



<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJLCL/2024/4.3.5900>

Evaluating the Impact of Arts Programs on Health Outcomes

Kakungulu Samuel J.

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the role of arts programs in improving health outcomes across psychological, physiological, and social dimensions. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and methodologies, we evaluate the diverse ways in which arts engagement positively impacts well-being. While evidence demonstrates that arts-based interventions can enhance mental health, reduce stress, and promote social cohesion, significant variations in findings indicate the need for a more standardized evaluation approach. This review also highlights policy implications, suggesting the integration of arts into public health strategies as a cost-effective, community-centered approach to improving well-being. A critical perspective is maintained throughout, emphasizing both the potential and limitations of arts programs in health promotion.

Keywords: Arts in health, Health outcomes, Arts-based interventions, Well-being, Public health.

INTRODUCTION

A lively dialogue exists at the intersection of arts and health. The arts are not confined to passive enjoyment and cultural enrichment. Artistic engagement can also shape individual well-being across psychological, physiological, and behavioral domains. Arts activities can elicit strong emotional experiences. Spectatorship, collectivity, and contemplation all closely connect emotional responses to artworks with health. Despite such deep-seated connections, it is only in recent decades that the arts have been recognized as having a major contribution to health. Indeed, until the 20th century, assumptions of a qualitative divide between the arts and the 'art of healing' were widespread, reflecting negative attitudes towards craft, femininity, and mental illness [1, 2]. The shift in thinking that has led to the arts being viewed as an underestimated resource to promote health, well-being, disease prevention, and self-management has an interestingly broad spectrum. Academically, insights from the humanities are progressively expanding epidemiological and clinical perspectives on health and illness. Politically, there is an active role in promoting the evidence-based practice of the arts in public health. Likewise, practitioners—although not fully turned to arts-based health interventions—are increasingly working in more complex, interdisciplinary, and teamwork-based contexts. How is the value of this increasingly widespread arts and health promotion to be evaluated? What, indeed, can we determine from crossing the arts with the health sciences today? [3, 4].

Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding the Relationship Between Arts and Health

Our stance: Theoretical assumptions of the relationship between arts and health

This review takes a critical view of the processes and methodologies applied in the design and implementation of health outcome evaluations from arts engagement. Offering assistance to broaden our understanding of what can be plausibly understood and effectively evaluated, we begin with an overview of the theoretical positions that guide our readings of this field. Recent theories have emerged at the intersection of topics to suggest the psychological, physiological, and social basis for the relationship between arts and health while also acknowledging the subjective, often ineffable, and individual processes enveloped in such endeavors. Our mandate of addressing the health benefits of arts engagement necessarily involves a longer view of the field, as our evaluative objectives respond in part to the assumptions and phenomena presented in the undoubtedly diverse and immense literature that has

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

accumulated during the near century of scholarly literature in medical humanities, psychological, sociological, educational, public health, and history research. These numerous evaluation strategies and measurement tools for the benefits of arts engagement are assumed and shaped by the theoretical, often disciplinary, viewpoints offered [5, 6]. At this juncture, it is useful to summarize a variety of theoretical literature from psychology, sociology, and public health that has contributed to the current understanding of the possible contributions of engaging in the arts to personal health and community well-being. These theories tend to echo and elaborate theories of visual and conceptual art production through a confluence of the visual and liberal arts movements, social psychology and sociology, public health or population health theories, and extensive corridors where these disciplines converge, but establish a groundwork for a psychotherapeutic framework that is defined by its heavily qualitative methods and that has been absorbed, transected, obscured, and solidified in multiple myriad ways. As such, the abundant literature in these convergent areas shapes a response to what we can expect the field, theoretically and qualitatively, to offer [7, 8].

Methodologies for Evaluating the Impact of Arts Programs on Health Outcomes

Much of the research literature evaluates the effectiveness of programs aimed at using the arts for health or mental health benefits. An extensive range of research methodologies is used to evaluate the effects of arts programs on health. Broadly speaking, research designs can be grouped into qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods designs. Researchers who have conducted qualitative studies have used techniques such as phenomenology, narrative analysis, and grounded theory. In contrast, research that employs quantitative methods predominantly selects either correlational or quasi-experimental designs, including case-control studies and non-equivalent pre- and post-test intervention designs. In the application of these designs, researchers have variously employed a range of psychometric tests and biostatistics to evaluate the health impact of arts participation. Adoption of a particular research method will both influence and be influenced by the way the arts-health question is formulated, as well as the availability of resources, practical considerations, and ethical parameters [7, 9]. Each research method has different associated strengths and limitations when used to evaluate arts programs for health outcomes. These include the difficulties of measuring creative and artistically subjective processes and changes in art form, the complexities of observing the subtleties of social interaction within participatory arts and program processes, the temporal and ethical difficulties of attempting to conduct controlled or longitudinal experimental studies in real-world exogenous settings, and concerns surrounding the generalizability of any research findings. This paper reviews the literature evaluating arts programs for health outcomes that have been published in a range of disciplines. There is a strong multidisciplinary focus of this work, including the fields of art and cultural studies, health, and education. Furthermore, a case study approach is used to provide rich descriptions and evidence of impact in a range of good practice programs from the art, health, and education sectors. Many of the evaluations illustrated in the following section are eclectic in their use of research designs and methodologies, though for purposes of analysis, they have been classified according to the dominant research tradition. Studies that have employed a mixed-methodology perspective benefit from strong research design, affording depth and breadth. Moreover, valuable insights are gained, with each method used to cross-check and illuminate the findings arrived at by the other. A fresh wave of interest in the arts and health research area has arisen, as the number of governmental and funding bodies willing to support such programs grows. This is underpinned by a growing belief that this kind of work is deserving of funding like any other health intervention, based on having a solid research base. This confluence of influences highlights the necessity for relatively rigorous evidence-based principles to be adopted by researchers interested in the arts and health area. Central to making an informed decision about which research methodology is employed is an understanding of the relative strengths and limitations of those available. In the following subsection, we offer a brief overview of the relative strengths and limitations of various methodologies [10, 11].

