579639
55. Johansson LE, Greve A, Booth RS, Boulanger F, Garay G, De Graauw T, Israel FP, Kutner ML, Lequeux J, Murphy DC, Nyman LA. Results of the SEST key programme: CO in the Magellanic Clouds. VII. 30 Doradus and its southern H II regions. Astronomy and Astrophysics, v. 331, p. 857-872 (1998). 1998 Mar;331:857-72.
56. Li H, Kang Z, Liu Y, Lee ST. Carbon nanodots: synthesis, properties and applications. Journal of materials chemistry. 2012;22(46):24230-53.
57. Divan HA, Kheifets L, Obel C, Olsen J. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children. Epidemiology. 2008 Nov 1;19(6):S94-5.
58. Lee WJ, Lucey JA. Structure and physical properties of yogurt gels: Effect of inoculation rate and incubation temperature. Journal of dairy science. 2004 Oct 1;87(10):3153-64.
59. Ulubay M, Yahyazadeh A, Deniz ÖG, Kıvrak EG, Altunkaynak BZ, Erdem G, Kaplan S. Effects of prenatal 900 MHz electromagnetic field exposures on the histology of rat kidney. International journal of radiation biology. 2015 Jan 2;91(1):35-41.
60. Ralston NV, Ralston CR, Blackwell III JL, Raymond LJ. Dietary and tissue selenium in relation to methylmercury toxicity. Neurotoxicology. 2008 Sep 1;29(5):802-11.
61. Ghanbari, A. A., Shabani, K., & Mohammadnejad, D. (2016). Protective effects of vitamin E consumption against 3MT electromagnetic field effects on oxidative parameters in substantia nigra in rats. *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience*, *7*(4), 315-322.
62. Mohammadnejad, D., Rad, J. S., Azami, A., & Lotfi, A. (2011). Protective effects of vitamin E consumption against EMF-induced tissue damage in rat thymus. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, *721*(1), 50-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2010.11.003
63. Directive EU. Council Directive 99/74/EC of 19 July 1999 laying down minimum standards for the protection of laying hens. Official journal of the European Communities. 1999;203:53-7.
64. International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. ICNIRP statement on the "Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)". Health physics. 2009 Sep 1;97(3):257-8.
65. De Moffarts B, Kirschvink N, Art T, Pincemail J, Lekeux P. Effect of oral antioxidant supplementation on blood antioxidant status in trained thoroughbred horses. The Veterinary Journal. 2005 Jan 1;169(1):65-74.
66. Reiter, R. J., Herman, T. S., & Meltz, M. L. (1996). Melatonin reduces gamma radiation-induced primary DNA damage in human blood lymphocytes. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, *351*(2), 221-229. https://doi.org/10.1016/00275107(95)00218-6
67. Erdem Koç G, Kaplan S, Altun G, Gümüş H, Gülsüm Deniz Ö, Aydin I, Emin Onger M, Altunkaynak Z. Neuroprotective effects of melatonin and omega-3 on hippocampal cells prenatally exposed to 900 MHz electromagnetic fields. International journal of radiation biology. 2016 Oct 2;92(10):590-5.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

68. Ozguner F, Aydin G, Mollaoglu H, Gokalp O, Koyu A, Cesur G. Prevention of mobile phone induced skin tissue changes by melatonin in rat: an experimental study. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2004;20:133–9
69. Belyaev IY, Hillert L, Protopopova M, Tamm C, Malmgren LO, Persson BR, et al. 915 MHz microwaves and 50 Hz magnetic field affect chromatin conformation and 53BP1 foci in human lymphocytes from hypersensitive and healthy persons. *Bioelectromagnetics*. 2005;26:173–84.
70. Wall S, Wang ZM, Kendig T, Dobraca D, Lipsett M. Real-world cell phone radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposures. Environmental research. 2019 Apr 1;171:581-92.
71. Markovà, E., Malmgren, L. O., & Belyaev, I. Y. Microwaves from mobile phones inhibit 53BP1 focus formation in human stem cells more strongly than in differentiated cells: Possible mechanistic link to cancer risk. *Environmental Health Perspectives*,(2010) *118*(3), 394399. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900781
72. Wyde, M., Cesta, M., Blystone, C., Elmore, S., Foster, P., Hooth, M., Kissling, G., Malarkey, D., Sills, R., Stout, M., et al. (2016). Report of partial findings from the National Toxicology Program carcinogenesis studies of cell phone radiofrequency radiation in Hsd: Sprague Dawley® SD rats (Whole Body Exposure). *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/055699
73. Carlberg, M., & Hardell, L. (2017). Evaluation of mobile phone and cordless phone use and glioma risk using the Bradford Hill viewpoints from 1965 on association or causation. *BioMed Research International*, *2017*, Article 9218486. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9218486
74. Hedendahl LK, Carlberg M, Koppel T, Hardell L. Measurements of radiofrequency radiation with a body-borne exposimeter in Swedish schools with Wi-Fi. Frontiers in public health. 2017 Nov 20;5:279.
75. Hardell, L., Koppel, T., Carlberg, M., Ahonen, M., & Hedendahl, L. (2016). Radiofrequency radiation at Stockholm Central Railway Station in Sweden and some medical aspects on public exposure to RF fields. *International Journal of Oncology*, *49*, 1315–1324. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2016.3657
76. Otto M, von Mühlendahl KE. Electromagnetic fields (EMF): do they play a role in children's environmental health (CEH)?. International journal of hygiene and environmental health. 2007 Oct 31;210(5):635-44.
77. Carpenter DO. Human disease resulting from exposure to electromagnetic fields. Reviews on environmental health. 2013 Dec 1;28(4):159-72.
78. Funk RH, Monsees T, Özkucur N. Electromagnetic effects–From cell biology to medicine. Progress in histochemistry and cytochemistry. 2009 Feb 1;43(4):177-264.
79. Belpomme D, Irigaray P. Electro-hypersensitivity as a Worldwide, Man-made Electromagnetic Pathology:: A Review of the Medical Evidence. Electromagnetic Fields of Wireless Communications: Biological and Health Effects. 2022 Dec 30:297-368.
80. Hoffmann W, Terschüren C, Udke-Dost K, Greiser E. Measurements of extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields (50hz) in private homes in Germany-Results of a representative sample of N= 1935. Epidemiology. 2004 Jul 1;15(4):S115.

CITE AS: Awafung Emmanuel Adie, Faithpraise Fina O and Awafung Veronica Iye (2025).The Measurements and Impacts of Electromagnetic Field on the Psychological and Physiological Well-Being of Humans. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES, 6(1):21-31. https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2025/6.1.213100

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited