



<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2024/5.3.4652>

The Impact of Socioeconomic Factors on HIV Prevalence and Treatment Accessibility in Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

HIV/AIDS remained a major public health challenge in Nigeria, with approximately 1.9 million people living with HIV (PLHIV), making it one of the most affected countries globally. This comprehensive review explored the impact of socioeconomic factors on HIV prevalence and treatment accessibility in Nigeria, focusing on key determinants such as poverty, education, gender inequality, and geographic disparities. Poverty exacerbated the risk of HIV infection by limiting access to prevention resources and creating barriers to treatment, while educational disparities affect awareness and prevention behaviors. Gender inequality, particularly in patriarchal societies, increases women's vulnerability to HIV due to limited control over sexual health decisions and economic dependence. Geographic disparities, especially between urban and rural areas, contributed to uneven HIV prevalence and treatment access, with rural regions often facing significant healthcare infrastructure challenges. Additionally, the strength of health system infrastructure and funding played a crucial role in shaping the effectiveness of HIV services, with underfunding and reliance on external donors posing significant risks to sustainability. The methodology used in this article involved a review and synthesis of existing literature and data on HIV/AIDS in Nigeria, emphasizing the socioeconomic determinants of the epidemic. Addressing these factors required targeted interventions, such as strengthening social protection programs, enhancing education, promoting gender equality, improving healthcare infrastructure, and ensuring sustainable funding. These efforts were essential for reducing HIV prevalence and improving treatment outcomes in Nigeria.

Keywords: HIV/AIDS, Socioeconomic determinants, Nigeria, Healthcare infrastructure, Gender inequality.

INTRODUCTION

HIV/AIDS remains one of the most significant public health challenges in Nigeria, a country that bears a substantial portion of the global HIV burden. With approximately 1.9 million people living with HIV (PLHIV), Nigeria ranks among the top nations with the highest number of HIV infections[1, 2]. Despite ongoing efforts to combat the epidemic through prevention, testing, and treatment initiatives, the prevalence of HIV and access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) remain unevenly distributed across different regions and populations in the country[3]. This disparity is largely driven by a complex web of socioeconomic factors that influence individuals' vulnerability to HIV infection and their ability to access timely and effective treatment. Socioeconomic factors such as poverty, education, gender inequality, and geographic disparities play a crucial role in shaping the dynamics of HIV transmission and treatment in Nigeria. Poverty, for instance, not only exacerbates the risk of HIV infection by limiting access to prevention resources but also poses significant barriers to seeking and sustaining treatment. Similarly, educational disparities impact awareness and understanding of HIV[4, 5], leading to variations in prevention behaviors and health-seeking practices. Gender inequality further compounds these challenges, particularly for women and girls, who often face increased vulnerability to HIV due to social and economic disadvantages. In addition to these individual-level factors, broader structural issues such as health system capacity, funding constraints, and geographic disparities in healthcare infrastructure further complicate efforts to address the HIV epidemic in Nigeria[6]. Rural areas, in particular, face significant challenges in accessing HIV services, which contributes to higher rates of undiagnosed infections and delayed treatment initiation. Understanding the impact of these socioeconomic determinants is critical for developing targeted and effective strategies to reduce HIV prevalence and improve treatment accessibility in Nigeria[2, 7]. This comprehensive review aims to examine the various socioeconomic factors that influence HIV outcomes in the country, exploring

their implications for public health policy and intervention efforts. By highlighting the interplay between these factors and the HIV epidemic, this review seeks to inform more equitable and sustainable approaches to HIV prevention and treatment in Nigeria.