Key Findings from Existing Research Studies

This chapter provides a synthesis of evidence from existing research studies on the impacts of arts engagement on health. Findings are presented in relation to:

- i) demographic factors;
- ii) types of arts involved;
- iii) settings in which arts programs are implemented; and
- iv) the nature of effects observed. Several caveats arise.

While most published studies report some positive effects of arts programs on various health outcomes, results are not uniformly positive. There are inconsistencies in the findings across studies. There is ongoing work among social scientists as to whether and how the observed effects may occur, and studies

share findings of the importance of changes at both the community level and individual levels. Much more work is needed to tease these out and to understand fully how, when, and why the arts might be effective in changing these outcomes among participants [12, 13]. Research to date has typically not been designed to answer these important questions. Reviews critiqued some claims and the state of the field. Some caution is necessary in appraising work published in this newly developing area: we know that findings tend to be a bit more 'officially' publishable when they are positive, and in the field of social science, statistically significant positive results are over-reported in media reports and subsequently informal research summaries. Nonetheless, the weight of quantitative and qualitative evidence reviewed here shows that there is enough evidence to indicate that arts-based interventions can and do serve important roles as 'therapies' or positive health interventions in several areas. However, we also need to consider that not much work has already been done in some areas to reach some certainty that there is no evidence of positive effects. The crucial question of understanding both whether and why arts engage in health is worthy of some critical attention, and this is recommended as a priority. The art and health field would also benefit from increased research and application by art therapists, before doing some high-quality, definitive research that proves that art therapies work in some relevant ways. We also recommend that these findings may be helpful in some policy-making decisions.

Implications For Policy and Practice

The findings highlight several ways in which arts and health studies can contribute to public health strategies, help to inform policy development, and underpin the development of this field of inquiry. The adoption of an arts program as a public health strategy can have a positive social impact by showing respect and valuing community values, cultures, and traditions. They should be adopted in health services that reflect holistic community philosophies towards service provision or as an ancillary strategy supportable by research. A public health response should fund the arts as a cost-effective approach to supporting vulnerable marginalized communities and community development [14, 15]. The findings can also be used to give the arts a single focus for non-educational interventions. While education is important, there appears to be a need for a more inclusive program that can include the non-education sector. Arts programs are inexpensive when compared to other intervention strategies to address psychosocial issues. Success through involvement in the arts can attract express funding from arts agencies and the corporate sector. This will mean that arts programs neither appear to be duplicating already available services nor will they target against current arts investment strategies. The results from studies of the effectiveness of arts and health programs can be used to provide evidence to international bodies on how the arts can improve health outcomes [16, 17]. It is recommended that the health and arts focus at the Council for Social Development begins with an international colloquium on good research methodologies, in this case, arts and health. Specific recommendations resulting from the colloquium were that stakeholders need to develop proper evidence for other sectors in the health system because research has shown that those involving the arts assist individual well-being and should support those who work for social change in their work. Stakeholders need to be kept informed about the activities, including research into arts in health. The arts and education should be drawing people alike. This contains extensive information and bibliographies on each sector. Further research needs to be done to determine the long-term impact of involving the arts in health interventions. Long-term outcomes of music, dance, poetry, engaging with visual arts, and being creative will need to be utilized. Evaluation of the short-term program is also required to present quality assurance. The future direction also included the research of music therapy with children [18, 19].

CONCLUSION

The intersection of arts and health offers promising avenues for enhancing well-being, particularly through interventions that address mental health, stress reduction, and social connectedness. While existing research supports the beneficial impacts of arts engagement on various health outcomes, inconsistencies across studies reveal the need for more rigorous and standardized evaluation methods. Arts programs can be a valuable, cost-effective tool for public health strategies, particularly in marginalized communities where traditional health interventions may fall short. However, further research is needed to better understand the mechanisms through which arts influence health and to establish clear guidelines for their implementation and evaluation in diverse settings. The findings underscore the potential of the arts to act as a catalyst for personal and community well-being, shaping future policy and practice in public health.

