

<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCIAM/2024/4.3.6164>

# Integrating Traditional and Modern Survey Methods: A Comparative Study of East African Practices

Mwesigwa R. K.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

As East Africa experiences rapid urbanization and development, the integration of traditional and modern survey methods emerges as a crucial strategy for enhancing land surveying practices. This review article explores the complementary strengths of traditional methods, rooted in local knowledge and cultural practices, and modern technologies, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and drone-based surveys. The study examines the importance of integrating these approaches to improve data accuracy, cultural relevance, and community acceptance. Through comparative analysis and case studies from Kenya and Tanzania, the article highlights the benefits and challenges of combining these methodologies. The review is based on an extensive examination of existing literature and field studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic. Addressing technological, financial, regulatory, and social barriers is essential for realizing the potential of this integrated approach, which can significantly enhance urban planning, infrastructure development, and sustainable land management in East Africa.

**Keywords:** Traditional Survey Methods, Modern Survey Technologies, GIS Integration, Drone Surveys, Land Management

## INTRODUCTION

As East Africa undergoes rapid urbanization and development, the demand for accurate and comprehensive land surveys has never been greater [1]. Effective land surveying is crucial for urban planning, infrastructure development, and sustainable land management [2]. In this context, both traditional and modern survey methods offer distinct advantages. Traditional survey methods, deeply rooted in local knowledge and cultural practices, provide valuable insights into land use and ownership patterns that are often undocumented in official records [3]. Modern survey technologies, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and drone-based surveys, deliver high precision and advanced analytical capabilities, enabling detailed mapping and efficient resource allocation [4,5]. Integrating these two approaches can yield a more holistic and effective land surveying system. Traditional methods ensure cultural relevance and community acceptance, while modern technologies enhance accuracy and scalability. This comparative study examines the strengths and weaknesses of both traditional and modern survey methods as practiced in East Africa. It explores how their integration can address the unique challenges of land surveying in the region, such as technological limitations, regulatory barriers, and financial constraints. By leveraging the complementary strengths of both approaches, East African countries can improve land management practices, support urban growth, and promote sustainable development.

### Importance of Integrating Survey Methods

**Enhancing Data Accuracy and Completeness:** Traditional survey methods often involve the use of local landmarks, oral histories, and community knowledge to delineate land boundaries and ownership [6]. These methods can be particularly useful in areas where formal land records are scarce or nonexistent. However, traditional methods can sometimes lack the precision and consistency needed for large-scale urban planning and infrastructure development [7]. Modern survey technologies, on the other hand, offer high levels of accuracy and the ability to produce detailed topographical maps and digital records [8]. By integrating these approaches, surveyors can create more comprehensive and accurate datasets that leverage the strengths of both methods.

**Addressing Cultural and Social Contexts:** In many East African communities, land ownership and use are deeply intertwined with cultural and social practices [9]. Traditional survey methods, which are often conducted by community elders or local leaders, can help ensure that these cultural contexts are respected and incorporated into land management practices [10]. Modern survey methods, while technologically advanced, may sometimes overlook these nuances. Integrating traditional methods can help bridge this gap, ensuring that survey data is not only accurate but also culturally relevant and socially acceptable.

#### Comparative Analysis of Survey Methods

Traditional survey methods, which use local knowledge, community acceptance, and cultural relevance, have strengths like high accuracy and community acceptance [11]. However, they also have limitations like scalability issues and documentation challenges [12]. Modern survey methods offer high precision, scalability, and advanced analytics [4], but also have weaknesses like high costs, specialized skills, and cultural disconnect.

#### Case Studies in East Africa

**Integrating GIS with Traditional Practices a Case Study of Kenya:** In Kenya, efforts have been made to integrate GIS technology with traditional surveying practices [13]. In rural areas, community elders work alongside surveyors to map land boundaries using GPS devices [14]. This approach has improved the accuracy of land records while ensuring that the cultural significance of land use is respected. The integration of GIS technology has also facilitated better land management and planning in urban areas, where rapid growth requires precise and up-to-date data [15,16].

**Drone Surveys and Local Knowledge A Case Study of Tanzania:** In Tanzania, drone-based surveys have been used to complement traditional land survey methods in both urban and rural settings [17]. Drones provide high-resolution aerial imagery that can be used to create detailed maps of land use and topography. By involving local communities in the interpretation of this data, surveyors can ensure that the maps reflect both the physical and cultural landscape [18, 19]. This integrated approach has been particularly useful in areas with complex land tenure systems, where traditional knowledge is essential for accurate land delineation [20].

#### Challenges and Opportunities

**Technological and Financial Barriers:** One of the main challenges in integrating traditional and modern survey methods is the cost and technical expertise required for modern technologies. Many East African countries may struggle to afford the necessary equipment and training [21]. However, partnerships with international organizations and the private sector can help bridge this gap. Additionally, investing in local capacity building can ensure that surveyors have the skills needed to effectively use modern technologies [22].

**Regulatory and Institutional Frameworks:** Effective integration of survey methods also requires supportive regulatory and institutional frameworks. Governments need to develop policies that recognize and legitimize traditional survey methods while promoting the use of modern technologies [23]. This includes creating standards for data collection, storage, and sharing that accommodate both traditional and modern approaches.

**Social and Cultural Considerations:** Ensuring that integrated survey methods are socially and culturally appropriate is crucial for their success. This requires ongoing engagement with local communities and stakeholders, as well as a commitment to respecting and incorporating traditional knowledge and practices [24]. By fostering collaboration between modern surveyors and traditional land custodians, East African countries can develop survey methods that are both accurate and culturally relevant.

#### CONCLUSION

The integration of traditional and modern survey methods offers a promising path forward for land surveying in East Africa. By combining the precision and scalability of modern technologies with the cultural relevance and local knowledge of traditional practices, surveyors can create more accurate and comprehensive land records. This integrated approach can enhance urban planning, infrastructure development, and land management, ultimately supporting sustainable development in the region. However, realizing this potential requires addressing technological, financial, regulatory, and social challenges. Through strategic investments, supportive policies, and ongoing community engagement, East African countries can harness the strengths of both traditional and modern survey methods to drive progress and improve the quality of life for their populations.

#### REFERENCES

1. Roza V. Exploring the use of open data to support citizen-led initiatives for sustainable urban development in East Africa (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nottingham).
2. Wang H, Qin F, Xu C, Li B, Guo L, Wang Z. Evaluating the suitability of urban development land with a Geodetector. *Ecological Indicators*. 2021 Apr 1;123:107339.
3. Adade Williams P, Sikutshwa L, Shackleton S. Acknowledging indigenous and local knowledge to facilitate collaboration in landscape approaches—Lessons from a systematic review. *Land*. 2020 Sep 18;9(9):331.

4. Quamar MM, Al-Ramadan B, Khan K, Shafiullah M, El Ferik S. Advancements and applications of drone-integrated geographic information system technology—A review. *Remote Sensing*. 2023 Oct 20;15(20):5039.
5. Mishra A, Agnihotri AK, Pipil S, Gaur S, Ohri A. Surveying techniques for urban areas. In *Earth Observation in Urban Monitoring 2024* Jan 1 (pp. 69-91). Elsevier.
6. Traditional and Local Knowledge for Sustainable Development: Empowering the Indigenous and Local Communities of the World, Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals, Partnerships for the Goals. Book Encyclopedia Chapter Springer Nature. 2019.
7. Agheyisi JE. Informal land delivery and tenure security institutions in Benin City, Nigeria. In *Urban forum 2020* Mar (Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 1-20). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
8. Deliry SI, Avdan U. Accuracy of unmanned aerial systems photogrammetry and structure from motion in surveying and mapping: a review. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*. 2021 Aug;49(8):1997-2017.
9. Renom JG, Mwamidi DM, Domínguez P. Holistic ethnographies of East African customary pastoral commons needed? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*. 2020 Apr 1;43:83-90.
10. R. Olaopa O, Ogundare S. Traditional Leadership, Indigenous Knowledge, and Local Governance: Implications for Good Governance and Sustainable Development Agenda [Internet]. *Indigenous People – Traditional Practices and Modern Development*. IntechOpen; 2023. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1003144>
11. Kundra S, Nabobo-Baba U, Kundra N, Gabriel M, Alam MA, Takiveikata S, Toga K. Assessment of the Local Traditional Knowledge and Practices of Flood Risk Identification Techniques: A Case Study of Nadaro Village, Tailevu, Fiji. In *Indigenous Knowledge and Disaster Risk Reduction: Insight Towards Perception, Response, Adaptation and Sustainability 2023* May 4 (pp. 127-151). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
12. Tyagi N, Gautam S, Goel A, Mann P. A framework for blockchain technology including features. *Emerging Technologies in Data Mining and Information Security: Proceedings of IEMIS 2020, Volume 1*. 2021:633-45.
13. Siriba DN, Dalyot S. Adoption of volunteered geographic information into the formal land administration system in Kenya. *Land Use Policy*. 2017 Apr 1;63:279-87.
14. Koeva M, Stöcker C, Crommelinck S, Ho S, Chipofya M, Sahib J, Bennett R, Zevenbergen J, Vosselman G, Lemmen C, Cromptvoets J. Innovative remote sensing methodologies for Kenyan land tenure mapping. *Remote sensing*. 2020 Jan 14;12(2):273.
15. Yusuf R, Abdulquadri K. APPLICATIONS OF GIS AND URBAN DATA ANALYTICS TO LAND USE PLANNING.
16. Quamar MM, Al-Ramadan B, Khan K, Shafiullah M, El Ferik S. Advancements and applications of drone-integrated geographic information system technology—A review. *Remote Sensing*. 2023 Oct 20;15(20):5039.
17. Kelm K, Antos S, McLaren R. Applying the FFP approach to wider land management functions. *Land*. 2021 Jul 9;10(7):723.
18. Norton LR, Inwood H, Crowe A, Baker A. Trialling a method to quantify the ‘cultural services’ of the English landscape using Countryside Survey data. *Land use policy*. 2012 Apr 1;29(2):449-55.
19. Selman P. Community participation in the planning and management of cultural landscapes. *Journal of environmental planning and management*. 2004 May 1;47(3):365-92.
20. Pereira P, Brevik EC, Oliva M, Estebaranz F, Depellegrin D, Novara A, Cerdà A, Menshov O. Goal oriented soil mapping: applying modern methods supported by local knowledge. In *Soil Mapping and Process Modeling for Sustainable Land Use Management 2017* Jan 1 (pp. 61-83). Elsevier.
21. Yenet A, Nibret G, Tegegne BA. Challenges to the availability and affordability of essential medicines in African countries: a scoping review. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*. 2023 Dec 31:443-58.
22. Adepoju OO, Aigbavboa CO. Assessing knowledge and skills gap for construction 4.0 in a developing economy. *Journal of Public Affairs*. 2021 Aug;21(3):e2264.
23. Lau F. Chapter 13 Methods for Survey Studies. In: Lau F, Kuziemy C, editors. *Handbook of eHealth Evaluation: An Evidence-based Approach* [Internet]. Victoria (BC): University of Victoria; 2017 Feb 27. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK481602/>

CITE AS: Mwesigwa R. K. (2024). Integrating Traditional and Modern Survey Methods: A Comparative Study of East African Practices. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND MANAGEMENT,4(3):61-64. <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCIAM/2024/4.3.6164>