POVERTY AND HIV PREVALENCE

Poverty is a significant driver of HIV prevalence, particularly in low- and middle-income countries like Nigeria. The relationship between poverty and HIV is multifaceted, with economic hardship exacerbating the risk of HIV infection and hindering access to treatment and prevention services. In impoverished communities, limited access to education and health information contributes to a lack of awareness about HIV transmission and prevention methods. This lack of knowledge increases the likelihood of risky behaviors, such as unprotected sex and multiple sexual partnerships, which are key factors in the spread of HIV. Furthermore, poverty often forces individuals into vulnerable situations, including sex work or transactional sex, as a means of survival.[8] These circumstances heighten the risk of HIV exposure, especially when combined with limited access to condoms and other preventive measures. Economic deprivation also impacts access to healthcare, including HIV testing, antiretroviral therapy (ART), and other essential services. In many cases, the costs associated with transportation, medical fees, and medications are prohibitive for those living in poverty, leading to delayed diagnosis and treatment. This not only worsens health outcomes for individuals but also increases the likelihood of onward transmission of the virus, as untreated HIV-positive individuals are more likely to transmit the virus to others[9]. Moreover, poverty exacerbates the stigma and discrimination associated with HIV, as those who are infected may be ostracized and further marginalized, making it even more difficult to seek and adhere to treatment. The intersection of poverty and HIV creates a vicious cycle, where the disease perpetuates economic hardship, and poverty fuels the epidemic [10]. Addressing poverty is therefore critical in the fight against HIV. Strategies that improve economic opportunities, increase access to education, and enhance healthcare infrastructure are essential for reducing HIV prevalence and ensuring that all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, can access the care they need [11].

EDUCATION AND HIV AWARENESS

Education plays a pivotal role in combating the HIV epidemic, serving as a critical tool for increasing awareness, reducing transmission, and promoting healthier behaviors. In countries like Nigeria, where the HIV burden remains significant, improving education about HIV is essential to reversing the epidemic's spread [12]. Firstly, education about HIV and AIDS provides individuals with the knowledge needed to protect themselves and others from the virus. Comprehensive sex education, which includes information on safe sexual practices, the use of condoms, and the importance of regular HIV testing, is crucial in reducing risky behaviors that lead to HIV transmission. Informed individuals are more likely to make safer choices, such as using protection during sex or getting tested regularly, thereby reducing the overall incidence of HIV. Moreover, education fosters a better understanding of how HIV is transmitted, which can help dispel myths and misconceptions that contribute to stigma and discrimination [13]. In many communities, particularly those with low literacy levels, myths about HIV—such as the belief that it can be transmitted through casual contact—persist and lead to the marginalization of those living with the virus. By providing accurate information, education can challenge these harmful beliefs, reduce stigma, and create a more supportive environment for people living with HIV. In addition, education extends beyond schools to include public health campaigns, community outreach, and media initiatives that target various segments of the population [14]. These efforts are especially important in reaching those who may not have access to formal education, such as marginalized groups and those in remote areas. Tailored educational programs that address the specific needs and cultural contexts of different communities are vital in ensuring that HIV awareness reaches everyone, regardless of their background. Furthermore, education empowers individuals to advocate for their rights and access to healthcare services. When people are informed about HIV prevention and treatment options, they are better equipped to seek out testing, adhere to treatment, and demand the services and support they need [13]. This empowerment is particularly important in areas where healthcare access is limited, as educated individuals can play a key role in driving demand for better services and holding health systems accountable [15]. Lastly, education is a powerful tool in promoting the uptake of antiretroviral therapy (ART) among those living with HIV. Understanding the benefits of ART and the importance of adherence can improve treatment outcomes, reduce viral load, and lower the risk of transmitting the virus to others. Educational initiatives that focus on the long-term benefits of ART and address common concerns or misconceptions about treatment can significantly enhance the effectiveness of HIV care programs [16, 17].

GENDER INEQUALITY AND HIV VULNERABILITY

Gender inequality significantly contributes to HIV vulnerability, particularly among women and girls, in many regions, including Nigeria. The intersection of gender dynamics and HIV risk is complex, with social, economic, and cultural factors exacerbating the challenges faced by women in protecting themselves against the virus [18]. Firstly, gender-based power imbalances often limit women's ability to negotiate safe sexual practices, such as condom use. In many relationships, especially in patriarchal societies, women may lack the authority to insist on