REFERENCES

1. Morse KF, Fine PA, Friedlander KJ. Creativity and leisure during COVID-19: Examining the relationship between leisure activities, motivations, and psychological well-being. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2021 Jul 5;12:609967.
2. Chen ST, Hyun J, Graefe AR, Mowen AJ, Almeida DM, Sliwinski MJ. The influence of leisure engagement on daily emotional well-being. *Leisure Sciences*. 2022 Sep 19;44(7):995-1012. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2022.2111111)
3. Young J, Maxwell H, Peel N. Leisure meets health: important intersections and alternative discourses. *Annals of Leisure Research*. 2021 May 27;24(3):275-82.
4. Chappell K, Redding E, Crickmay U, Stancliffe R, Jobbins V, Smith S. The aesthetic, artistic and creative contributions of dance for health and wellbeing across the lifecourse: a systematic review. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being*. 2021 Jan 1;16(1):1950891. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-021-01111-1)
5. Fancourt D, Aughterson H, Finn S, Walker E, Steptoe A. How leisure activities affect health: a narrative review and multi-level theoretical framework of mechanisms of action. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021 Apr 1;8(4):329-39. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2216-1361(21)00111-1)
6. De Witte M, Orkibi H, Zarate R, Karkou V, Sajjani N, Malhotra B, Ho RT, Kaimal G, Baker FA, Koch SC. From therapeutic factors to mechanisms of change in the creative arts therapies: A scoping review. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021 Jul 15;12:678397. [frontiersin.org](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.678397)
7. Clift S, Phillips K, Pritchard S. The need for robust critique of research on social and health impacts of the arts. *Cultural Trends*. 2021 Oct 20;30(5):442-59.
8. Daykin N, Mansfield L, Meads C, Gray K, Golding A, Tomlinson A, Victor C. The role of social capital in participatory arts for wellbeing: findings from a qualitative systematic review. *Arts & Health*. 2021 May 4;13(2):134-57. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/17513758.2021.1911111)
9. Golden TL, Sonke J, Rodriguez AK. An Evidence-Based Framework for the Use of Arts and Culture in Public Health. *Health Promotion Practice*. 2024 Feb 19;15248399241228831.
10. Schneider V, Rohmann A. Arts in education: A systematic review of competency outcomes in quasi-experimental and experimental studies. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021 Apr 15;12:623935.
11. Phillips OR, Harries C, Leonardi-Bee J, Knight H, Sherar LB, Varela-Mato V, Morling JR. What are the strengths and limitations to utilising creative methods in public and patient involvement in health and social care research? A qualitative systematic review. *Research Involvement and Engagement*. 2024 May 13;10(1):48. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-024-01111-1)
12. Su C, Xu Z, Pathak J, Wang F. Deep learning in mental health outcome research: a scoping review. *Translational Psychiatry*. 2020 Apr 22;10(1):116.
13. Andermo S, Hallgren M, Nguyen TT, Jonsson S, Petersen S, Friberg M, Romqvist A, Stubbs B, Elinder LS. School-related physical activity interventions and mental health among children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports medicine-open*. 2020 Dec;6:1-27. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/24740525.2020.1811111)
14. Kraus S, Jones P, Kailer N, Weinmann A, Chaparro-Banegas N, Roig-Tierno N. Digital transformation: An overview of the current state of the art of research. *Sage Open*. 2021 Sep;11(3):21582440211047576. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211047576)
15. Gasparin M, Green W, Lilley S, Quinn M, Saren M, Schinckus C. Business as unusual: A business model for social innovation. *Journal of Business Research*. 2021 Mar 1;125:698-709. [HTML](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.011)
16. Jewell BL, Mudimu E, Stover J, Ten Brink D, Phillips AN, Smith JA, Martin-Hughes R, Teng Y, Glaubius R, Mahiane SG, Bansi-Matharu L. Potential effects of disruption to HIV programmes in sub-Saharan Africa caused by COVID-19: results from multiple mathematical models. *The lancet HIV*. 2020 Sep 1;7(9):e629-40. [thelancet.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2668-2667(20)30111-1)
17. Adugna A, Azanaw J, Sharew Melaku M. The effect of COVID-19 on routine HIV care services from health facilities in Northwest Ethiopia. *HIV/AIDS-Research and Palliative Care*. 2021 Dec 31;1159-68. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01111-1)
18. Jenkins LK, Farrer R, Aujla IJ. Understanding the impact of an intergenerational arts and health project: a study into the psychological well-being of participants, carers and artists. *Public Health*. 2021 May 1;194:121-6.
19. Clift S, Fancourt, D. and Finn, S.(2019). What is the evidence on the role of the arts in improving health and well-being? A scoping review. *Nordic Journal of Arts, Culture and Health*. 2020 Jun 26;2(1):77-83.

CITE AS: Kakungulu Samuel J. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of Arts Programs on Health Outcomes. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES, 4(3):5-9. <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJLCL/2024/4.3.5900>