protection, increasing their risk of HIV infection. This power disparity is further compounded by societal expectations that prioritize male dominance in sexual decision-making, leaving women with little control over their sexual health [19]. Economic dependence on male partners also plays a critical role in heightening women's HIV vulnerability. Women who rely on their partners for financial support may feel pressured to engage in unprotected sex to maintain the relationship, even when they are aware of the risks. Additionally, poverty can drive women into transactional sex or early marriages, where their bargaining power is minimal, further increasing their exposure to HIV. Cultural practices and norms can also exacerbate HIV risk for women. In some communities, practices like early marriage, polygamy, and widow inheritance contribute to the spread of HIV. Women in these situations often have limited access to education and healthcare, reducing their ability to protect themselves or seek treatment if they contract the virus. Moreover, gender inequality impacts access to healthcare services [20]. Women may face barriers to accessing HIV testing and treatment due to stigma, discrimination, or a lack of autonomy. Even when services are available, societal norms may discourage women from seeking care, fearing judgment or repercussions from their families or communities.

GEOGRAPHIC DISPARITIES IN HIV PREVALENCE AND TREATMENT ACCESSIBILITY

Geographic disparities in HIV prevalence and treatment accessibility are critical issues that hinder effective control of the epidemic, particularly in countries like Nigeria. These disparities manifest in varying rates of infection, differences in access to healthcare services, and the availability of resources across different regions, particularly between urban and rural areas. In many countries, including Nigeria, HIV prevalence tends to be higher in certain geographic areas, often reflecting underlying socioeconomic and infrastructural inequalities [21]. Urban areas, for instance, may exhibit higher HIV prevalence due to factors such as greater population density, increased mobility, and higher rates of transactional sex. Cities also tend to have more concentrated populations of key affected groups, such as men who have sex with men, sex workers, and people who inject drugs, who are at higher risk of contracting HIV [22]. Conversely, rural areas, while sometimes showing lower overall prevalence, often face significant challenges in accessing HIV treatment and prevention services. Healthcare infrastructure in rural regions is frequently underdeveloped, with fewer healthcare facilities, limited availability of antiretroviral therapy (ART), and a scarcity of trained healthcare workers. This lack of infrastructure means that individuals living with HIV in these areas may have to travel long distances to receive care, which can be prohibitively expensive and logistically challenging, particularly in areas with poor transportation networks. Moreover, the stigma associated with HIV is often more pronounced in rural areas, where close-knit communities and cultural conservatism can discourage individuals from seeking testing and treatment [23]. This stigma, coupled with a lack of privacy in accessing healthcare in small communities, further contributes to lower treatment uptake and adherence, leading to worse health outcomes. Geographic disparities also extend to the distribution of resources and funding for HIV prevention and treatment. Urban areas, particularly in economically developed regions, are more likely to receive international aid, government funding, and investment in healthcare infrastructure, leading to better availability of services. In contrast, rural and remote areas, particularly in the northern and northeastern regions of Nigeria, may be neglected, resulting in significant gaps in service provision and exacerbating the HIV epidemic in these regions. In addition to rural-urban disparities, there are also regional differences within countries, influenced by factors such as local government policies, the presence of conflict, and socioeconomic development. In Nigeria, for example, the northern regions, which have faced prolonged conflict and instability, exhibit higher rates of HIV prevalence and face more significant challenges in treatment accessibility compared to the southern regions, which are more economically developed and have better healthcare infrastructure [24, 25]. Addressing these geographic disparities requires targeted interventions that consider the unique challenges of each region. This includes improving healthcare infrastructure in rural and underserved areas, increasing funding for HIV services in regions with high prevalence, and implementing community-based programs to reduce stigma and improve treatment adherence. By focusing on reducing geographic disparities, it is possible to enhance the effectiveness of HIV prevention and treatment efforts and move closer to achieving the goal of ending the HIV epidemic.

THE ROLE OF HEALTH SYSTEM INFRASTRUCTURE AND FUNDING

Health system infrastructure and funding are pivotal components in the fight against HIV/AIDS, particularly in a country like Nigeria, where the epidemic remains a significant public health concern. The strength and adequacy of the health system infrastructure, combined with the availability and effective allocation of financial resources, directly influence the accessibility, quality, and sustainability of HIV prevention, care, and treatment services. In this discussion, we explore how these two factors—health system infrastructure and funding—impact HIV outcomes in Nigeria, highlighting key challenges and opportunities for improvement [26].

Health System Infrastructure: Challenges and Implications

The infrastructure of Nigeria's health system plays a critical role in determining the reach and effectiveness of HIV services. This includes the physical presence of health facilities, the availability of medical equipment, the capacity of laboratories, and the distribution of healthcare personnel across the country [27]. However, the health system infrastructure in Nigeria is characterized by significant disparities between urban and rural areas, as well as between different regions. In urban centers, healthcare facilities are generally better equipped and staffed, offering a range of services that include HIV testing, counseling, and treatment. In contrast, rural areas often suffer from a lack of healthcare facilities, with many people having to travel long distances to access even the most basic services [28]. This geographic disparity leads to delays in diagnosis, lower rates of treatment initiation, and poorer health outcomes for people living with HIV in rural communities. The shortage of healthcare workers in these areas further exacerbates the problem, as the few available staff are often overburdened, leading to reduced quality of care and increased patient dissatisfaction. Moreover, the availability of ART and other essential HIV-related services is often inconsistent, particularly in under-resourced areas. Many health facilities lack the necessary infrastructure to support comprehensive HIV care, such as laboratories capable of conducting routine viral load monitoring, which is crucial for managing treatment efficacy. The inadequate infrastructure not only hampers the delivery of quality care but also limits the health system's ability to respond to the dynamic needs of the HIV epidemic, including the integration of new treatment protocols and technologies.

Funding: The Lifeline of HIV Services

Adequate funding is essential for the sustainability of HIV services and the overall effectiveness of the health system. In Nigeria, the funding for HIV/AIDS programs comes from a mix of domestic resources, international donors, and non-governmental organizations [29]. However, the country faces significant challenges in securing sufficient and consistent funding, which undermines the scale and impact of its HIV response. One of the major issues is the heavy reliance on external funding, particularly from international donors such as the United States President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and the Global Fund. While these sources have provided substantial support for Nigeria's HIV programs, fluctuations in donor funding and the threat of funding cuts pose significant risks to the continuity of services. This dependency also raises concerns about the long-term sustainability of HIV programs, particularly as donors increasingly push for greater domestic investment in health. Domestic funding for HIV/AIDS in Nigeria has been insufficient to meet the growing needs of the population. The allocation of resources within the health budget often prioritizes other pressing health issues, leaving HIV programs underfunded [30]. This lack of adequate domestic investment affects various aspects of the HIV response, from the procurement of ART to the training and retention of healthcare workers. It also limits the government's ability to expand and strengthen health system infrastructure, further entrenching the inequalities that contribute to poor HIV outcomes in certain regions. Additionally, the inefficiencies in the allocation and utilization of available funds exacerbate these challenges. Bureaucratic delays, corruption, and poor financial management have led to instances where funds do not reach the intended programs or are not used effectively. These inefficiencies hinder the implementation of critical interventions, reduce the impact of available resources, and erode public trust in the health system.

Opportunities for Improvement

To address the challenges posed by inadequate health system infrastructure and funding, a multifaceted approach is required. Strengthening health infrastructure, particularly in underserved areas, should be a priority. This includes building and equipping more health facilities, improving the distribution of healthcare workers, and enhancing the capacity of laboratories to provide comprehensive HIV care. Investment in digital health infrastructure, such as telemedicine and mobile health platforms, could also help bridge the gap in service delivery, particularly in remote areas. On the funding side, increasing domestic investment in HIV/AIDS programs is crucial for sustainability. The Nigerian government needs to allocate more resources to health, ensuring that HIV programs receive adequate and consistent funding. This can be achieved through innovative financing mechanisms, such as public-private partnerships, health taxes, and leveraging funds from the broader health sector. Improving financial management and accountability within the health system will also ensure that available funds are used efficiently and effectively. Moreover, fostering greater collaboration between the government, international donors, and other stakeholders is essential for optimizing resource use and aligning efforts to combat HIV. A coordinated approach will help reduce duplication of efforts, streamline funding processes, and ensure that resources are directed toward the most impactful interventions.

ADDRESSING THE SOCIOECONOMIC DETERMINANTS OF HIV IN NIGERIA

To effectively address the socioeconomic determinants of HIV in Nigeria, a multifaceted approach is required that combines targeted interventions with broader efforts to improve social and economic conditions. Key recommendations include:

Strengthening Social Protection Programs: Expanding social protection programs, such as cash transfers and subsidies for healthcare costs, can help alleviate the financial barriers that prevent individuals from accessing HIV services.

Enhancing Education and Awareness: Implementing comprehensive sex education in schools and communities is essential for improving HIV knowledge and reducing stigma. Public health campaigns should also target key populations and underserved areas to raise awareness about HIV prevention and treatment.

Promoting Gender Equality: Addressing gender inequality through initiatives that empower women and girls, such as improving access to education, economic opportunities, and legal protections against GBV, is crucial for reducing their vulnerability to HIV.

Improving Healthcare Infrastructure: Investing in the development of healthcare infrastructure, particularly in rural and underserved areas, is necessary to ensure that all individuals have access to HIV testing, treatment, and care. Strengthening supply chains and ensuring the availability of essential medicines and diagnostic tools are also critical.

Ensuring Sustainable Funding: To sustain and expand HIV programs, the Nigerian government must increase domestic funding for HIV/AIDS and reduce reliance on international donors. Developing innovative financing mechanisms, such as public-private partnerships, can also help bridge funding gaps.

CONCLUSION

Addressing the HIV/AIDS epidemic in Nigeria requires a comprehensive and multifaceted approach that takes into account the complex interplay of socioeconomic factors influencing both the prevalence of the virus and access to treatment. The burden of HIV in Nigeria is disproportionately borne by vulnerable populations, particularly those affected by poverty, limited education, gender inequality, and geographic disparities. These socioeconomic determinants not only increase individuals' susceptibility to HIV infection but also hinder their ability to access timely and effective treatment. Efforts to combat the HIV epidemic in Nigeria must therefore go beyond biomedical interventions to include strategies that address the underlying social and economic drivers of the disease. This includes improving economic conditions through poverty alleviation programs, expanding access to education and awareness campaigns, promoting gender equality, and strengthening healthcare infrastructure, particularly in underserved areas. Additionally, ensuring sustainable and sufficient funding, both from domestic sources and international partners, is crucial for maintaining and expanding HIV services. By tackling these socioeconomic determinants head-on, Nigeria can make significant strides toward reducing HIV prevalence, improving treatment outcomes, and ultimately achieving the goal of ending the HIV epidemic. The path forward requires a coordinated effort from government, civil society, international partners, and local communities to implement targeted interventions that are equitable, sustainable, and responsive to the unique challenges of the Nigerian context. Through such a holistic approach, it is possible to create a future where all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, have the opportunity to live healthy, HIV-free lives.

REFERENCES

1. Dazy D.B., A.B., Aladelokun J. D., S.I.G., Olaosebikan V. O., N.S.T., Samira A., I.E., Abubakar M. A., N.M.O., A.T., F.: A Review on Current Trends of HIV In Africa; A Case of Nigeria Context: Challenges and Way Forward. In: *Journal of Bio Innovation*. pp. 373–380 (2020)
2. Joseph, A.A., Joseph, O.A., Olokoba, B.L., Olatunji, O.A.: *Chronicles of challenges confronting HIV prevention and treatment in Nigeria*. Port Harcourt Medical Journal. 14, 100–113 (2020). https://doi.org/10.4103/phmj.phmj_3_20
3. Alum, E.U., Obeagu, E.I., Ugwu, O.P.C., Samson, A.O., Adepoju, A.O., Amusa, M.O.: Inclusion of nutritional counseling and mental health services in HIV/AIDS management: A paradigm shift. *Medicine*. 102, e35673 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000035673>
4. Amimo, F., Lambert, B., Magit, A.: What does the COVID-19 pandemic mean for HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria control? *Trop Med Health*. 48, 32 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-020-00219-6>
5. Schwartz, J.I., Muddu, M., Kimera, I., Mbuliro, M., Ssenyonjo, R., Ssinabulya, I., Semitala, F.C.: Impact of a COVID-19 National Lockdown on Integrated Care for Hypertension and HIV. *Global Heart*. 16, (2021). <https://doi.org/10.5334/gh.928>
6. Anugwom, E., Anugwom, K.: Socio-cultural Factors in the Access of Women to HIV/AIDS Prevention and Treatment Services in South-southern Nigeria. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*. (2016)
7. Aliyu, M., Varkey, P., Salihu, H., Iliyasu, Z., Abubakar, I.: The HIV/AIDS epidemic in Nigeria: progress, problems and prospects. *African journal of medicine and medical sciences*. (2010)
8. Sherafat-Kazemzadeh, R., Gaumer, G., Hariharan, D., Sombrio, A., Nandakumar, A.: Between a Rock and a Hard Place: How poverty and lack of agency affect HIV risk behaviors among married women in 25 African countries: A cross-sectional study. *J Glob Health*. 11, 04059. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.11.04059>

9. Terefe, B., Jember, M.M., Asgedom, D.K., Lakew, A.M.: Knowledge and attitude to HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among women in five sub-Saharan African countries: a multilevel model analysis of population-based survey 2021–2022. *BMC Public Health*. 24, 1253 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18717-1>
10. Stangl, A.L., Grossman, C.I.: Global Action to reduce HIV stigma and discrimination. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 16, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.16.3.18934>
11. Leddy, A.M., Turan, J.M., Johnson, M.O., Neilands, T.B., Kempf, M.-C., Konkle-Parker, D., Wingood, G., Tien, P.C., Wilson, T.E., Logie, C.H., Weiser, S.D., Turan, B.: Poverty stigma is associated with suboptimal HIV care and treatment outcomes among women living with HIV in the U.S. *AIDS*. 33, 1379–1384 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000002189>
12. Uti, O., Sofola, O.O.: Preventing HIV/AIDS through education: the role of primary and secondary school teachers in Nigeria. *N.D.J.* 21, 51–55 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.61172/ndj.v21i2.55>
13. Bhatta, D., Aryal, U., Khanal, K.: Education: The Key to Curb HIV and AIDS Epidemic. *Kathmandu Univ. Med. J.* 11, 158–161 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.3126/kumj.v11i2.12493>
14. Aggleton, P., Clarke, D., Crewe, M., Kippax, S., Parker, R., Yankah, E.: Educating about HIV: prevention, impact mitigation and care. *AIDS*. 26, 1215–1222 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283536bc5>
15. Sass, J., Castle, C.: HIV and AIDS treatment education: a critical component of efforts to ensure universal access to prevention treatment and care. UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. (2006)
16. Bernays, S., Lariat, J., Cowan, F., Senzanje, B., Willis, N., Nenguke, Z.M.: “They test my blood to know how much blood is in my body”: the untapped potential of promoting viral load literacy to support adherence and viral suppression among adolescents living with HIV. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 26, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.26153>
17. Tuot, S., Sim, J.W., Nagashima-Hayashi, M., Chhoun, P., Teo, A.K.J., Prem, K., Yi, S.: What are the determinants of antiretroviral therapy adherence among stable people living with HIV? A cross-sectional study in Cambodia. *AIDS Research and Therapy*. 20, 47 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12981-023-00544-w>
18. Sia, D., Onadja, Y., Hajizadeh, M., Heymann, S.J., Brewer, T.F., Nandi, A.: What explains gender inequalities in HIV/AIDS prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa? Evidence from the demographic and health surveys. *BMC Public Health*. 16, 1136 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3783-5>
19. Sherafat-Kazemzadeh, R., Gaumer, G., Crown, W., Daniels, E., Brown, J., Newaz, F., Nandakumar, A.: Lack of agency and sexual behaviors among married women: a study of population-based HIV impact assessment (PHIA) surveys in Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia. *Journal of Global Health Reports*. 5, e2021078 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.26104>
20. Sa, Z., Larsen, U.: Gender Inequality Increases Women’s Risk of HIV Infection In Moshi, Tanzania. *J. Biosoc. Sci.* 40, 505–525 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002193200700257X>
21. Yemisi Bolanle, O.: Examining HIV Essential Medicines Access in Nigeria: A Comparative Study of South-South and North-East Regions through WHO Health Systems Framework. *TIJPH*. 11, 89–97 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.21522/TIJPH.2013.11.02.Art010>
22. Jwanle, P., Ibiloye, O., Obaje, M., Ngwoke, K., Usha, T., Amoo, O., Ogunisola, O., Okezie, U., Olaitan, R., Ofuche, E., Onwuatuelo, I., Samuels, J., Fagbamigbe, J., Nwagagbo, F., Ogbanufe, O., Okoye, M., Okonkwo, P.: Accelerating HIV epidemic control in Benue state, Nigeria, 2019–2021: the APIN program experience. *Therapeutic Advances in Infection*. 10, 20499361231153549 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20499361231153549>
23. Oтуру, K., O’Brien, O., Ozo-Eson, P.I.: Barriers and enabling structural forces affecting access to antiretroviral therapy in Nigeria. *BMC Public Health*. 24, 105 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17271-6>
24. Abah, R.C.: The Demographic Implications of the HIV Prevalence Trend in Nigeria. *J Public Health Africa*. 5, 277 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2014.277>
25. Ibinaiye, T., Rotimi, K., Balogun, A., Aidenagbon, A., Oguoma, C., Baker, K., Ogunmola, O., Oresanya, O., Rassi, C., Nnaji, C.: Urban–rural differences in seasonal malaria chemoprevention coverage and characteristics of target populations in nine states of Nigeria: a comparative cross-sectional study. *Malaria Journal*. 23, 4 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04825-7>
26. Adefemi, K., Yates, C., Awolaran, O., Bakare, J.: Effects of donor HIV/AIDS funding on primary healthcare delivery in southwest Nigeria: Evidence from hospital administrators. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*. 10, 160–166 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/20479700.2016.1229900>

27. Itiola, A.J., Agu, K.A.: Country ownership and sustainability of Nigeria's HIV/AIDS Supply Chain System: qualitative perceptions of progress, challenges and prospects. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*. 11, 21 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-018-0148-8>
28. Abubakar, I., Dalglish, S.L., Angell, B., Sanuade, O., Abimbola, S., Adamu, A.L., Adetifa, I.M.O., Colbourn, T., Ogunlesi, A.O., Onwujekwe, O., Owoaje, E.T., Okeke, I.N., Adeyemo, A., Aliyu, G., Aliyu, M.H., Aliyu, S.H., Ameh, E.A., Archibong, B., Ezeh, A., Gadanya, M.A., Ihekweazu, C., Ihekweazu, V., Iliyasu, Z., Kwaku Chiroma, A., Mabayoje, D.A., Nasir Sambo, M., Obaro, S., Yinka-Ogunleye, A., Okonofua, F., Oni, T., Onyimadu, O., Pate, M.A., Salako, B.L., Shuaib, F., Tsiga-Ahmed, F., Zanna, F.H.: The Lancet Nigeria Commission: investing in health and the future of the nation. *Lancet*. 399, 1155–1200 (2022). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)02488-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02488-0)
29. Nnaji, A.: A Review Of Health Funding For Hiv/Aids In Nigeria; 2007-2021. *J. Hcare. Dvp. Ctries*. 2, 38–39 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.26480/jhcdc.02.2022.38.39>
30. Ogbuabor, D., Olwande, C., Semini, I., Onwujekwe, O., Olaifa, Y., Ukanwa, C.: Stakeholders' Perspectives on the Financial Sustainability of the HIV Response in Nigeria: A Qualitative Study. *Glob Health Sci Pract*. 11, e2200430 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-22-00430>

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2024). The Impact of Socioeconomic Factors on HIV Prevalence and Treatment Accessibility in Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN MEDICAL SCIENCES, 5(3): 46-52
<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2024/5.3.4652>